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Abstract.: In this paper, we produce a novel framework of a subclass of convex functions that is exponentially convex functions. Moreover, it is observed that the new concept helps to build new inequalities of Petrović’s type by employing exponentially convex functions. We also introduce the idea of coordinated exponentially convex functions and derive Petrović’s type inequality for coordinated exponentially convex functions. We also find Lagrange type and Cauchy type mean value theorems for Petrović’s type inequality for exponentially convex and coordinated exponentially convex functions. Our consequences with this new generalizations has the abilities to be implemented for the evaluation of many mathematical problems.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Integral inequalities are marvelous tools for building up the qualitative and quantitative properties of convex functions. There has been a ceaseless development of intrigue in such a region of research so as to address the issues of different utilizations of these variants. For example, inequalities have been contemplated by numerous analysts who thus utilized assorted procedures for investigating and proposing these variants [12, 18, 29]. One of the
most significant inequality is the distinguished Petrović’s inequality [22]. Petrović’s type inequality have been obtained by several authors, see [8, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 10] and reference therein.

Another approach is efficient to obtain the integral inequalities by utilizing convex functions. It is known that the subclass of convex functions is closely related to log-convex functions referred to as exponentially convex functions. Exponentially convex function explored by Bernstein [7] in covariance formation then Avriel [4] contemplated and investigated this concept by imposing the condition of \( r \)-convex functions. Noor et. al. [13] explored exponentially convex functions while studying the paper of Antczak [3]. For more features concerning to exponentially convex functions, see [2, 5, 13, 15, 17] and the references therein. Pal [20] provided the fertile application of exponentially convex functions in information theory, optimization theory, and statistical theory. For observing various other kinds of exponentially convex functions and their generalizations, see [14, 16, 20].

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce a new concept of exponentially convex functions on coordinates. We derive Petrović’s type inequality for exponentially convex and coordinated exponentially convex functions. We expect that the idea may open new doors in futuristic research.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we demonstrate the basic definitions concerning to our research.

**Definition 1.** A nonempty set \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) is convex, if
\[
\sigma \eta + (1-\sigma) \xi \in \Omega, \quad \forall \eta, \xi \in \Omega, \quad \sigma \in [0,1].
\]

**Definition 2.** A function \( F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is convex, if
\[
F(\sigma \eta + (1-\sigma) \xi) \leq \sigma F(\eta) + (1-\sigma) F(\xi), \quad \forall \eta, \xi \in \Omega, \quad \sigma \in [0,1].
\]

**Definition 3.**([13]) A positive function \( F \) is called exponentially convex function on \( \Omega \), if
\[
e^{F(\eta+\sigma(\xi-\eta))} \leq (1-\sigma)e^{F(\eta)} + \sigma e^{F(\xi)}, \quad \forall \eta, \xi \in \Omega, \quad \sigma \in [0,1], \quad (2.1)
\]
which can be written in the following form, which is due to Avriel [4].

**Definition 4.** A positive function \( F \) is called exponentially convex function on \( \Omega \), if
\[
F(\eta + \sigma(\xi - \eta)) \leq \log[(1-\sigma)e^{F(\eta)} + \sigma e^{F(\xi)}], \quad \forall \eta, \xi \in \Omega, \quad \sigma \in [0,1]. \quad (2.2)
\]

For the applications of the exponentially convex functions in information theory and mathematical programming, see Antczak [3] and Alirezaei and Mathar [2].
From now onwards, we take \( I_1 = [a_1, b_1] \) and \( I_2 = [c_1, d_1] \) as intervals in \( \mathbb{R} \).

Other aspects of exponentially convex functions can be expressed as:

\[
e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)} \leq \frac{\eta - b_1}{a_1 - b_1} e^{\mathcal{F}(a_1)} + \frac{\eta - a_1}{b_1 - a_1} e^{\mathcal{F}(b_1)}, \quad \forall \eta \in I = [0, b_1],
\]
equivalently, one can write

\[
e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)} - e^{\mathcal{F}(a_1)} \leq \frac{e^{\mathcal{F}(b_1)} - e^{\mathcal{F}(a_1)}}{b_1 - a_1}, \quad \forall \eta \in I,
\]
(2.3)
which shows \( \mathcal{F} \) is increasing in \( I \).

Dragomir [9] introduced coordinated convex functions as follows:

**Definition 5.** ([9]) Suppose the bidimensional interval \( \Delta = I_1 \times I_2 \).

Also, let \( \mathcal{F} : \Delta \to \mathbb{R} \) be a mapping. Define partial mappings as

\[
\mathcal{F}_\xi : [a_1, b_1] \to \mathbb{R} \text{ defined by } \mathcal{F}_\xi(u_1) = \mathcal{F}(u_1, \xi)
\]
(2.4)
and

\[
\mathcal{F}_\eta : [c_1, d_1] \to \mathbb{R} \text{ defined by } \mathcal{F}_\eta(v_1) = \mathcal{F}(\eta, v_1).
\]
(2.5)

The function \( \mathcal{F} \) is called coordinated convex, if the partial mappings defined in (2.4) and (2.5) are convex on \([a_1, b_1]\) and \([c_1, d_1]\) respectively, for all \( \xi \in [c_1, d_1] \) and \( \eta \in [a_1, b_1] \).

