Journal of Politics and International Studies

Vol. 5, No. 2, July-December 2019, pp. 105-126

Iran's Nuclear Quest, Obama's Deal and Trump's Cancellation: A Critical Analysis

Dr. Ghulam Fareed

Assistant Professor, Govt. Postgraduate College, Depalpur

Correspondence: gfareed.advocate@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Realist state's political necessity is the practiced morality and every actor's strategic interest on the upper crest of human geography is survival. Existence with power is the raison d' etat a Darwinian principle and Herbert Spenser phrase the "survival of the fittest" and Jeremy Bentham's endorsement of vital necessity in the state of war for longer living species is the power dynamics. The military arms build-up is the realist actor's traditional mercantilism for power accumulation. Iran's clandestine nuclear-constructive practice was competitively compatible to Israel's identical aggressive sequential moves against Palestinians, and deliberately burgeoning military campaigns to suzerain the neighboring Arabs' territories. In unipolar power's strategic dictionary the adversary's possible possession of nuclear potential is negative alarming threat to the international peace and the ally's nuclear absolutism is a positive security paradigm US lenses asymmetric amidst Israel (-,-) Iran the two regional belligerents.

Keywords: Ontological, Theocratic, Boffins, Nuclear, Constellation, empathetic, adversary

Introduction

In March 2000, USSOS Madeleine Albright addressed to the American-Iranian Council (AIC); 'US ever played most "significant role" since 1950s in Iran's internal/external affairs.' (Madeleine A. YouTube Video, 2000). US ever interfered in domestic politico-economic affairs of the country. The parliamentary system was attacked by Eisenhower Administration justifying a coup against Mossadegh's democratic regime to augment the immediate US strategic purposes. The resentment of US penetration is still continued in Iranian socio-political heartland. In 2005, Bill Clinton the US President (1993-2000) during addressing Economic Forum (EC) at Devos remarked; 'The story is very sad and condemnable. It is not popular for an American to remember and open the past ontological layers. I believe that we (US) had derailed their parliamentary democracy and enthroned back the monarchy in the country. We must apology for that activity. Khatami is elected now as Iran's President and US-Iran rapprochement' reinvigoration would be the rational strategic development.' (Clinton, B. Youtube, Video, 2015, Nov. 3) Consistently in 2009, Obama spoke to Islamic World at Cairo and removed the dust from the layers of US-Iran history and commented; 'During the first decade of the Cold War period the Americans actively did the regime change and replaced with powerful monarchical authoritarian system in Iran. This overthrown had substantiated the consequences for us that still we are embarrassing. The Iran's nefarious actions of Americans hostage-vigils in 1979 and disastrous internal move against foreigners in Iran had grown diplomatic thorns which are still piercing in ours consistent philosophical code of belief or perceptions.' (Obama, You-Tube Video, 2015, Nov.5).

Steadily, the US-Iran history of non-traditional power started in 1950s the incentives of cooperation for nuclear device of civil-energy. US ignited the patronized technical cooperation to install in 1967 the Tehran Nuclear Research Center (TNRC) and provided the Nuclear Research Reactor for 5 Mega Watt level of electricity generation. The NRR was small but overly fueled to highly process the Uranium enrichment function. Pahlavi the Iran's King asked US for extensive cooperation, so that the state could achieve the level of productivity figured 23000 M We till the termination of the 20th century. To supervise the strategic program Organization state's Atomic Energy (AEO) was (www.history.com/ 2018: 15). Iran took off the diplomatic tours to maintain contractual agreements with nuclear fuel suppliers/actors and prepared the relevant training for professionals and workers. Iran paid \$US 1 billion to burden the stake for 10 percent in French Uranium Enrichment Plant (FUEP), the Urodif's Tricastin and 15 percent for Tinto Rio the Namibia's Rossing Uranium Mine (RTZ). South Africa was dealt for \$US 700 million cost of Uranium supply of Yellowcake. World doors were opened for Iran's consolidation of nuclear energy. On the diplomatic run-offs the US restrictive move specific to Iran's nuclear were the donkey's horns. The baseline or foundational drilling/construction for nuclear capability Iran primarily achieved from the US and allies under US-patron umbrella.

