

On Pólya-Szegő Type Inequalities via \mathcal{K} -Fractional Conformable Integrals

Saima Rashid
Department of Mathematics,
Government College University, Faisalabad, Pakistan,
Email: saimarashid@gcuf.edu.pk

Fahd Jarad
Department of Mathematics,
Çankaya University, 06790 Ankara, Turkey
Email: fahd@cankaya.edu.tr

Muhammad Aslam Noor
Department of Mathematics,
COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan,
Email: noormaslam@gmail.com

Khalida Inayat Noor
Department of Mathematics,
COMSATS University Islamabad, Islamabad, Pakistan,
Email: khalidan@gmail.com

Farhat Safdar
Department of Mathematics,
SBK Women University, Quetta, Pakistan,
Email: farhat_900@yahoo.com

Received: 26 July, 2019 / Accepted: 11 March, 2020 / Published online: 01 May, 2020

Abstract. The studies of inequalities regarding the fractional differential and integral operators are considered to be essential because of their potential applications among researchers. This paper consigs to the generalizations of novel fractional integral inequalities. The Pólya-Szegő type variants are generalized by involving \mathcal{K} -fractional conformable integrals (*KFCI*). This is the \mathcal{K} -analogue of the fractional conformable integrals. We discuss the implications and other consequences of the \mathcal{K} -fractional conformable fractional integrals.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification Codes: 26D15; 26D10, 90C23

Key Words: Integral inequality; Pólya-Szegő inequality; Conformable \mathcal{K} -fractional integral.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fractional calculus is the calculus of integrals and derivatives of any arbitrary real or complex order. Recently fractional calculus has gained significant popularity because it provides several potential tools for solving various problems arising in different fields of pure and applied sciences. For example, it contributes very well to problems involving special functions of mathematical physics. Another reason for its involvement in other branches of science is that it can be considered a powerful tool for describing the long-memory process. For useful detail, see [14, 46, 47]. In many branches of pure and applied mathematics, the fractional differential and integral operators are very beneficial devices to perform the large variety of complicated amounts of powers of differentiation and integration. For an entire description of fractional calculus operators similarly to their features and applications, we refer the readers to the research manuscripts by Miller and Ross [12] and Kiryakova [9]. Numerous diverse definitions of fractional integrals at the side of their applications may be sought within the literature. Every description has its own benefits and suitable for applications to fantastic problems in various topics of sciences. Recently, Jarad et al. [6] contributed one greater element to the test of fractional operators with the useful resource of introducing new fractional integral and derivative operators which is probably based totally on the extremely-modern fractional calculus iteration procedure on conformable derivatives introduced by Abdeljawad [1].

Inequalities concerning fractional integrals are deemed to be critical as they may be valuable in the study of diverse differential and integral equations (see [13, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42]). This technique has drawn the attention of many mathematicians in the past several years. For inequalities associated with generalized fractional operators, we refer [5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 15, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. A variety of fractional integral operators is referred to in the literature for their fertile applications in every field of sciences. Sarikaya et al. [44] used the concepts of Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals and obtained a fractional analogue of Hermite-Hadamard's inequality. This idea compelled many researchers to use the concepts of fractional calculus in the theory of inequalities. Resultantly several new fractional analogues of classical results have been obtained using different novel and innovative approaches. The \mathcal{K} -analogues of numerous classical and fractional operators have been taken into consideration approximately a decade ago by few researchers, see the references [16, 19, 20, 21, 36, 43, 45]. We describe some \mathcal{K} -analogues of classical operators existing in the literature.

Mubeen and Habibullah [14] used this specific \mathcal{K} -functions concept in fractional calculus for very recently in literature in the form of \mathcal{K} -Riemann-Liouville fractional integral. Recently, many researchers are providing the new fractional differential operators and their generalized versions using iteration techniques and also for parameter $\mathcal{K} > 0$. Additionally, they determined the relationships of these generalized fractional operators with existing fractional and classical operators for the specific values of the parameters concerned. The study of fractional type inequalities is also of great importance. We refer the reader to [2, 17, 45] for further information and applications.

Pólya-Szegő integral inequality is one of the most intensively studied inequality. This inequality was introduced by Pólya-Szegő [18]:

$$\frac{\int_u^v f_1^2(\lambda)d\lambda \int_u^v h_1^2(\lambda)d\lambda}{\left(\int_u^v f_1(\lambda)h_1(\lambda)d\lambda\right)^2} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{QR}{qr}} + \sqrt{\frac{qr}{QR}} \right)^2. \tag{1.1}$$

Dragomir and Diamond proved that [4]

$$|\mathfrak{I}(f_1, h_1)| \leq \frac{(Q - q)(R - r)}{4(v - u)\sqrt{qrQR}} \int_u^v f_1(\lambda)d\lambda \int_u^v h_1(\lambda)d\lambda,$$

where f_1 and h_1 are two positive integrable functions on $[u, v]$ such that

$$0 < q \leq f_1(\lambda) \leq Q < \infty, \quad 0 < r \leq h_1(\lambda) \leq R < \infty.$$