**Definition 6.** The function \( \mathcal{F} : \Delta \to \mathbb{R} \) is convex in \( \Delta \), if

\[
\mathcal{F}(\sigma \eta + (1 - \sigma) z_1, \sigma \xi + (1 - \sigma) w_1) \leq \sigma \mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi) + (1 - \sigma) \mathcal{F}(z_1, w_1),
\]
(2.6)
\( \forall (\eta, \xi), (z_1, w_1) \in \Delta, \sigma \in [0, 1] \).

We now define the coordinated exponentially convex functions.

**Definition 7.** Suppose the bidimensional interval \( \Delta_1 = [0, b_1] \times [0, d_1] \).

Also, let \( \mathcal{F} : \Delta_1 \to \mathbb{R} \) be a positive mapping. Define partial mappings as

\[
\mathcal{F}_\xi : [0, b_1] \to \mathbb{R} \text{ defined by } \mathcal{F}_\xi(u_1) = \mathcal{F}(u_1, \xi)
\]
(2.7)
and

\[
\mathcal{F}_\eta : [0, d_1] \to \mathbb{R} \text{ defined by } \mathcal{F}_\eta(v_1) = \mathcal{F}(\eta, v_1).
\]
(2.8)

The function \( \mathcal{F} \) is coordinated exponentially convex, if the partial mappings defined in (2.7) and (2.8) are exponentially convex on \([0, b_1]\) and \([0, d_1]\) respectively, for all \( \xi \in [0, d_1] \) and \( \eta \in [0, b_1] \).

**Definition 8.** Let \( \Delta_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \). A positive mapping \( \mathcal{F} : \Delta_1 \to \mathbb{R} \) is exponentially convex in \( \Delta_1 \), if

\[
e^{\mathcal{F}(\sigma \eta + (1 - \sigma) z_1, \sigma \xi + (1 - \sigma) w_1)} \leq \sigma e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} + (1 - \sigma) e^{\mathcal{F}(z_1, w_1)}, \quad \forall (\eta, \xi), (z_1, w_1) \in \Delta_1, \sigma \in [0, 1].
\]
(2.9)

**Lemma 2.1.** Every exponentially convex mapping \( \mathcal{F} : \Delta_1 \to \mathbb{R} \) is coordinated exponentially convex, but converse is not true in general.
Proof. Let a positive mapping \( F : \Delta_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be an exponentially convex in \( \Delta_1 \). Also, let \( F_\eta : [0, d_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) defined as \( F_\eta(v_1) := F(\eta, v_1) \). Then
\[
e^{F_\eta(\eta v_1 + (1 - \eta)w_1)} = e^{F(\eta \sigma v_1 + (1 - \eta)w_1)}
\leq e^{F(\eta v_1)} + (1 - \eta)e^{F(w_1)}
= \eta e^{F(\eta v_1)} + (1 - \eta)e^{F(w_1)}, \quad \forall \eta \in [0, 1] \text{ and } v_1, w_1 \in [0, d_1],
\]
which shows the exponentially convexity of \( F_\eta \).

Similarly, one can show the exponentially convexity of \( F_\xi \).

Now, consider the positive mapping \( F_0 : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) given by \( e^{F_0(u_1, v_1)} = e^{u_1v_1} \). Clearly \( F \) is coordinated exponentially convex. But it is not exponentially convex on \([0, 1]^2\).

Indeed, if \((\eta, 0), (0, w_1) \in [0, 1]^2 \) and \( \sigma \in [0, 1] \). Then
\[
e^{F(\sigma(\eta, 0) + (1 - \sigma)(0, w_1))} = e^{F(\sigma(1 - \eta)w_1)} = e^{(1 - \eta)w_1}
\]
and
\[
\sigma e^{F(\eta, 0)} + (1 - \eta)e^{F(0, w_1)} = 1 = e^0.
\]
Thus, \( \forall \sigma \in (0, 1), \eta, w_1 \in (0, 1) \), one has
\[
e^{F(\sigma(\eta, 0) + (1 - \sigma)(0, w_1))} > \sigma e^{F(\eta, 0)} + (1 - \sigma)e^{F(0, w_1)},
\]
which shows that \( F \) is not exponentially convex. \( \square \)

Petrović [22] derived some inequality for convex functions.

**Theorem 1.** ([22]) Let \((\eta_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) be non-negative \( n \)-tuples and \((p_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) be positive \( n \)-tuples such that \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \geq 1 \),
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \eta_k \in [0, a_1] \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \eta_k \geq \eta_l \text{ for each } l = 1, \ldots, n.
\]
Consider the function \( F \) is convex on \([0, a_1]\), then
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k F(\eta_k) \leq F(\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \eta_k) + \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k - 1 \right) F(0) \quad (2.10)
\]
is valid.

Rehman et al. [28] gave the Petrović’s inequality on coordinated convex functions.

