

Asymptotic Behavior of Linear Evolution Difference System

Akbar Zada
Department of Mathematics,
University of Peshawar, Peshawar, Pakistan
Email: akbarzada@upesh.edu.pk, zadababo@yahoo.com

Tongxing Li
Qingdao Technological University, Feixian, Shandong 273400, P. R. China
Email: litongx2007@163.com

Muhammad Arif
Department of Mathematics,
University of Peshawar, Peshawar, Pakistan
Email: arifjanmath@gmail.com

Received: 12 March, 2015 / Accepted: 04 May, 2015 / Published online: 15 May, 2015

Abstract. In this article we give some characterizations of exponential stability for a periodic discrete evolution family of bounded linear operators acting on a Banach space in terms of discrete evolution semigroups, acting on a special space of almost periodic sequences. As a result, a spectral mapping theorem is stated.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification Codes: Primary 35B35.

Key Words: Discrete evolution semigroups, Exponential stability, Periodic sequences, Almost periodic sequences.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the recent times Y. Wang et al. in [7] has proved that the discrete system $\lambda_{u+1} = \mathcal{A}_u \lambda_u$ is uniformly exponentially stable if and only if the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_{u+1} = \mathcal{A}_u \lambda_u + z(u+1), & u \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ \lambda_0 = 0, \end{cases} \quad (\mathcal{A}_u, 0)$$

is bounded for any natural number u and any almost d -periodic sequence $z(u)$ with $z(0) = 0$. Here, \mathcal{A}_u is a sequence of bounded linear operators on Banach space X . It is well known, see e.g. [1, 4, 6, 8] that if the initial value problem

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \mathcal{A}\lambda(t) + e^{i\beta t}\gamma, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \lambda(0) = 0,$$

has a bounded solution on \mathbb{R}_+ for every $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $\gamma \in X$ then the homogenous system $\frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \mathcal{A}\lambda(t)$, is uniformly exponentially stable.

In case of discrete semigroups recently Zada et al. [10] proved that the system $\lambda_{u+1} = \mathcal{D}(1)\lambda_u$ is uniformly exponentially stable if and only if for each d -periodic bounded sequence $f(u)$ with $f(0) = 0$ the solution of the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_{u+1} = \mathcal{D}(1)\lambda_u + e^{i\beta(u+1)}f(u+1), \\ \lambda(0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (\mathcal{D}(1), \mu, 0)$$

is bounded, where $\mathcal{D}(1)$ is the algebraic generator of the discrete semigroup $T(u)$, $u \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

In this article we extended the result of last quoted paper to space of almost periodic sequences denoted by $AP_1(\mathbb{Z}_+, X)$, for such spaces we recommend [5].

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norms of operators and vectors. Denote by \mathbb{R}_+ the set of real numbers and by \mathbb{Z}_+ the set of all non-negative integers.

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}_+, X)$ be the space of X -valued bounded sequences with the supremum norm, and $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{Z}_+, X)$ be the space of d -periodic (with $d \geq 2$) sequences $z(n)$. Then $\mathbb{P}^d(\mathbb{Z}_+, X)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}_+, X)$.

Throughout this paper, $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the spectrum of \mathcal{A} , and $r(\mathcal{A}) := \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})\}$ denotes the spectral radius of \mathcal{A} . It is well known that $r(\mathcal{A}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathcal{A}^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$. The resolvent set of \mathcal{A} is defined as $\rho(\mathcal{A}) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(\mathcal{A})$, i.e., the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ for which $\mathcal{A} - \lambda I$ is an invertible operator in $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

We give some results in the framework of general Banach space and spaces of sequences as defined above.