The theory of special \mathcal{K} -functions was originated by Diaz and Pariguan in the form of \mathcal{K} -Pochhammer symbol $(x)_{n,\mathcal{K}}$, the \mathcal{K} -gamma function $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ and the \mathcal{K} -beta function $\delta_{\mathcal{K}}$ (see [3]):

$$(\mu)_{n,\mathcal{K}} := \mu(\mu + \mathcal{K})(\mu + 2\mathcal{K})\dots(\mu + (n - 1)\mathcal{K}), \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, \mathcal{K} > 0),$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!\mathcal{K}^n(n\mathcal{K})^{\frac{\mu}{\mathcal{K}}-1}}{(\mu)_{n,\mathcal{K}}}, \quad \mathcal{K} > 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where $(\mu)_{n,\mathcal{K}}$ is the Pochhammer \mathcal{K} -symbol for factorial function. The \mathcal{K} -gamma function can also be shown explicitly as the Mellin tranform of the exponential function $e^{-\frac{\vartheta\mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{K}}}$ given by

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu) = \int_0^{\infty} \vartheta^{\mu-1} e^{-\frac{\vartheta\mathcal{K}}{\mathcal{K}}} d\vartheta, \quad x > 0.$$

Clearly,

$$\Gamma(\mu) = \lim_{\mathcal{K} \rightarrow 1} \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu), \quad \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu) = \mathcal{K}^{\frac{\mu}{\mathcal{K}}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\mu}{\mathcal{K}}\right)$$

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu + \mathcal{K}) = \mu \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\mu).$$

Further, \mathcal{K} -delta function denoted by

$$\delta_{\mathcal{K}}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}} \int_0^1 \vartheta^{\frac{x}{\mathcal{K}}-1} (1 - \vartheta)^{\frac{y}{\mathcal{K}}-1} d\vartheta,$$

such that $\delta_{\mathcal{K}}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}} \delta\left(\frac{x}{\mathcal{K}}, \frac{y}{\mathcal{K}}\right)$ and $\delta_{\mathcal{K}}(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(x)\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(y)}{\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(x+y)}$.

The main objective of this manuscript is to introduce the fractional conformable integrals said in [5] in the frame of $\mathcal{K} > 0$ as well as its existence. We additionally generalize the Pólya-Szegő inequalities given in [18] for two positive functions involving innovative technique known as \mathcal{K} -fractional conformable integrals (KFCI). we have provided the inequalities and associated consequences concerning one and fractional parameters. The

work involved to the inequalities, their fertile application, and stability we refer the readers to [6, 46, 47].

Abdeljawad [1] introduced the left and right fractional conformable derivatives for a differentiable function f_1 in the form:

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\delta_1^+}^\alpha f_1(\lambda) = (\lambda - u)^{1-\alpha} f_1'(\lambda),$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\delta_2^-}^\alpha f_1(\lambda) = (v - \lambda)^{1-\alpha} f_1'(\lambda),$$

The corresponding left and right fractional conformable integrals for $0 < \alpha < 1$, by

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\delta_1^+}^\alpha f_1(x) = \int_u^x \frac{f_1(\lambda)}{(\lambda - u)^{1-\alpha}} d\lambda,$$

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\delta_2^-}^\alpha f_1(x) = \int_x^v \frac{f_1(\lambda)}{(v - \lambda)^{1-\alpha}} d\lambda.$$

Recalling the concept of the fractional conformable integral operator, which is mainly due to Jarad et al. [6].

Definition 1.1. For $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$, and $Re(\delta) > 0$, then the left-sided and right-sided fractional conformable integral operator of order δ is defined as

$${}^\delta \mathcal{J}_{u^+}^\alpha f_1(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_u^x \left(\frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\delta-1} f_1(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}},$$

$${}^\delta \mathcal{J}_{v^-}^\alpha f_1(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_x^v \left(\frac{(v-x)^\alpha - (v-\lambda)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\delta-1} f_1(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{(v-\lambda)^{1-\alpha}},$$

where $\Gamma(\delta)$ is the Gamma function is defined as

$$\Gamma(\delta) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\mu} \mu^{\delta-1} d\mu.$$

Next, we define the generalized left and right fractional conformable integrals in the frame of a new parameter $\mathcal{K} > 0$ introduced in [5].

Definition 1.2. For $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$, $Re(\delta) > 0$, and let f_1 be a continuous function on a finite real interval $[u, v]$. Then generalized left-sided and right-sided KFCI of order δ is defined as

$${}^\delta \mathcal{K} \mathcal{J}_{u^+}^\alpha f_1(x) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{K} \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \left(\frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}}-1} f_1(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}}, \quad (1.3)$$

$${}^\delta \mathcal{K} \mathcal{J}_{v^-}^\alpha f_1(x) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{K} \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_x^v \left(\frac{(v-x)^\alpha - (v-\lambda)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}}-1} f_1(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{(v-\lambda)^{1-\alpha}} \quad (1.4)$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the Euler \mathcal{K} -Gamma function, $\mathcal{K} > 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we establish certain Pólya-Szegő type integral inequalities for positive integrable functions involving the generalized \mathcal{K} -fractional conformable integral operator (1.3).