**Theorem 2.** ([28]) Let \((\xi_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) and \((\xi_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) be non-negative \( n \)-tuples and \((p_k, k = 1, \ldots, n)\) and \((q_l, l = 1, \ldots, n)\) be positive \( n \)-tuples such that
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \geq 1, \quad 0 \neq \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \eta_k \geq \eta_j \text{ for every } j = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \geq 1, \quad 0 \neq \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \eta_k \geq \eta_j \text{ for every } j = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]
and
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \geq 1, \quad 0 \neq \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \xi_i \geq \xi_j \quad \text{for every } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \]

Let \( F : [0, a_1] \times [0, b_1] \to \mathbb{R} \) be a convex on coordinates, then
\[
\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} q_{l} F(\eta_{\kappa}, \xi_l) \leq F \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa}, \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_{l} \xi_l \right) + \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_{l} - 1 \right) F \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa}, 0 \right) + \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} - 1 \right) \left( F \left( 0, \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_{l} \xi_l \right) + \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_{l} - 1 \right) F(0, 0) \right).
\]

3. RESULTS

In this section, we inaugurate a lemma, which plays a key role for proving our next results associated with exponentially convex functions.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \((\eta_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) be non-negative \(n\)-tuples and \((p_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\) be positive \(n\)-tuples such that \(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \geq 1, \theta \in [0, a_1], \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} \in [0, a_1]\) and \(\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} \geq \eta_{l} > \theta\) for each \(l = 1, \ldots, n\).

Suppose a positive function \( F : [0, a_1] \to \mathbb{R} \) is exponentially convex. If \( \frac{e^{F(\eta)}}{\eta - \theta} \) is increasing on \([0, a_1]\), then
\[
\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} \geq \frac{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} - \theta}{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} (\eta_{\kappa} - \theta)} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} e^{F(\eta_{\kappa})}.
\]

**Proof.** Since \(\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} \geq \eta_{l} > \theta\) for all \(l = 1, \ldots, n\) and \( \frac{e^{F(\eta)}}{\eta - \theta} \) is increasing on \([0, a_1]\), we have
\[
\frac{e^{F(\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa})}}{\left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} - \theta \right)} \geq \frac{e^{F(\eta_{\kappa})}}{\eta_{\kappa} - \theta}.
\]
This implies
\[
(\eta_{\kappa} - \theta) e^{F(\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa})} \geq \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} - \theta \right) e^{F(\eta_{\kappa})}.
\]
Multiplying above inequality by \(p_{\kappa}\) and taking sum for \(\kappa = 1, \ldots, n\), one has
\[
\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} (\eta_{\kappa} - \theta) e^{F(\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa})} \geq \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} - \theta \right) \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} e^{F(\eta_{\kappa})}.
\]
from which, one has the required result.

We now derive the Petrović’s type inequality for exponentially convex functions.

**Theorem 3.** Let \((\eta_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n)\) be non-negative \(n\)-tuples and \((p_j, j = 1, 2, ..., n)\) be positive \(n\)-tuples such that \(\sum_{j=1}^{n} p_j \geq 1, \theta \in [0, a_1]\),

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \eta_i \in [0, a_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \eta_i \geq \eta_l \Theta \quad \text{for each} \quad l = 1, ..., n.
\]

Let a positive function \(F: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}\) be an exponentially convex and \(e^{F(\eta)}\) is increasing on \([0, a_1]\). Then

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l e^{F(\eta_l)} \leq A e^{F \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} \right)} + \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l - A \right) e^{F(\theta)}, \quad (3.13)
\]

where

\[
A = \left( \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l (\eta_l - \theta)}{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} - \theta} \right).
\]

**Proof.** Since \(F\) is exponentially convex, so from Lemma 3.1,

\[
e^{F \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} \right)} - e^{F(\theta)} \geq \left( \frac{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} - \theta}{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} - \theta} \right) \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l \left( e^{F(\eta_l)} - e^{F(\theta)} \right).
\]

This gives us

\[
\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} - \theta \left( e^{F \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} \right)} - e^{F(\theta)} \right) \geq \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l e^{F(\eta_l)} - \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l e^{F(\theta)}.
\]

This leads to

\[
\sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l (\eta_l - \theta) \left( e^{F \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} \right)} - e^{F(\theta)} \right) \geq \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l e^{F(\eta_l)} - \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l e^{F(\theta)} + \left( \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l (\eta_l - \theta)}{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} - \theta} \right) e^{F(\theta)}.
\]

Finally, we have
\[ \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l(\eta_l - \theta) \leq \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} - \theta \leq \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l e^{F(\eta_l - \theta)} \]
\[ = \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_l - \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} \frac{p_l(\eta_l - \theta)}{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} - \theta} \right) e^{F(\theta)}. \]

This is equivalent to the required result. \( \square \)

If \( \theta = 0 \), then Theorem 3 reduces to the following new result.

**Theorem 4.** Let the conditions given in Theorem 3 be satisfied. Also, let a positive function \( F : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \) be an exponentially convex. Then

\[ \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} \leq e^{F(\eta)} \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_l \xi_l + \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_l - 1 \right) e^{F(0)}. \quad (3.14) \]

Now, we derive Petrović’s type inequality on coordinated exponentially convex functions.