Iran's nuclear efforts majorly relied on US and European actors' assistance during the monarchical regime. The US-Iran's relations remained cordial until the Mossadegh era. Premier Mossadegh was a nationalist, and nationalized the oil resources of Iran. The policy of nationalization prompted the US and the West. The political controversy emerged amidst the Shah and the Premier on the cabinet formation that practically fomented to marginalize the discretionary power of the Monarch. Shah rejected the Premier's role for cabinet's appointments and consequently the outcome was Premier's resignation. (Timeline, 1951: 22). The demo and riot engulfed the streets countrywide. Subsequently, Premier was reinstated. On July 17, 1952 Iran's Parliament processed political reforms and curtailed the imperial power through constitutional amendments. US and Western Europe nuclear cooperation with Iran was steadily continued until the 1979 Islamic ideological revolution. In 1968 July 1, Iran was the first nation who assigned and ratified afterward in 1971 the obligations of NPT to be implemented. On which the state was freed to be provided with enriched Uranium. In 1974 the actor undertook the (NSA) Nuclear Safeguard Agreement provisos with the IAEA. The SAPs had to be monitored and credibly determined the actor's nuclear machinations were not for military dimensions but only specific for civil objectives. (Nikou S.N., n.d.: 7). In 1975, in South Western province along the coastline of Persian Gulf at Bushehr the Simens's subsidiary Kraftwerk the Germany's Berliner Company agreed to start the construction of 1200 Megawatts-generated reactors of light water. The agreement was signed in mid1976.

To diversify the Kingdom's civil-nuclear energy resources the US President in 1975 guaranteed to develop the plan specific for Iran's peaceful power purposes. The actor's proclamation to be NPT signatory was to be freed for comprehensive projection of opening the dense deposits of oil for export and to utilize the nuclear energy for industries of petrochemicals to maximize state's revenues. The Pahlavi's regime managed to construct more than 22 nuclear reactors for 23

megawatt output of electricity. Iran's progressive nuclear programme absorbed more than 1500 highly-salaried scientists and workers, and the AEOI budget touched the figure \$US 1.3 billion which made the organization of huge economic 1975 Pahlavi's planned demands were pledged reinforced/endorsed by the US President Gerald Ford. In 1976, US Presidential directive substantiated the desired demands and freed the Kingdom to be provided with reprocessing device to extract the elemental plutonium from burnt material/fuel. Ford-Pahlavi's deal materialized the actor's politico-nuclear leverage for complete cycle of nuclear fuel to be freely mechanized. The deal opened the doors of donations toward US Massachusetts IT institutions. (Jahanpour F. 2015: 6). The donations bargained for Iranian's nuclear professional ambitions to be fulfilled for training to upgrade the skills of expertise. In the same year Iran contracted French organization on 10 percent shares in Urodif, and paid 1.18 \$US billion. The projection-contraction was for supply of enriched Uranium and to utilize the nuclear energy for petro-chemical industries that could free the oil deposits of the actor to be exported for bonanza of revenue collections. To be maintained with systemic preparation the Iran's nuclear-energy capability the US Presidential directive of 1976 created an opportunity for elemental-extraction with US-given facility of reprocessing reactor. King's \$20USD million donated amount to MIT opened the admission slips for Iranians to be well-trained for nuclear-sites' functions which are still working in actor's nuclear organization. Ali Akbar Saleh the chief negotiator for Iran-US talks is evidently one of them.