Theorem 2.1. *Let f_1 and h_1 be two positive integrable functions on $[0, \infty)$. Assume that there exist four positive integrable functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1$ and ψ_2 on $[0, \infty)$ such that:*

$$(I) \quad 0 < \varphi_1(\lambda) \leq f_1(\lambda) \leq \varphi_2(\lambda), \quad 0 < \psi_1(\lambda) \leq h_1(\lambda) \leq \psi_2(\lambda), \quad (\lambda \in [u, x]).$$

Then for $\delta > 0$, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} \right) \{ \psi_1 \psi_2 f_1^2 \} (x) \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} \right) \{ \varphi_1 \varphi_2 h_1^2 \} (x)}{\left(\left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} \right) \{ (\varphi_1 \psi_1 + \varphi_2 \psi_2) f_1 h_1 \} (x) \right)^2} \leq \frac{1}{4}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. From (I), for $\lambda \in [u, x]$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\varphi_2(\lambda)}{\psi_1(\lambda)} - \frac{f_1(\lambda)}{h_1(\lambda)} \right) \geq 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Analogously, we have

$$\left(\frac{f_1(\lambda)}{h_1(\lambda)} - \frac{\varphi_1(\lambda)}{\psi_2(\lambda)} \right) \geq 0. \quad (2.7)$$

Multiplying (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\varphi_2(\lambda)}{\psi_1(\lambda)} - \frac{f_1(\lambda)}{h_1(\lambda)} \right) \left(\frac{f_1(\lambda)}{h_1(\lambda)} - \frac{\varphi_1(\lambda)}{\psi_2(\lambda)} \right) \geq 0. \quad (2.8)$$

The inequality (2.8) can be written as

$$\varphi_1(\lambda) \psi_1(\lambda) + \varphi_2(\lambda) \psi_2(\lambda) f_1(\lambda) h_1(\lambda) \geq \psi_1(\lambda) \psi_2(\lambda) f_1^2(\lambda) + \varphi_1(\lambda) \varphi_2(\lambda) h_1^2(\lambda). \quad (2.9)$$

Now, multiplying both sides of (2.9) by $\frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \frac{(x-u)^{\alpha} - (\lambda-u)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} - 1 \frac{1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}}$, then integrating the resulting inequality with respect λ from u to x , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^{\alpha} - (\lambda-u)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} - 1 \frac{\varphi_1(\lambda) \psi_1(\lambda) + \varphi_2(\lambda) \psi_2(\lambda) f_1(\lambda) h_1(\lambda) d\lambda}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^{\alpha} - (\lambda-u)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} - 1 \frac{\psi_1(\lambda) \psi_2(\lambda) f_1^2(\lambda)}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} d\lambda \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^{\alpha} - (\lambda-u)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} - 1 \frac{\varphi_1(\lambda) \varphi_2(\lambda) h_1^2(\lambda)}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} d\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

from which, one has

$${}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} (\varphi_1 \psi_1 + \varphi_2 \psi_2) f_1 h_1 (x) \geq {}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} \psi_1 \psi_2 f_1^2 (x) + {}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} \varphi_1 \varphi_2 h_1^2 (x).$$

Applying the AM – GM inequality, that is., $\mu + \nu \geq \sqrt{\mu\nu}$, $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{R}^+$, we have

$${}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} (\varphi_1 \psi_1 + \varphi_2 \psi_2) f_1 h_1 (x) \geq \sqrt{{}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} \psi_1 \psi_2 f_1^2 (x) {}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} \varphi_1 \varphi_2 h_1^2 (x)},$$

which leads to

$${}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \psi_1 \psi_2 f_1^2(x) \, {}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \varphi_1 \varphi_2 h_1^2(x) \leq \frac{1}{4} \, {}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha (\varphi_1 \psi_1 + \varphi_2 \psi_2) f_1 h_1(x)^2.$$

Therefore, we obtain the inequality (2. 5) as requested. \square

As a special case of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 2.2. Let f_1 and h_1 be two positive integrable functions on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying

(II) $0 < q \leq f_1(\lambda) \leq Q < \infty$, $0 < r \leq f_1(\lambda) \leq R < \infty$, $\forall \lambda \in [u, x]$.

Then for $\delta > 0$ and $\vartheta > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \{f_1^2\}(\lambda) \left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \{h_1^2\}(\lambda) \right)}{\left(\left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \{f_1 h_1\}(\lambda) \right)^2 \right)} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{qr}{QR}} + \sqrt{\frac{QR}{qr}} \right)^2.$$

Theorem 2.3. Let all assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then for $\delta > 0$ and $\vartheta > 0$, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \{\psi_1 \psi_2\}(x) \left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \{f_1^2\}(x) \left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \{\varphi_1 \varphi_2\} \left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \{h_1^2\}(x) \right) \right) \right)}{\left(\left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \{\varphi_1 f_1\}(x) \left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \{\psi_1 h_1\}(x) \right) + \left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \{\varphi_2 f_1\}(x) \left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \{\psi_2 g\}(x) \right) \right) \right)^2 \right)} \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Proof. To prove (2. 11), using the condition (I), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{\varphi_2(\lambda)}{\psi_1(\rho)} - \frac{f_1(\lambda)}{h_1(\rho)} \right) \geq 0,$$

and

$$\left(\frac{f_1(\lambda)}{h_1(\rho)} - \frac{\varphi_1(\lambda)}{\psi_2(\rho)} \right) \geq 0,$$

which imply that

$$\left(\frac{\varphi_1(\lambda)}{\psi_2(\rho)} + \frac{\varphi_2(\lambda)}{\psi_1(\rho)} \right) \frac{f_1(\lambda)}{h_1(\rho)} \geq \frac{f_1^2(\lambda)}{h_1^2(\rho)} + \frac{\varphi_1(\lambda)\varphi_2(\lambda)}{\psi_1(\rho)\psi_2(\rho)}. \quad (2. 11)$$