Recall that \mathcal{A} is power bounded if there exists a positive constant M such that $\|\mathcal{A}^n\| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

The family $\Omega := \{\xi(u, v) : u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_+, u \geq v\}$ of bounded linear operators is called d -periodic discrete evolution family, for a fixed integer $d \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$, if it satisfies the following properties:

- $\xi(u, u) = I, \forall u \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.
- $\xi(u, v)\xi(v, r) = \xi(u, r), \forall u \geq v \geq r, u, v, r \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.
- $\xi(u + d, v + d) = \xi(u, v), \forall u \geq v, u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

It is well known that any d -periodic evolution family Ω is exponentially bounded, that is, there exist $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M_\rho \geq 0$ such that

$$\|\xi(u, v)\| \leq M_\rho e^{\rho(u-v)}, \forall u \geq v \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \quad (2.1)$$

When family Ω is exponentially bounded its growth bound, $\rho_0(\Omega)$, is the infimum of all $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ for which there exists $M_\rho \geq 1$ such that the relation (2.1) is fulfilled. It is known that

$$\rho_0(\Omega) = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|\xi(u, 0)\|}{u} \quad (2.2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{d} \ln(r(\xi(d, 0))). \quad (2.3)$$

In fact

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_0(\Omega) &:= \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|\xi(u, 0)\|}{u} \\
&= \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \|\xi(ud, 0)\|}{ud} \\
&= \frac{1}{d} \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \ln \|\xi^u(d, 0)\|^{\frac{1}{u}} \\
&= \frac{1}{d} \ln \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi^u(d, 0)\|^{\frac{1}{u}} \\
&= \frac{1}{d} \ln(r(\xi(d, 0))).
\end{aligned}$$

A family Ω is uniformly exponentially stable if $\rho_0(\Omega)$ is negative, or equivalently, there exists $M \geq 1$ and $\rho \geq 0$ such that $\|\xi(u, v)\| \leq Me^{-\rho(u-v)}$, for all $u \geq v \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The following lemma is a consequence of (2.1).

Lemma 2.1. [9] *The discrete evolution family Ω is uniformly exponentially stable if and only if $r(\xi(d, 0)) < 1$.*

The map $\xi(d, 0)$ is also called the Poincare map or monodromy operator of the evolution family Ω .

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\Omega = \{\xi(u, v) : u, v \geq 0\}$ be a d -periodic discrete evolution family acting on the Banach space X . The following four statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\xi(u, v)$ is uniformly exponentially stable.
- (2) There exists two positive constants M and w such that

$$\|\xi(u, v)\| \leq Me^{-w(u-v)}, \quad \forall u, v \geq 0.$$

- (3) The spectral radius of $\xi(u, 0)$ is less than one; i.e.,

$$r(\xi(u, 0)) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(\xi(u, 0))\} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi(u)^k\|^{\frac{1}{k}} < 1.$$

- (4) For each $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$\sup_{u \geq 1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^u e^{-i\mu k} \xi(u, k)^k \right\| = M(\mu) < \infty.$$

The proof of the implications (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) is obvious from the definitions. The implication of (4) \Rightarrow (1) can be found in Lemma (1) of [3].

We recall the following result from [7] which is very helpful in the proof of our main result.

Theorem 2.3. [7] *Let $\Omega := \{\xi(u, v) : u, v \in \mathbb{Z}_+, u \geq v\}$ be a discrete evolution family on X . If the sequence*

$$\zeta_u = \sum_{k=0}^u e^{i\theta k} \xi(u, k)z(k)$$

is bounded for each real number θ and each d -periodic sequence $z(u) \in \mathcal{W}$, then Ω is uniformly exponentially stable.

3. DISCRETE EVOLUTION SEMIGROUP

Here we consider a space of X -valued sequences and define a discrete evolution semigroup acting on it. For this purpose, we need the following spaces:

$\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ which is the space of all X -valued bounded and uniformly convergent sequences defined on \mathbb{Z} , endowed with the norm $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{Z}} \|f(u)\|$.

$P^d(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ which is the subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ consisting of all sequences F such that $F(u+d) = F(u)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$AP_1(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ which is the space of all X -valued sequences defined on \mathbb{Z} representable in

the form $f(u) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} e^{i\mu_k u} c_k(f)$ for all $u \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mu_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c_k(f) \in X$ and

$$\|f\|_1 = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} \|c_k(f)\| < \infty.$$

For almost periodic sequences, see [2, 5].