In 1970s, Iran already submitted to the IAEA monitoring, undertook the NPT norms and obligations and accommodated the treaty for ratification. The USA included Western Powers helped to materialize the country's nuclear ambitions pre-1979 the bloody theocratic change. The revolutionary hardliner's move for abdication of the Shah of Iran and the banishment offended and prompted the Western powers and launched the US politico-economic/ regional strategic concerns at emergent stake. The Western actors moved to comprehensively abandon the nuclear cooperation. (Bahgat G. 2006:313). Post-revolution the new regime prepared to negotiate with French Executive in 1980s and later-on with Argentina in 1990s. Proposed agreements were sign-in to develop the required nuclear criterion. In 1979 February, the Soviet Union had already firstly recognized the post-revolution the Sovereign entity of Islamic Iran. Post-Soviet-Union termination/crumble and the emergence of Russian Federation the dvad relations consistently reconstructed cordial and reciprocated into commercial and diplomatic ties. Meanwhile, the Russia's influence moved to reinvigorate the Iranian ambitions to be fulfilled with nuclear expertise' entrepreneurship and technological advancement. Russia established the SIJRO the Soviet-Iran Joint Research Organization (2012:49) and provided the expertise of technicalities with nuclear informative patterns. (Saul J., Hafezi P., 2014: 7). The Rosatom the Russia's official atomic agency had installed and completed the Iran's first power plant/reactor the Bushehr in 2011. The Atomenergoprom the Russia's nuclear engineering contractor declared the Bushehr will touch within a year a full capacity.

In the 1980s the actor's clandestine efforts to change the civil operational devices for nuclear power objectivity the State Revolutionary Guard Corpse (IRGC) was

charged to securitize the sites and the process. The Iran's security decision making inferences make felt the future sanctions of threats from Iraq, Israel, Pakistan and the United States. To achieve the sophistication of absolute strategic power the policy makers with purposeful/mindful and empathetic considerations determined to need the nukes. Whereas, apparently the Iran's political leaders' words remained in negating fabrications that they were making isotopes for health and medical purposes. (Duheaume T. 2017: 8). The US and Israel the arch opponents of Iran's quest for such strategic achievement consistently assumed that the actor's intentions and covert struggles for such acquisition of non-traditional military power had touched the ignition since Khominie's captured the political power. The masterminds/ boffins continually engaged undercover in nuclear engineering process. To construct nuclear device the Islamic regime was remained indulge profoundly for expertise as well as relevant equipment the multi-sourced intelligence gathered information evidenced in. The state's National Council of Resistance (NCRI) in which a domestic political opposition group Mujahedeen-e-Khalq (MeK) crystallized the actor's undercover atomic warheads' construction to be installed on missiles. (Lewis J. 2015:3). The centrifuges to be facilitated with the elemental' enrichment process in underground location the Western declared terrorist group of hardliners MeK in 2002 signified the foreign intelligence to be operationalized at Natanz (Isfahan Province) the physical search/investigation to open up the solid proof. The UN IAEA and the American CIA had rudimentary reports that even the testing procedure was underway for military capability.

Allegations the NCRI leveled against the regime's real quest for a-bomb were seen and generalized as politically motivated effort to de-track actor's invulnerable activity. The skeptical reasons particular to information were debatable in the American political and intelligence corridors. The images gathered via the satellite the tunneling or the excavation on large scale was not revealed in electronic reflections. The minimum 2000 square meter digging was invisible to transform electricity sub-station into centrifuges functionality suitable for particular processing, and more over the ventilation for workers to be breathed in. The NCRI reports were evidently the falsification of fabrication. (Bowen W.Q., Kid J. 2004:257). The nuclear proposed building doors must have to be radiation proof as thick in facility 3 by 3 and weighty in minimum 8 tons, so that the leakage and emission of radio-activity would be prevented. In 2003, Iran moved to sign the IAEA Nuclear Protocol Facilitation obligation documents. The International pressure tried to debunk the actor's motives to be nuclear-has in future. To separate the Plutonium and enrich the On Uranium the two-sided function of nuclear power manufacture-grade systematization the country had not shown the fiascoes in working on. The political denial of material-grade composition capability the foreign spokes' prospection was propelling the facts in deep sea in her official statements. USA and UK were evolving in skeptics in their foreign discourses and remaining incredible in seeing the no weapon response. (Dueck C., Takeyh R. 2007: 195). The US and EU member states have different strategies to be accelerated against actor's efforts for WMD. The reconciliation for unanimous approach to be built up was rationally necessitated within the negotiating orbit of great powers themselves which was not still present till 2007.