Multiplying both sides of (2. 11) by $\psi_1(\rho)\psi_2(\rho)g^2(\rho)$, we have

$$\varphi_1(\lambda)f_1(\lambda)\psi(\rho)g(\rho) + \varphi_2(\lambda)f_1(\lambda)\psi_2(\rho)g(\rho) \geq \psi_1(\rho)\psi_2(\rho)f_1^2(\lambda) + \varphi_1(\lambda)\varphi_2(\lambda)h_1^2(\rho). \quad (2. 12)$$

Multiplying both sides of (2. 12) by $\frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\mathcal{K}\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)\mathcal{K}\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\vartheta)} \frac{\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}}-1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} \frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\rho-u)^\alpha}{\mathcal{K}} \frac{\frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}}-1}{(\rho-u)^{1-\alpha}}$ and double integrating with respect to λ and ρ from u to x , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}}-1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} \varphi_1(\lambda) f_1(\lambda) d\lambda \Bigg) \\ & \times \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\vartheta)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\rho-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}}-1}{(\rho-u)^{1-\alpha}} \psi(\rho) h_1(\rho) d\rho \Bigg) \\ & + \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}}-1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} \varphi_2(\lambda) f_1(\lambda) d\lambda \Bigg) \\ & \times \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\vartheta)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\rho-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}}-1}{(\rho-u)^{1-\alpha}} \psi_2(\rho) h_1(\rho) d\rho \Bigg) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\rho)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\rho-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}}-1}{(\rho-u)^{1-\alpha}} \psi_1(\rho) \psi_2(\rho) d\rho \Bigg) \\ & \times \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}}-1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} f_1^2(\lambda) d\lambda \Bigg) \\ & + \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}}-1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} \varphi_1(\lambda) \varphi_2(\lambda) d\lambda \Bigg) \\ & \times \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\vartheta)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\rho-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{\frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}}-1}{(\rho-u)^{1-\alpha}} h_1^2(\rho) d\rho \Bigg). \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \varphi_1 f_1(x) \frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \psi h_1(x) + \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \varphi_2 f_1(x) \frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \psi_2 g(x) \\ & \geq \frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \psi_1 \psi_2(x) \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^2(x) + \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the AM – GM inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \varphi_1 f_1(x) \frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \psi h_1(x) + \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \varphi_2 f_1(x) \frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \psi_2 h_1(x) \\ & \geq 2\sqrt{\frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \psi_1 \psi_2(x) \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^2(x) \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \varphi_1 \varphi_2 \frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^2(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the desired inequality (2. 11). This completes the proof. \square

As a special case of Theorem 2.3, we get the following result.

Corollary 2.4. *Let f_1 and h_1 be two integrable functions on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying (II). Then for $\delta > 0$ and $\vartheta > 0$, we have*

$$\frac{\alpha^{\frac{\delta+\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta+k) \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\vartheta+k)}{(x-u)^{\frac{\alpha(\delta+k)}{\mathcal{K}}}} \frac{\left(\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha\right)\{f_1^2\}(x) \left(\frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha\right)\{h_1^2\}(x)}{\left(\left(\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha\right)\{f_1\}(x)\right) \left(\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha\right)\{h_1\}(x)} \leq \frac{1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{pq}{PQ}} + \sqrt{\frac{PQ}{pq}} \right)^2.$$

Theorem 2.5. *Suppose that all assumptions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied. Then for $\delta > 0$ and $\vartheta > 0$, the following inequality holds:*

$${}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^2(x) \quad {}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^2(x) \leq {}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \left\{ \frac{\varphi_2 h_1 f_1}{\psi_1} \right\}(x) \quad {}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \left\{ \frac{\psi_2 f_1 h_1}{\varphi_1} \right\}(x). \quad (2.13)$$

Proof. From condition (I), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \left(\frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}}-1} \frac{1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} f_1^2(\lambda) d\lambda \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \left(\frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}}-1} \frac{1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\varphi_2(\lambda)}{\psi_1(\lambda)} f_1(\lambda) h_1(\lambda) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \right) \{ f_1^2 \}(x) \leq \left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \right) \left\{ \frac{\varphi_2 f_1 h_1}{\psi_1} \right\}(x). \quad (2.14)$$