**Theorem 5.** Let \( (\eta_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \) and \( (\xi_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \) be non-negative \( n \)-tuples and \( (p_k, k = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \) and \( (q_l, l = 1, \ldots, n) \) be positive \( n \)-tuples such that \( \theta \in [0, a_1], \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \geq 1, \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_l \geq 1, \)

\[ \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_l \xi_l \in [0, b_1), \quad 0 \neq \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} \geq \eta_j > 0 \text{ for every } j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \]

and

\[ \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_l \xi_l \in [0, b_1), \quad 0 \neq \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_l \xi_l \geq \xi_i > 0 \text{ for every } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n. \]

Let a positive function \( F : [0, \infty)^2 \to \mathbb{R} \) be coordinated exponentially convex function and \( e^{F(\eta)} \) is increasing on \( [0, a_1] \). Then

\[ \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} q_l e^{F(\eta_l, \xi_l)} \leq A \left\{ B e^{F(\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa} - \theta)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_l (B e^{F(\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} \eta_{\kappa}, \theta)}) \right\} \]

\[ + \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} - A \right) \left\{ B e^{F(\theta)} \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_l \xi_l + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_l (B e^{F(\theta, \theta)}) \right\}, \]

(3.15)

where
\[ A = \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa (\eta_\kappa - \theta) \right) \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa \eta_\kappa - \theta \right) \]  
(3.16)

and

\[ B = \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_l (\xi_l - \theta) \right) \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_l \xi_l - \theta \right) \]  
(3.17)

**Proof.** Consider the partial mappings \( F_\eta : [0, a_1] \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( F_\xi : [0, b_1] \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by \( F_\eta(v_1) = F(\eta, v_1) \) and \( F_\xi(u_1) = F(u_1, \xi) \).

As \( F \) is coordinated exponentially convex on \([0, \infty)^2\). Therefore, the partial mapping \( F_\xi \) is exponentially convex on \([0, b_1]\). By Theorem 3, we have

\[
\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa e^{F_\xi(\eta_\kappa)} \leq \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa (\eta_\kappa - \theta) \right) e^{F_\xi} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa \eta_\kappa
\]

\[ + \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa - \frac{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa (\eta_\kappa - \theta)}{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa \eta_\kappa - \theta} \right) e^{F_\xi(\theta)}. \]

This is equivalent to

\[
\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa e^{F(\eta_\kappa, \xi)} \leq \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa (\eta_\kappa - \theta) \right) e^{F} \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa \eta_\kappa \xi
\]

\[ + \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa - \frac{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa (\eta_\kappa - \theta)}{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa \eta_\kappa - \theta} \right) e^{F(\theta, \xi)}. \]
By setting $\xi = \xi_1$, we get

$$\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi_1)} \leq \left( \frac{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa (\eta_\kappa - \theta)}{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa \eta_\kappa - \theta} \right) \mathcal{F} \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa e^{\xi_1} \right) + \left( \frac{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa (\eta_\kappa - \theta)}{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa \eta_\kappa - \theta} \right) e^{\mathcal{F}(\theta, \xi_1)}.$$

Multiplying above inequality by $q_1$ and taking sum for $l = 1, \ldots, n$, one has

$$\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_\kappa q_1 e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi_1)} \leq \left( \frac{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa (\eta_\kappa - \theta)}{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa \eta_\kappa - \theta} \right) \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_1 e^{\mathcal{F} \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa \eta_\kappa - \theta \right)} + \left( \frac{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa (\eta_\kappa - \theta)}{\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa \eta_\kappa - \theta} \right) \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_1 e^{\mathcal{F}(\theta, \xi_1)}.$$

Now again by Theorem 3, we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} q_1 e^{\mathcal{F} \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa \eta_\kappa - \theta \right)} \leq \left( \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{n} q_1 (\xi_1 - \theta)}{\sum_{l=1}^{n} q_1 \xi_1 - \theta} \right) \mathcal{F} \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_\kappa e^{\xi_1} \right) + \left( \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{n} q_1 (\xi_1 - \theta)}{\sum_{l=1}^{n} q_1 \xi_1 - \theta} \right) e^{\mathcal{F}(\theta, \xi_1)}.$$

and

$$\sum_{l=1}^{n} q_1 e^{\mathcal{F}(\theta, \xi_1)} \leq \left( \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{n} q_1 (\xi_1 - \theta)}{\sum_{l=1}^{n} q_1 \xi_1 - \theta} \right) \mathcal{F} \left( \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} q_\kappa \xi_1 \right) + \left( \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{n} q_1 (\xi_1 - \theta)}{\sum_{l=1}^{n} q_1 \xi_1 - \theta} \right) e^{\mathcal{F}(\theta, \xi_1)}.$$

Putting these values in inequality (3.18) and using the notations given in (3.16) and (3.17), we get the required result. □

