



Pre-submission Seminar Report

To be completed by after pre-submission seminar presentation

Scholar Name:	Student Number:
Supervisor Name:	Date of Seminar:
Thesis Title:	

Problem Definition

- ☐ Stated the research problem clearly and in an understandable way
- ☐ Stated the research problem in a less understandable way
- ☐ Poorly stated the research problem

Research Description

- ☐ Clear description of the research strategy
- ☐ Fair description of the research strategy
- ☐ Poor description of the research strategy

Theoretical Framework

- ☐ Shows clear relationship between the variables and their components
- ☐ Fair description of the Theoretical Framework
- ☐ Theoretical Framework is missing

Conceptual Framework

- ☐ Shows clear relationship between the variables and their components
- ☐ Fair description of the Conceptual Framework
- ☐ Conceptual Framework is missing

Objectives and Research Questions/Hypotheses

- ☐ Generated viable research questions / testable hypotheses
- ☐ Does not generate viable research questions / testable hypotheses
- ☐ Research questions/hypothesis are not aligned with Objectives

Research Design

- ☐ Applied appropriate research methods to address research questions / hypothesis

- ☐ Did not apply relevant criteria to validate the research methodology
- ☐ Did not describe the methods/tools effectively

Analysis/interpretation of results

- ☐ Results are correctly analyzed, and valid conclusions drawn
- ☐ Did not analyze and interpret research results/data effectively
- ☐ Poor description and evaluation of the results
- ☐ No description and evaluation of results at all

Contributions

- ☐ Original and creative, several important contributions towards the field of study
- ☐ Demonstrates originality, makes limited contributions
- ☐ Looks like a lower level work

Quality of writing

- ☐ No typos, grammatical or spelling errors. No revisions or changes; acceptable as it is
- ☐ Limited number of typos (grammatical errors and spelling). Some normal changes necessary
- ☐ Sentence structure, language and style deficient. Major revisions required

Presentation format

- ☐ Slides and handouts are outstanding
- ☐ Presented on a recommended format. Typos and other errors in slides
- ☐ Did not present on a recommended format. Slides and handouts difficult to read

Presentation Skills

- ☐ Well organized, very professional
- ☐ Good presentation skills
- ☐ Disjointed presentation

Oral Communication

- ☐ Communicated complex methods and results clearly and effectively
- ☐ Oral explanations are not clear and to the point
- ☐ Read all the slides. Did not explain orally

Answering Questions

- ☐ All questions addressed in a knowledgeable and respectable manner
- ☐ Able to answer most questions
- ☐ Had difficulty with questions
- ☐ Unable to answer several questions

Evidence of original work

- ☐ Originality report from main library attached
- ☐ Evidence present but not duly signed by librarian
- ☐ No evidence

Potential publications

- ☐ One or more papers submitted or published in a recognized journal
- ☐ Abstract could be referred to a conference proceeding
- ☐ Nothing has been submitted to any research conference or journal

APA Manual

- ☐ APA style strictly followed in all the tables, headings, citations, references, and figures
- ☐ Most of the references and citations are according to APA manual
- ☐ Unable to cite according to APA

Comments (if any):

(Please use extra sheet if needed)

Recommendation

- ☐ Process in current state.
- ☐ Process after minor changes.
- ☐ Process after major changes.

Chairman DPC

Signature

Date

*Only typewritten form will be accepted | Use Adobe reader to fill the form | Digital signatures are also accepted.