Analogously, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\vartheta)} \int_u^x \left(\frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\rho-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}}-1} \frac{1}{(\rho-u)^{1-\alpha}} h_1^2(\rho) d\rho \\ & \leq \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\vartheta)} \int_u^x \left(\frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\rho-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\vartheta}{\mathcal{K}}-1} \frac{1}{(\rho-u)^{1-\alpha}} \frac{\psi_2(\rho)}{\varphi_1(\rho)} f_1(\rho) h_1(\rho) d\rho, \end{aligned}$$

from which one has

$$\left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \right) \{ h_1^2 \}(x) \leq \left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \right) \left\{ \frac{\psi_2 f_1 h_1}{\varphi_1} \right\}(x). \quad (2.15)$$

Multiplying (2.14) and (2.15), we get the desired inequality (2.13). \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let f_1 and h_1 be two positive integrable functions on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying (II). Then for δ and $\vartheta > 0$, we have*

$$\frac{\left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \right) \{ f_1^2 \}(x) \left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \right) \{ h_1^2 \}(x)}{\left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \right) \{ f_1 h_1 \}(x) \left({}_{\mathcal{K}}\mathcal{J}_u^\alpha \right) \{ f_1 h_1 \}(x)} \leq \frac{QP}{qP}.$$

3. OTHER INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES FOR GENERALIZED \mathcal{K} -FRACTIONAL CONFORMABLE INTEGRALS

In this section, we give some new integral inequalities for generalized \mathcal{K} -fractional conformable integrals.

Theorem 3.1. *Let f_1 and h_1 be two positive function defined on $[0, \infty)$, and $p, q > 1$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Then the following inequalities hold:*

- (a)
$$q \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^p \right) (x) \frac{(x-u)^{\frac{\alpha}{\delta}}}{\alpha^{\frac{\delta}{\delta}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\vartheta+k)} + p \frac{(x-u)^{\frac{\alpha}{\delta}}}{\alpha^{\frac{\delta}{\delta}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta+k)} \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^q \right) (x) \geq pq \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1 \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1 \right) (x),$$
- (b)
$$q \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^p \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^q \right) (x) + p \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^q \right) (x) \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^p \right) (x) \geq pq \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1 h_1 \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1 h_1 \right) (x),$$
- (c)
$$q \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^p \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^q \right) (x) + p \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^q \right) (x) \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^p \right) (x) \geq pq \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1 h_1^{p-1} \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1 h_1^{q-1} \right) (x),$$
- (d)
$$q \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^q \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^p \right) (x) + p \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^p \right) (x) \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^q \right) (x) \geq pq \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^{p-1} h_1^{q-1} \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1 h_1 \right) (x),$$
- (e)
$$q \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^p \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^2 \right) (x) + p \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^q \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^2 \right) (x) \geq pq \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1 h_1 \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha (f_1 h_1)^{\frac{2}{q}} \right) (x),$$
- (f)
$$q \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^2 \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^{2-p} \right) (x) + p \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^2 \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha h_1^{2-q} \right) (x) \geq pq \left(\delta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha f_1^{\frac{2}{q}} \right) (x) \left(\vartheta \mathcal{J}_u^\alpha (h_1)^{\frac{2}{q}} \right) (x).$$

Proof. According to well-known Young’s inequality, one obtains

$$\frac{1}{p} a^p + \frac{1}{q} b^q \geq ab \quad \forall a, b \geq 0, p, q > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1. \tag{3.16}$$

Putting $a = f_1(\lambda)$ and $b = h_1(\rho)$, $\lambda, \rho > 0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{p} f_1(\lambda)^p + \frac{1}{q} h_1(\rho)^q \geq f_1(\lambda) h_1(\rho), \quad f_1(\lambda) h_1(\rho) \geq 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.17) by $\frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \left(\frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta}-1} \frac{1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}}$, then integrating the resulting inequality with respect λ from u to x , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{p} \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \left(\frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta}-1} \frac{1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\lambda)^p d\lambda \\ & + \frac{1}{q} h_1(\rho)^q \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \left(\frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta}-1} \frac{1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} d\lambda \\ & \geq g(\rho) \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \left(\frac{(x-u)^\alpha - (\lambda-u)^\alpha}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\delta}-1} \frac{1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\lambda) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\frac{1}{p} \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1^p \right) (x) + \frac{1}{q} \frac{(x-a)^{\frac{\alpha \delta}{\kappa}}}{\alpha^{\frac{\delta}{\kappa}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta+k)} h_1(\rho)^q \geq h_1(\rho) \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1 \right) (x).$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.17) by $\frac{1}{k \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\vartheta)} \left(\frac{(x-u)^{\alpha} - (\rho-u)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\vartheta}{\kappa}-1} \frac{1}{(\rho-u)^{1-\alpha}}$, then integrating the resulting inequality with respect λ from u to x , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & q \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1^p \right) (x) \frac{(x-u)^{\frac{\alpha \vartheta}{\kappa}}}{\alpha^{\frac{\vartheta}{\kappa}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\vartheta+k)} + p \frac{(x-u)^{\frac{\alpha \delta}{\kappa}}}{\alpha^{\frac{\delta}{\kappa}} \Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta+k)} \left({}^{\vartheta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} h_1^q \right) (x) \\ & \geq pq \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1 \right) (x) \left({}^{\vartheta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} h_1 \right) (x), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (a). □