If $\theta = 0$, then Theorem 3 reduces to the following new result.
Theorem 6. Let the conditions given in Theorem 3 be satisfied. If \( F : [0, \infty)^2 \to \mathbb{R} \) be coordinated exponentially convex, then

\[
\sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} q_{l} e^{F(\eta_{s}, \xi_{l})} \leq \left\{ \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} q_{l} \right\} \left\{ e^{F(\eta_{s}, \xi_{l})} + \left( \sum_{l=1}^{n} q_{l} - 1 \right) e^{F(0,0)} \right\}.
\]

(3. 19)

By considering non-negative difference of (3. 14 ), we define the following linear functional.

\[
P(e^{F}) = e^{F(\eta_{s})} - \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_{l} e^{F(\eta_{s}, \xi_{l})}.
\]

(3. 20)

Also by considering non-negative difference of (3. 19 ), we define the following linear functional.

\[
\Upsilon(e^{F}) = \left\{ e^{F(\eta_{s})} - \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_{l} e^{F(\eta_{s}, \xi_{l})} \right\} - \sum_{\kappa=1}^{n} \sum_{l=1}^{n} p_{\kappa} q_{l} e^{F(\eta_{s}, \xi_{l})}.
\]

(3. 21)

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let a positive function \( F : [0, b_{1}] \to \mathbb{R} \) be an exponentially convex such that

\[
n_{1} \leq \frac{(\eta - a_{1}) e^{F(\eta)} F'(\eta) - e^{F(\eta)} + e^{F(a_{1})}}{(\eta - a_{1})^{2}} \leq N_{1},
\]

\[\forall \eta \in [0, b_{1}] \setminus \{a_{1}\} \text{ and } a_{1} \in (0, b_{1}).\]

Let \( \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} : [0, b_{1}] \to \mathbb{R} \) be positive functions defined as

\[
\gamma_{1}(\eta) = \log[N_{1} \eta - e^{F(\eta)}]
\]

and

\[
\gamma_{2}(\eta) = \log[e^{F(\eta)} - \eta_{1} \eta^{2}],
\]

then \( \gamma_{1} \) and \( \gamma_{2} \) are exponentially convex on \([0, b_{1}].\)

Proof. Suppose

\[
P_{\gamma_{1}}(\eta) = \frac{e^{\gamma_{1}(\eta)} - e^{\gamma_{1}(a_{1})}}{\eta - a_{1}}
\]
This implies
\[
N_1(\eta^2 - a_1^2) \frac{\eta - a_1}{\eta - a_1} = N_1(\eta + a_1) - \frac{e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)} - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta - a_1)}}{\eta - a_1}.
\]

By differentiating with respect to \( \eta \), one has
\[
P'_{\gamma_1}(\eta) = N_1 - \frac{(\eta - a_1)e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)}\mathcal{F}'(\eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)} + e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta - a_1)}}{(\eta - a_1)^2}.
\]

Since
\[
N_1 - \frac{(\eta - a_1)e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)}\mathcal{F}'(\eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)} + e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta - a_1)}}{(\eta - a_1)^2} \geq 0.
\]

This implies
\[
P'_{\gamma_1}(\eta) \geq 0, \quad \forall \eta \in [0, a_1) \cup (a_1, b_1].
\]

Similarly, one can show that
\[
P'_{\gamma_2}(\eta) \geq 0, \quad \forall \eta \in [0, a_1) \cup (a_1, b_1].
\]

This implies that \( P_{\gamma_1} \) and \( P_{\gamma_2} \) are increasing on \( \eta \in [0, a_1) \cup (a_1, b_1] \) for all \( a \in (0, b_1) \).

Hence by (2.3), \( \gamma_1(\eta) \) and \( \gamma_2(\eta) \) are exponentially convex in \([0, b_1] \). \( \square \)

Here we give mean value theorems related to functional defined for Petrović’s inequality for exponentially convex functions.

**Theorem 7.** Let \((\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_n) \in [0, b_1] \), and \((p_1, \ldots, p_n)\) be positive \( n \)-tuples such that
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \eta_k \geq \eta_j \quad \text{for each } j = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]

Also let \( \phi(\eta) = \log \eta^2 \).

If a positive exponentially convex function \( \mathcal{F} \in C^1([0, b_1]) \), then there exist \( \eta \in (0, b_1) \) such that
\[
\mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}}) = \frac{(\eta - a)e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)}\mathcal{F}'(\eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)} + e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta - a_1)}}{(\eta - a)^2}\mathcal{P}(e^{\phi}),
\]

provided that \( \mathcal{P}(e^{\phi}) \) is non zero and \( a \in (0, b_1) \), where \( \mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}}) \) is a linear functional.

**Proof.** As \( \mathcal{F} \in C^1([0, b_1]) \), so there exist real numbers \( n_1 \) and \( N_1 \) such that
\[
n_1 \leq \frac{(\eta - a_1)e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)}\mathcal{F}'(\eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)} + e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta - a_1)}}{(\eta - a_1)^2} \leq N_1, \quad \forall \eta \in [0, b_1] \quad \text{and} \quad a_1 \in (0, b_1).
\]

Consider the functions \( \gamma_1 \) and \( \gamma_2 \) defined in Lemma 3.2.