For an arbitrary $u \geq 0$, we denote by $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_u$ the set of all X -valued sequences defined on \mathbb{Z} such that there exists a sequence F in $P^d(\mathbb{Z}, X) \cap AP_1(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ with $F(u) = 0$, $f = F|_{\{u, u+1, \dots\}}$ and $f(v) = 0$ for all $v < u$. Set $\hat{\mathbf{I}} = \{e^{i\mu} \otimes f : \mu \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } f \in \cup_{u \geq 0} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_u\}$ and let $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{Z}, X) = \text{span}(\hat{\mathbf{I}})$. Consider the space $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X) = \overline{\text{span}}(\hat{\mathbf{I}})$ which is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ endowed with sup norm. The discrete evolution semigroup $\mathbf{T} = \{\mathbf{T}(u)\}_{u \geq 0}$ associated to a q -periodic discrete family $\Omega = \{\xi(u, v)\}_{u, v \geq 0}$ on $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ is defined as:

$$(\mathbf{T}(v)\tilde{f})(u) = \begin{cases} \xi(u, u-v)\tilde{f}(u-v), & \text{if } u \geq v, \\ 0, & \text{if } u < v, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

for $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$.

Proposition 3.1. *The space $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ is invariant under the discrete evolution semigroup \mathbf{T} , defined in (3.4).*

Proof. Let $\tilde{f}(u) = e^{i\mu u} f(u)$, with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in \cup_{u \geq 0} \hat{\mathbf{I}}_u$. Then there exists $r \geq 0$ and a sequence $F(u) \in P^d(\mathbb{Z}, X) \cap AP_1(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ such that $F(r) = 0$, $f(u) = F(u)$ for $u \geq r$ and $f(u) = 0$ for $u < r$. Thus, for each $u \geq 0$ and $v \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$(\mathbf{T}(v)\tilde{f})(u) = \begin{cases} e^{i\mu(u-v)} \xi(u-v) F(u-v), & \text{if } u \geq v+r, \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq u < v+r. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

The sequence $\mathbf{G}(u) = e^{-i\mu(u-v)} \xi(u-v) F(u-v)$ is d -periodic and belongs to $AP_1(\mathbb{Z}, X)$. Moreover

$$\|\mathbf{G}(\cdot)\|_1 \leq \|\xi(u-v)\| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k=\infty} e^{i\mu_k(u-v)} c_k(F) \leq M e^{\nu u} \|F(\cdot)\|_1 < \infty$$

for some $M \geq 1$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $\mathbf{T}(u)\tilde{f} \in \hat{\mathbf{I}}$.

As an operator from $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, $\mathbf{T}(u)$ is linear. When $\tilde{f} = \alpha\tilde{g} + \beta\tilde{h} \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, with $\tilde{g}, \tilde{h} \in \hat{\mathbf{I}}$ and α, β are complex scalars, one has $\mathbf{T}(u)\tilde{f} = \alpha\mathbf{T}(u)\tilde{g} + \beta\mathbf{T}(u)\tilde{h}$. But $\mathbf{T}(u)\tilde{g}, \mathbf{T}(u)\tilde{h} \in \hat{\mathbf{I}}$ and therefore $\mathbf{T}(u)\tilde{f}$ belongs to $\mathbf{E}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$. Thus $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ is invariant under the discrete evolution semigroup \mathbf{T} .