The rest of inequalities can be shown in similar way by the following choice of parameters in the Young's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} (b) \quad (a) &= f_1(\lambda) h_1(\rho), & (b) &= f_1(\rho) h_1(\lambda). \\ (c) \quad (a) &= \frac{f_1(\lambda)}{h_1(\lambda)}, & (b) &= \frac{f_1(\rho)}{h_1(\rho)}, \quad h_1(\lambda), h_1(\rho) \neq 0. \\ (d) \quad (a) &= \frac{f_1(\rho)}{f_1(\lambda)}, & (b) &= \frac{h_1(\rho)}{h_1(\lambda)}, \quad f_1(\lambda), h_1(\rho) \neq 0. \\ (e) \quad (a) &= f_1(\lambda) h_1^{\frac{2}{p}}(\rho), & (b) &= f_1^{\frac{2}{q}}(\rho) h_1(\lambda). \\ (f) \quad (a) &= \frac{f_1^{\frac{2}{p}}(\lambda)}{h_1(\rho)}, & (b) &= \frac{h_1^{\frac{2}{q}}(\lambda)}{h_1(\rho)}, \quad h_1(\rho), f_1(\rho) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating the foregoing argument, we obtain (b) – (f).

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that f_1 and h_1 are two positive function defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that for all $\lambda \in [u, x]$,

$$q = \min_{u \leq \lambda \leq x} \frac{f_1(\lambda)}{h_1(\lambda)}, \quad Q = \max_{u \leq \lambda \leq x} \frac{f_1(\lambda)}{h_1(\lambda)}. \quad (3.18)$$

Then the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) \quad & 0 \leq \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1^2 \right) (x) \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} h_1^2 \right) (x) \leq \frac{(q+Q)^2}{4qQ} \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1 h_1 \right) (x), \\
 (b) \quad & 0 \leq \sqrt{\left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1^2 \right) (x) \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} h_1^2 \right) (x) - \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1 h_1 \right) (x)} \\
 & \leq \frac{(\sqrt{Q} - \sqrt{q})^2}{2\sqrt{qQ}} \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1 h_1 \right) (x), \\
 (c) \quad & 0 \leq \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1^2 \right) (x) \left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} h_1^2 \right) (x) - \left(\left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1 h_1 \right) (x) \right)^2 \\
 & \leq \frac{(\sqrt{Q} - \sqrt{q})}{4qQ} \left(\left({}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1 h_1 \right) (x) \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from (3. 18) and

$$\left(\frac{f_1(\lambda)}{h_1(\lambda)} - q \right) \left(Q - \frac{f_1(\lambda)}{h_1(\lambda)} \right) h_1^2(\lambda) \geq 0, \quad u \leq \lambda \leq x, \tag{3. 19}$$

we can write as

$$f_1^2(\lambda) + qQh_1^2(\lambda) \leq (q+Q)f_1(\lambda)h_1(\lambda). \tag{3. 20}$$

Multiplying (3. 20) by $\frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \left(\frac{(x-u)^{\alpha} - (\lambda-u)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} - 1} \frac{1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}}$, which is positive because $\lambda \in (u, x)$. Then by integrating with respect to λ , over u to x , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^{\alpha} - (\lambda-u)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} - 1 \frac{1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} f_1^2(\lambda) d\lambda \\
 & + qQ \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \int_u^x \frac{(x-u)^{\alpha} - (\lambda-u)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} - 1 \frac{1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} h_1^2(\lambda) d\lambda \\
 & \leq (q+Q) \frac{1}{k\Gamma_{\mathcal{K}}(\delta)} \frac{(x-u)^{\alpha} - (\lambda-u)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \frac{\delta}{\mathcal{K}} - 1 \frac{1}{(\lambda-u)^{1-\alpha}} f_1(\lambda)h_1(\lambda) d\lambda, \tag{3. 21}
 \end{aligned}$$

implies that

$${}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1^2 (x) + qQ {}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} h_1^2 (x) \leq (q+Q) {}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1 h_1 (x). \tag{3. 22}$$

On the other hand, it follows from $qQ > 0$ and

$$\sqrt{{}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1^2 (x) - \sqrt{qQ} {}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} h_1^2 (x)}^2 \geq 0,$$

observe that

$$2\sqrt{{}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1^2 (x)} \sqrt{qQ} \sqrt{{}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} h_1^2 (x)} \leq {}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1^2 (x) + qQ {}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} h_1^2 (x), \tag{3. 23}$$

then from (3. 22) and (3. 23), we obtain

$$4qQ {}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1^2 (x) {}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} h_1^2 (x) \leq (q+Q)^2 {}^{\delta} \mathcal{J}_u^{\alpha} f_1 h_1 (x). \tag{3. 24}$$

Which implies (a). By some transformation of (a), similarly, we obtain (b) and (c). \square