As \( \gamma_1 \) is exponentially convex in \([0, b_1] \), so
\[
\mathcal{P}(e^{\gamma_1}) \geq 0,
\]

that is
\[ \mathcal{P} \left( N_1 \eta^2 - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)} \right) \geq 0, \]
this gives
\[ N_1 \mathcal{P}(\phi) \geq \mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}}). \quad (3.23) \]
Similarly \( \gamma_2 \) is exponentially convex \([0, b_1]\), therefore one has
\[ n_1 \mathcal{P}(\phi) \leq \mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}}). \quad (3.24) \]
By assumption \( \mathcal{P}(\phi) \) is non zero, combining inequalities (3.23) and (3.24), one has
\[ n_1 \leq \frac{\mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}})}{\mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}})} \leq N_1. \]
Hence there exist \( \eta \in (0, b_1) \) such that
\[ \frac{\mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}})}{\mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}})} = \frac{(\eta - a)e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)}F'(\eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta)} + e^{\mathcal{F}(a)}}{(\eta - a)^2}, \]
which is the required result. □

**Theorem 8.** Let the conditions given in Theorem 3 be satisfied. Suppose the positive exponentially convex functions \( F_1, F_2 \in C^1([0, b_1]) \), then there exist \( \xi \in (0, b_1) \) such that
\[ \frac{\mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}_1})}{\mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}_2})} = \frac{(\xi - a)e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi)}F'_1(\xi) - e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi)} + e^{\mathcal{F}_1(a)}}{(\xi - a)e^{\mathcal{F}_2(\xi)}F'_2(\xi) - e^{\mathcal{F}_2(\xi)} + e^{\mathcal{F}_2(a)}}, \]
provided that the denominators are non-zero and \( a_1 \in (0, b_1) \), where \( \mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}_1}) \) and \( \mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}_2}) \) are linear functional.

**Proof.** Suppose \( k \in C^1([0, b_1]) \) be a function defined as
\[ k = \log (c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2}), \]
where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are defined as
\[ c_1 = \mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}_2}), \]
\[ c_2 = \mathcal{P}(e^{\mathcal{F}_1}). \]
Then using Theorem 3 with \( \mathcal{F} = k \), one has
\[ (\xi - a)e^{\log(c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2})} (\log(c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2}))' - (c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi)} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2(\xi)}) \]
\[ + (c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1(a)} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2(a)}) = 0, \]
this gives
\[ (\xi - a)(c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi)} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2(\xi)})' - c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi)} + c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2(\xi)} + c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1(a)} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2(a)} = 0, \]
that is
\[ (\xi - a)(c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi)} F'_1(\xi) - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2(\xi)} F'_2(\xi)) - c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi)} + c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2(\xi)} + c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1(a)} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2(a)} = 0, \]
this gives
\[ (\xi - a)(c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi)} F'_1(\xi) - (\xi - a)c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2(\xi)} F'_2(\xi)) - c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi)} + c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2(\xi)} + c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1(a)} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2(a)} = 0. \]
this implies
\[
c_1 \left\{ (\xi - a)e^{n_1(\xi)} f'_1(\xi) - e^{n_1(\xi)} + e^{n_1(a)} \right\} = c_2 \left\{ (\xi - a)e^{n_2(\xi)} f'_2(\xi) - e^{n_2(\xi)} + e^{n_2(a)} \right\}
\]
\[
c_2 \left( \frac{c_1}{c_2} \right) = \left( \frac{c_1}{c_2} \right) \left( \frac{e^{n_1(\xi)} - e^{n_1(a)}}{(\xi - a)e^{n_2(\xi)} f'_1(\xi) - e^{n_2(\xi)} + e^{n_2(a)}} \right).
\]
Putting the values of \(c_1\) and \(c_2\), one has the required result. \(\square\)

We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \(\Delta = [0, b_1] \times [0, d_1]\). Also, let \(\mathcal{F} : \Delta \to \mathbb{R}\) be a positive coordinated exponentially convex function such that
\[
n_1 \leq \frac{(\eta - a_1)e^{n_2(\eta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi) - e^{n_2(\eta)} + e^{n_2(a, \xi)}}{(\eta - a_1)^2 \xi^2} \leq N_1
\]
and
\[
n_2 \leq \frac{(\xi - c_1)e^{n_1(\xi)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi) - e^{n_1(\xi)} + e^{n_1(\eta, \xi)}}{(\xi - c_1)^2 \eta^2} \leq N_2
\]
\(\forall \eta \in [0, b_1] \backslash \{a_1\}, a_1 \in (0, b_1)\) and \(\xi \in [0, d_1] \backslash \{c_1\}, c \in (0, d_1)\).

Consider the functions \(\alpha_\xi : [0, b_1] \to \mathbb{R}\), and \(\alpha_\eta : [0, d_1] \to \mathbb{R}\), defined as
\[
\alpha(\eta, \xi) = \log \left[ \max \{N_1, N_2\} \eta^2 \xi^2 - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} \right]
\]
and
\[
\beta(\eta, \xi) = \log \left[ e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} - \min \{n_1, n_2\} \eta^2 \xi^2 \right].
\]
Then \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are coordinated exponentially convex.