4. RESULTS

Let $G = \mathcal{T}(1) - I$, where $\mathcal{T}(1)$ is called the algebraic generator of the discrete evolution semigroup \mathcal{T} . Thus, for discrete semigroups, the Taylor formula of order one is:

$$\mathcal{T}(u)\tilde{f} - \tilde{f} = \sum_{k=0}^{u-1} \mathcal{T}(k)G\tilde{f}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \text{ with } u \geq 1, \quad (4.6)$$

for all $\tilde{f} \in X$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\tilde{f}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$. The following two statements are equivalent:*

- $G\tilde{y} = -\tilde{f}$.
- $\tilde{y}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^u \xi(u, k)\tilde{f}(k)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. (1 \Rightarrow 2): Using the Taylor formula (4.6), we have

$$\mathcal{T}(u)\tilde{y} - \tilde{y} = \sum_{k=0}^{u-1} \mathcal{T}(k)G\tilde{y} = -\sum_{k=0}^{u-1} \mathcal{T}(k)\tilde{f}.$$

Hence, for every $u \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{y}(u) &= (\mathcal{T}(u)\tilde{y})(u) + \sum_{k=0}^{u-1} (\mathcal{T}(k)\tilde{f})(u) \\ &= \xi(u, 0)\tilde{y}(0) + \sum_{k=0}^{u-1} \xi(u, u-k)\tilde{f}(u-k) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^u \xi(u, k)\tilde{f}(k). \end{aligned}$$

(2 \Rightarrow 1): For the converse implication as $G = \mathcal{T}(1) - I$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} G\tilde{y}(u) &= (\mathcal{T}(1) - I)\tilde{y}(u) \\ &= \mathcal{T}(1)\tilde{y}(u) - \tilde{y}(u) \\ &= \xi(u, u-1)\tilde{y}(u-1) - \tilde{y}(u) \\ &= \xi(u, u-1) \sum_{k=0}^{u-1} \xi(u-1, k)\tilde{f}(k) - \tilde{y}(u) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{u-1} \xi(u, k)\tilde{f}(k) - \sum_{k=0}^u \xi(u, k)\tilde{f}(k) \\ &= -\tilde{f}(u) \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete.

In the next theorem we give our main result.

Theorem 4.2. *Let \mathcal{U} be a q -periodic discrete evolution family acting on a Banach space X and let \mathcal{T} be its associated discrete evolution semigroup on $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$. Denote by G the operator $\mathcal{T}(1) - I$, where $\mathcal{T}(1)$ is the algebraic generator of \mathcal{T} . The following are equivalent.*

- (1) Ω is uniformly exponentially stable.
- (2) \mathcal{T} is uniformly exponentially stable.

(3) G is invertible.

(4) For each $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, the series $\sum_{k=0}^u \xi(u-k, 0)\tilde{f}(k)$ belongs to $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$.

(5) For each $f \in P^d(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, the series $\sum_{k=0}^u \xi(u-k, 0)f(k)$ is bounded on \mathbb{Z}_+ .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let N and ν be two positive constants such that $\|\xi(u, v)\| \leq Ne^{-\nu(u-v)}$ for all $u \geq v$. Then, for all $u \geq 0$ and any \tilde{f} belonging to $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{T}(v)\tilde{f}\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)} &= \sup_{u \geq v} \|\xi(u-v, 0)\tilde{f}(u-v)\| \\ &\leq Ne^{-\nu(u-v)} \sup_{u \geq v} \|\tilde{f}(u-v)\| \\ &= Ne^{-\nu(u-v)} \|\tilde{f}\|_{\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3). It is well known that the evolution semigroup \mathbf{T} is uniformly exponentially stable if and only if $r(\mathbf{T}(1)) < 1$. It means that 1 is not an eigenvalue of $\mathbf{T}(1)$ i.e. $1 \in \rho(\mathbf{T}(1))$ and so $G = \mathbf{T}(1) - I$ is invertible.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). As G is invertible. Thus for every $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ there exists $\tilde{y} \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ such that $G\tilde{y} = -\tilde{f}$. Thus by Lemma 4.1 we get $\tilde{y}(u) = \sum_{k=0}^n \xi(u-k, 0)\tilde{f}(k)$ and by Lemma 3.1

$\sum_{k=0}^u \xi(u-k, 0)\tilde{f}(k)$ belongs to $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). The series $\sum_{k=0}^u \mathbb{U}(u-k, 0)\tilde{f}(k)$ is bounded because it belongs to $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$ which is a subset of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$.