Authors contributions: All the authors worked jointly and contributed equally. They all read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest: All the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the Rector, COMSATS University Islamabad, Pakistan, for providing excellent research and academic environments. Authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions and comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Abdeljawad, On conformable fractional calculus, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **279** (2015): 57-66, doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2014.10.016.
- [2] A. Anber and Z. Dahmani, New integral results using Polya-Szego inequality, *Acta et Commentationes Universitatis Tartuensis de Mathematica*, **17**, No.2(2013), http://acuttm.math.ut.ee.
- [3] R. Diaz and E. Pariguan, On Hypergeometric Functions and Pochhammer \mathcal{K} -symbol, *Divulgaciones Matemáticas*, **15**, No.2(2007), 179-192, arXiv:math/0405596 [math.CA].
- [4] S. S. Dragomir, and N. T. Diamond, Integral inequalities of Gruss type via Polya-Szeg and Shisha-Mond results. *East Asian Math. J.*, **19** (2003), 27-39.
- [5] S. Habib, S. Mubeen, M. N. Naeem and F. Qi, Generalized \mathcal{K} -fractional conformable integrals and related inequalities, (2018), hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01788916.
- [6] F. Jarad, E. Ugurlu, T. Abdeljawad, and Dumitru Baleanu. On a new class of fractional operators, *Adv. Differ. Equ.*, **1** (2017): 247, doi: 10.1186/s13662-017-1306-z.
- [7] H. Kalsoom, S. Hussain, S. Rashid.: Hermite-Hadamard type integral inequalities for functions whose mixed partial derivatives are co-ordinated preinvex, *Punjab. Univ. J. Math.*, **52**, No.1(2020), 63-76.
- [8] H. Kalsoom, S. Rashid, M. Idrees, D. Baleanu, Y. -M. Chu.: Two variable quantum integral inequalities of Simpson-type based on higher order generalized strongly preinvex and quasi preinvex functions, *Symmetry*, **51**, No.12(2020); doi:10.3390/sym12010051.
- [9] V. Kiryakova, Generalized fractional calculus and applications, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1(1994).
- [10] M. A. Latif, S. Rashid, S. S. Dragomir, Y. -M. Chu.: Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for co-ordinated convex and quasi-convex functions and their applications, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **2019**, No.2019:317, doi.org/10.1186/s13660-019-2272-7.
- [11] J. F. Li, S. Rashid, J. B. Liu, A. O. Akdemir, F. Safdar.: Inequalities involving conformable approach for exponentially convex functions and their applications, *J. Fun. spaces*, **2020**, Article ID 6517068, 17 pages; doi.org/10.1155/2020/6517068.
- [12] K. S. Miller and B. Ross, An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York/Singapore, (1993).
- [13] D. S. Mitrinovic, J. E. Pecaric, A. M. Fink, Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series), Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, Boston, (1993).
- [14] S. Mubeen and G. M. Habibullah, \mathcal{K} -fractional integrals and application, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci.*, **7**, No.2(2012), 89-94.
- [15] M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor and S. Rashid, Some new classes of preinvex functions and inequalities, *Mathematics*, **29**, No.7(2019), doi:10.3390/math7010029.