**Proof.** Suppose the partial mappings \(\alpha_\xi : [0, b_1] \to \mathbb{R}\) and \(\alpha_\eta : [0, d_1] \to \mathbb{R}\) defined as \(\alpha_\xi(\eta) := \alpha(\eta, \xi)\) for all \(\eta \in (0, b_1)\) and \(\alpha_\eta(\xi) := \alpha(\eta, \xi)\) for all \(\xi \in (0, d_1)\).

\[
P_{\alpha}(\eta) = \frac{e^{\alpha_\xi(\eta)} - e^{\alpha_\xi(a_1)}}{\eta - a_1}
\]
\[
= \frac{e^{\alpha_\xi(\eta)} - e^{\alpha_\xi(a_1)}}{\eta - a_1}
\]
\[
= e^{\log \left[ \max \{N_1, N_2\} \eta^2 \xi^2 - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} \right]} - e^{\log \left[ \max \{N_1, N_2\} \eta^2 \xi^2 - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} \right]}
\]
\[
= N_1 \eta^2 \xi^2 - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} - N_1 a^2 \xi^2 + e^{\mathcal{F}(a, \xi)}
\]
\[
= N_1 \left( \frac{\eta^2 - a^2}{\eta - a_1} \right) \xi^2 - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} - N_1 \frac{a^2 \xi^2}{\eta - a_1} + e^{\mathcal{F}(a, \xi)}
\]
\[
= N_1 \left( \frac{\eta^2 - a^2}{\eta - a_1} \right) \xi^2 - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} - e^{\mathcal{F}(a, \xi)}
\]
\[
= \frac{e^{\alpha_\xi(\eta)} - e^{\alpha_\xi(a_1)}}{\eta - a_1}.
\]
Proof. As provided that $\Upsilon$ and $\alpha$, by assumption $\alpha$ is exponentially convex. Hence by Lemma 2.1, $\alpha$ is coordinated exponentially convex. 

Similarly, one can show that $P'_{\alpha_2}(\eta) \geq 0$, $\forall \eta \in [0, a_1) \cup (a_1, b_1]$. 

By assumption

$$N_1 \xi^2 - \frac{(\eta - a_1) e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi) - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} + e^{\mathcal{F}(\alpha, \xi)}}{\eta - a_1} \geq 0,$$

Similarly, one can show that $P'_{\alpha_3}(\xi) \geq 0$, $\forall \xi \in [0, c_1) \cup (c_1, d_1]$. 

This ensure that $P'_{\alpha_k}$ is increasing on $[0, a_1) \cup (a_1, b_1]$ for all $a_1 \in [0, b_1]$ and $P'_{\alpha_{\xi}}$ is increasing on $[0, c_1) \cup (c_1, d_1]$ for all $c_1 \in [0, d_1]$. 

By (2.3), $\alpha$ is exponentially convex. Hence by Lemma 2.1, $\alpha$ is coordinated exponentially convex. 

Similarly, one can show that $\beta$ is coordinated exponentially convex. 

Here we give mean value theorems related to the functional defined for Petrović’s inequality for coordinated exponentially convex functions.

**Theorem 9.** Let $(\eta_1, ..., \eta_n) \in [0, b_1]$, $(\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \in [0, d_1]$ be non-negative n-tuples and $(q_1, ..., q_n)$, $(p_1, ..., p_n)$ be positive n-tuples such that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_k \eta_k \geq \eta_j$ for each $j = 1, 2, ..., n$. Also let $\varphi(\eta, \xi) = \log (\eta^2 \xi^2)$.

Let a positive coordinated exponentially convex function $\mathcal{F} \in C^1(\Delta)$, then there exist $(\xi, \eta)$ in the interior of $\Delta$, such that

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{(\eta - a) e^{\mathcal{F}(\xi, \eta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{F}(\xi, \eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}(\xi, \eta)} + e^{\mathcal{F}(\alpha, \eta)}}{\eta - a)^2 \xi^2} \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}) \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{(\xi - a) e^{\mathcal{F}(\xi, \eta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \mathcal{F}(\xi, \eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}(\xi, \eta)} + e^{\mathcal{F}(\alpha, \eta)}}{(\xi - a)^2 \eta^2} \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}), \quad (3.26)$$

provided that $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})$ is non-zero and $a \in (0, b_1)$, where $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F})$ is a linear functional.

**Proof:** As $\mathcal{F}$ has continuous first order partial derivative in $\Delta$, so there exist real numbers $n_1, n_2, N_1$ and $N_2$ such that

$$n_1 \leq \frac{(\eta - a) e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi) - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} + e^{\mathcal{F}(\alpha, \eta)}}{\eta - a)^2 \xi^2} \leq N_1.$$
and
\[ n_2 \leq \frac{(\xi - a)e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi) - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)} + e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)}}{(\xi - a)^2 \eta^2} \leq N_2, \]
\[ \forall \eta \in (0, b_1], \xi \in (0, d] \text{ and } a \in (0, b_1). \]

Consider the functions \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) defined in Lemma 3.3.