(5) \Rightarrow (1). It can be seen as a direct consequence of Theorem 2.3.

In terms of initial value problems, the result contained in Theorem 4.2 may be read as follows.

Corollary 4.3. Ω is uniformly exponentially stable if and only if for each $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$, the solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{u+1} &= \mathcal{A}(u)\lambda_u + \tilde{f}(u+1), \quad u \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ \lambda_0 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

is bounded on \mathbb{Z}_+ .

5. APPLICATIONS

An immediate consequence of Theorem 4.2 is the spectral mapping theorem for the discrete evolution semigroup \mathbf{T} on $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$.

Theorem 5.1. Let Ω be a d -periodic discrete evolution family acting on X and let \mathbf{T} be its associated discrete evolution semigroup on $\tilde{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbb{Z}, X)$. Denote by G the operator $\mathbf{T}(1) - I$, where $\mathbf{T}(1)$ is the algebraic generator of \mathbf{T} . Then

$$\sigma(G) = \{z \in \mathbb{T} : \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq r(G)\}.$$

Proof. It is well-known that $\rho(G) \supseteq \{z \in \mathbb{T} : \operatorname{Re}(z) > r(G)\}$. To establish the converse inclusion, let $\alpha \in \rho(G)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) \geq \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$. We prove that $\mu \in \rho(G)$. Consider the discrete evolution family $\mathbb{U}_\alpha(u, v) = e^{-\alpha(u-v)}\mathbb{U}(u, v)$, where $u \geq v \geq 0$, whose associated discrete evolution semigroup is $\mathbf{T}_\alpha(u) = e^{-\alpha u}\mathbf{T}(u)$. Obviously, $\alpha I - G$ is the

infinitesimal generator of \mathbb{T}_α . Because $\alpha I - G$ is invertible and applying Theorem 4.2, \mathbb{C}_α (and then \mathbb{T}_μ) is uniformly exponentially stable. Therefore, by applying again Theorem 4.2, $\mu \in \rho(G)$.

6. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their sincere gratitude to the Editor and referees for the careful reading of the original manuscript and useful comments that helped to improve the presentation of the results.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Balint, *On the Perron-Bellman theorem for systems with constant coefficients*, Ann. Univ. Timisoara **21**, (1983) 3-8.
- [2] A. S. Besicovitch, *Almost Periodic Functions*, Dover Publications Inc. New York, NY, USA, 1955.
- [3] C. Buse, P. Cerone, S. S. Dragomir and A. Sofo, *Uniform stability of periodic discrete system in Banach spaces*, J. Difference Equ. Appl. **11**, No. 12 (2005) 1081-1088.
- [4] C. Buse and A. Zada, *Dichotomy and boundedness of solutions for some discrete Cauchy Problems*, Operator Theory Advances and Applications **203**, (2010), 165-174.
- [5] C. Corduneanu, *Almost Periodic Oscillations and Waves*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2009.
- [6] A. Khan, G. Rahmat and A. Zada, *On uniform exponential stability and exact admissibility of discrete semigroups*, Int. J. Diff. Equ. 2013, Article ID 268309, 2013, 1-4.
- [7] Y. Wang, A. Zada, N. Ahmad, D. Lassoued and T. Li, *Uniform Exponential Stability of Discrete Evolution Families on Space of p -Periodic Sequences*, Hindawi Publishing Corporation Abstract and Applied Analysis 2014, Article ID 784289, 2014, 1-4.
- [8] A. Zada, *A characterization of dichotomy in terms of boundedness of solutions for some Cauchy problems*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations **94**, (2008) 1-5.
- [9] A. Zada, G. Rahmat, A. Tabassum and G. Ali, *Characterizations of stability for discrete semigroups of bounded linear operators*, International journal of Mathematical and Soft Computing **3**, No. 3 (2013) 15-19.
- [10] A. Zada, N. Ahmad, I. Khan, F. Khan, *On the exponential stability of discrete semigroups*, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. **14**, No. 1 (2015) 149-155.