- [16] D. Nie, S. Rashid, A. O. Akdemir, D. Baleanu, J. B. Liu.: On some new weighted inequalities for differentiable exponentially convex and exponentially quasi-convex functions with applications, *Mathematics*, **7****27**, No.7(2019), doi:10.3390/math7080727.
- [17] S. K. Ntouyas, P. Agarwal and J. Tariboon, On Polya?Szego and Chebyshev types inequalities involving the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operators, *J. Math. Inequal*, **10**, No.2(2016), 491-504, doi.org/10.7153/jmi-10-38.
- [18] G. Polya and G. Szego, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis, Band 1, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 19*, J. Springer, Berlin. (1925), doi.org/10.1002/zamm.19660460226
- [19] Q. Khan, L. Abdullah, T. Mahmood, M. Naeem, S. Rashid.: MADM based on generalized interval neutrosophic schweizer-sklar prioritized aggregation operators, *Symmetry*, **11****87**, No.10(2019); doi.org/10.3390/sym 11101187.
- [20] S. Rashid, M. A. Noor and K. I. Noor, Fractional exponentially m -convex functions and inequalities, *Inter. J. Anal. Appl*, **17**,No.3(2019), 464-478, doi.org/10.28924/2291-8639.
- [21] S. Rashid, T. Abdeljawed, F. Jarad, M. A. Noor.: Some estimates for generalized Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals of exponentially convex functions and their applications, *Mathematics*, **8****07**, No.7(2019), doi:10.3390/math7090807.
- [22] S. Rashid, A. O .Akdemir. F. Jarad, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor.: Simpson?s type integral inequalities for K -fractional integrals and their applications, *AIMS. MATH.* **4**, No.4(2019), 1087-1100, doi:10.3934/math.2019.4.1087.
- [23] S. Rashid, A. O. Akdemir, M. A. Noor, K. I. noor.: Generalization of inequalities analogous to preinvex functions via extended generalized Mittag-Leffler functions, In Proceedings of the International Conference on Applied and Engineering Mathematics-Second International Conference, ICAEM 2018, Hitec Taxila, Pakistan, 27?29 August 2018.
- [24] S. Rashid, Z. Hammouch, H. Kalsoom, R. Ashraf, Y. -M. Chu.: New Investigation on the Generalized K -Fractional Integral Operators, *Frontier in Physics*, **2****5**, No.8(2020), doi: 10.3389/fphy.2020.00025.
- [25] S. Rashid, F. Jarad, H. Kalsoom, Y. -M. Chu.: Grüss type inequalities for generalized K -fractional integral, *Adv. differ. eqss*, accepted, (2020)
- [26] S. Rashid, F. Jarad, M. A. Noor.: Grüss-type integrals inequalities via generalizedproportional fractional operators, *RACSAM*, **114**, No.93(2020); doi.org/10.1007/s13398-020-00823-5.
- [27] S. Rashid, F. Jarad, M. A. Noor, H. Kalsoom, Y.-M. Chu.: Inequalities by means of generalized proportional fractional integral operators with respect to another function, *Mathematics*, **12****25**, No.7(2020), doi:10.3390/math7121225.
- [28] S. Rashid, H. Kalsoom, Z. Hammouch, R. Ashraf, D. Baleanu, Y. -M. Chu.: New multi-parametrized estimates having p th-Order differentiability in fractional calculus for predominating h -convex functions in Hilbert Space, *Symmetry*, **12**, No.2(2020); doi.org/10.3390/sym12020222.
- [29] S. Rashid, M. A. Latif, Z. Hammouch, Y. -M. Chu.: Fractional integral inequalities for strongly h -preinvex functions for a k th order differentiable functions, *Symmetry*, **14****48**, No.11(2019); doi:10.3390/sym11121448.
- [30] S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor, Y. -M. Chu.: Ostrowski type inequalities in the sense of generalized K -fractional integral operator for exponentially convex functions, *AIMS Mathematics*, **5**, No.3(2020): 2629?2645, doi:10.3934/math.2020171.
- [31] S. Rashid, F. Safdar, A. O. Akdemir, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor.: Some new fractional integral inequalities for exponentially m -convex functions via extended generalized Mittag-Leffler function, *J. Inequal. Appl*, **2019**,No.2019:299,doi:.org/10.1186/s13660-019-2248-7.
- [32] S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor, F. Safdar, Y. -M. Chu.: Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for the class of convex functions on time scale, *Mathematics*, 2019, 7, 956; doi:10.3390/math7100956.
- [33] S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor.: Inequalities involving new fractional integrals technique via exponentially convex functions, *Ukrainian Math. J. Preprint*, (2020).
- [34] S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor.: Some generalize Riemann-Liouville fractional estimates involving functions having exponentially convexity property, *Punjab. Univ. J. Math*, **51**, No.11 (2019), 01-15.
- [35] S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor.: Inequalities pertaining fractional approach through exponentially convex functions, *Fractal Fract.* **3****7**,No.3(2019), doi:10.3390/fractalfract3030037.
- [36] S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor.: New Estimates for Exponentially Convex Functions via Conformable Fractional Operator, *Fractal Fract.* **1****9**, No.3(2019); doi:10.3390/fractalfract3020019.

- [37] S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor.: Modified exponential convex functions and inequalities, Open. Access. J. Math. Theor. Phys, **2**,No,2(2019), 45-51.
- [38] S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor.: Some new estimates for exponentially (h,m)-convex functions via extended generalized fractional integral operators, Korean J. Math. **27**, No.4(2019), 843-860, doi: Org/10.11568/kjm.2019.27.4.843.
- [39] S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor, A. O. Akdemir.: Some new generalizations for exponentially s -convex functions and inequalities via fractional operators, Fractal Fract. **24**, No.3(2019), doi:10.3390/fractalfract3020024.
- [40] S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor, F. Safdar.: Integral inequalities for generalized preinvex functions, Punjab. Univ. J. Math, **51**, No.10(2019), 77-91.
- [41] S. Rashid, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor, F. Safdar.: New Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for exponentially GA and GG convex functions, Punjab, Univ. J. Math, **52**, No.2(2020), 15-28.
- [42] F. Safdar, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor, S. Rashid.: Some new estimates of generalized (h1,h2)-convex functions, J. Prime Res. Math. **15**(2019), 129-146.
- [43] M. Z. Sarikaya, Z. Dahmani, M. E. Kiris and F. Ahmad, (k, s) -Riemann-Liouville fractional integral and applications, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., **45**, No. 1(2016), 77-89, doi: 10.15672/HJMS.20164512484.
- [44] M. Z. Sarikaya, E. Set, H. Yaldiz and N. Basak, On Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for fractional integrals and related fractional inequalities, Math. Comput. Model. **57**(2013), 2403-2407, doi: 10.1016/j.mcm.2011.12.048.
- [45] E. Set, Z. Dahmani and I. Mumcu, New extensions of Chebyshev type inequalities using generalized Katugampola integrals via Pólya-Szegő inequality, An Inter. J. Optim. Control Theories. Appl, **8**, No. 2(2018), doi.org/10.11121/ijocta.01.2018.00541.
- [46] K. Shah and R. A. Khan, Study of solution to a toppled system of fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions, Int. J. Appl. Comput. Math., **3**, No.3(2017), 2369-2388.
- [47] H. M. Srivastava and Z. Tomovski, Fractional calculus with an integral operator containing a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel, Appl. Math. Comput., **211**(2009), 198-210, doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2009.01.055.