As \( \alpha \) is coordinated exponentially convex, then
\[ \mathcal{Y}(\alpha) \geq 0, \]
that is
\[ \mathcal{Y}\left(N_1 \eta^2 \xi^2 - e^{\mathcal{F}(\eta, \xi)}\right) \geq 0, \]
this gives
\[ N_1 \mathcal{Y}(e^\psi) \geq \mathcal{Y}(e^\phi). \quad (3.27) \]
Similarly \( \beta \) is coordinated exponentially convex, therefore one has
\[ n_1 \mathcal{Y}(e^\psi) \leq \mathcal{Y}(e^\phi). \quad (3.28) \]
By assumption \( \mathcal{Y}(e^\psi) \) is non-zero, so combining inequalities (3.27) and (3.28), one has
\[ n_1 \leq \frac{\mathcal{Y}(e^\psi)}{\mathcal{Y}(e^\phi)} \leq N_1. \]

Hence there exists \((\xi, \eta)\) in the interior of \( \Delta \), such that
\[ \mathcal{Y}(e^\phi) = \frac{(\eta - a)e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta)} + e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta)}}{(\eta - a)^2 \eta^2} \mathcal{Y}(e^\phi). \]

Similarly, one can show that
\[ \mathcal{Y}(e^\phi) = \frac{(\xi - a)e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta)} + e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta)}}{(\xi - a)^2 \eta^2} \mathcal{Y}(e^\phi), \]
which is the required result.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 10.** Let the conditions given in Theorem 3 be satisfied. Also let the positive coordinated exponentially convex functions \( \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2 \in C^1(\Delta) \), then there exist \((\xi, \eta)\) in the interior of \( \Delta \), such that
\[ \mathcal{Y}(e^{\mathcal{F}_1}) = \frac{(\eta - a)e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta)} + e^{\mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta)}}{(\eta - a)^2 \eta^2} \mathcal{Y}(e^{\mathcal{F}_1}), \]
and
\[ \mathcal{Y}(e^{\mathcal{F}_2}) = \frac{(\xi - a)e^{\mathcal{F}_2(\xi, \eta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \mathcal{F}_2(\xi, \eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}_2(\xi, \eta)} + e^{\mathcal{F}_2(\xi, \eta)}}{(\xi - a)^2 \eta^2} \mathcal{Y}(e^{\mathcal{F}_2}), \]
provided that the denominators are non-zero and \( a \in (0, b_1) \), where \( \mathcal{Y}(e^{\mathcal{F}_1}) \) and \( \mathcal{Y}(e^{\mathcal{F}_2}) \) are linear functional.
Proof. Suppose

\[ k = \log (c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2}), \]

where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are defined as

\[ c_1 = \mathcal{T}(e^{\mathcal{F}_2}), \]
\[ c_2 = \mathcal{T}(e^{\mathcal{F}_1}). \]

Using Theorem 3 with \( \mathcal{F} = k \), one has

\[ (\eta - a)e^{\log(c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2})(\xi, \eta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \log(c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2})(\xi, \eta) - e^{\log(c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2})(\xi, \eta)} \]
\[ + e^{\log(c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2})(\xi, \eta)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \log(c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2})(\xi, \eta) = 0 \]

\[ (\eta - a) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} (c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2})(\xi, \eta) - (c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2})(\xi, \eta) + (c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1} - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2})(\xi, \eta) = 0 \]

\[ (\eta - a) c_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) = (\eta - a) c_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta) - c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) + c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta) \]

\[ + c_1 e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) - c_2 e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta) = 0 \]

\[ c_1 \left\{ (\eta - a) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) + e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) \right\} - c_2 \left\{ (\eta - a) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta) + e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta) \right\} = 0 \]

\[ c_1 \left\{ (\eta - a) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) + e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) \right\} = c_2 \left\{ (\eta - a) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta) + e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta) \right\}, \]

\[ \frac{c_2}{c_1} = \frac{(\eta - a) e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) + e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta)}{(\eta - a) e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \mathcal{F}_2(\xi, \eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta) + e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta)}. \]

Similarly, one can show that

\[ \frac{c_2}{c_1} = \frac{(\xi - a) e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \mathcal{F}_1(\xi, \eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta) + e^{\mathcal{F}_1}(\xi, \eta)}{(\xi - a) e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \mathcal{F}_2(\xi, \eta) - e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta) + e^{\mathcal{F}_2}(\xi, \eta)}. \]

Putting the values of \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \), one has the required result. \( \square \)

4. CONCLUSION

We have defined the coordinated exponentially convex functions. Petrović’s type inequality for exponentially convex and coordinated exponentially convex functions have been derived. We also derived Lagrange type and Cauchy type mean value theorems for Petrović’s type inequality for exponentially convex and coordinated exponentially convex functions. Some new special cases are discovered. We hope that the strategies of this paper will motivate the researchers working in functional analysis, information theory and statistical theory. This is a new path for research in future.
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