Why Iran wants the power of the nukes? Whether Iran wants intimidation against Israel or the United States?. Were the NPT obligations/norms paralyzed and could

not be abided by the signer state Iran? What options Iran domestic political constructs were seeking to be adapted. The nuclear case of India if we compare the NPT regime was touching its demise in US-India strategic partnership and dead skeleton of NPT was seeming fully energetic in restricting Iran's quest for such gains. Winkling sweetly/friendly when the US and the West European powers were happened eying the Israel's overt/covert accumulation of nuclear military power and why there is precipitation of irritation or Lemon's juice was squeezing in Western Liberal eyes on Iran's move for the same. The US-Iran consistent deliberation of antagonism has meaning of understandable consequences. Nuclear Iran can potentiate its political military influence at the regional as well as international affairs which US prospects as threats to her and allies interests across the M.E. region and at large. (USDS, 2006:12). The hardliner Mahmoud Ahmadinejad (2005-2013) the Iran's popular President was not defeated in the US rational diplomatic offences. Consistently to Gaddafi's statement in 1990, Ahmadinejad denounced the Jewish state existence in the region and prescribed to be returned the Zionists towards Europe and there their settlement as state. The President titled them the occupiers' minority. Offending on the President's statements against Israel USDS declared Iran a state merchandizing and sponsoring of international terrorism and condemned the words "unacceptable". (Bruno G. 2011:15) US Bush Administration deliberately prospected Iran a terrorist and is providing training, weaponing and maintaining sanctuaries to terrorist constellations and fomenting undercover terrorist attacks on our allies security of Israel, Iraq, Lebanon and Afghanistan. US officially claimed the situation is alarming for our national security. Iran's nuclear preparation reportedly now emerged productive for the terrorist marketer actor. The Iranian nukes, if possessed, are awesomely crucial, and reasonably, may go in the close convenience of our enemies, specifically the Non-State Actors (NSAs) and their criminal habitat an arch adversary.

US-Iran adversarial hotspots have an additional room of new conflicts on Iranian standpoint of 'Holocaust Myth' (Ahmadinejad M.2006) a denial version of anti-Semitic concerns which solidifies the pretexts of offensive emergence of Jewish State legitimacy/illegitimacy. The Iranian President address to UNGA session in 2008, the American Senate accused the remarks anti-Semitic. Iran's domestic political instability emerged on the Presidential statements shows the pro-Semitic impression was how profound as the spiritual leader Khamenei's close political elite Ali Akbar Velavati and Mahdi Karroubi the religious cleric condemned and slammed the President's statements and verified the concentration episode a reality of Hitler's anti-Semitic genocidal move. Barak Obama challenged the Iranian leadership and prescribed to see the spot of Buchenwald would be a proved synthesis of true historical record.(Obama H. B. FOX News, 2009). US tongued for Israel whenever the superpower was ever going to speak with Arabs Muslim entities. The triumph of hostile US-Iran relations emerged partly due to Israel-Iran hostility. Mahmoud Ahmadinejad acclaimed; 'Hitler's action of holocaust is hoax. Iran will wipe off Israel from the global map'. (Hafezi, P., Sidarat, F. 2009: 11). Media framed and projected for generating sympathy in the international community for the support of Israel to make a geographic space in the Middle East region for legitimate emergence of such occupation. The incendiary words prompted the leaders of the Jewish state as well as western supporters to tighten

their stance to defend the cause of the legitimacy of Jewish state. The development was highly inflammatory against the state of Israel which changed radically the external outlets of Western diplomacy.

Obama's Policy toward Iran

In 2008 the Obama's election criteria was inclusive to normalize relations with Iran. It was hoped the diplomatic deadlock would be an end and diplomatic bargaining would be the peace articulation. The emergent international systemic constraints frustrated the expected development and the administration's intentions. The Arab Spring, Syrian turmoil and idiosyncratic role of Hassan Rouhani and Israel's security factor epitomized and recapitulated the nuclear issue to be solved with pragmatic approach. Assuming the Presidential slot Obama spoke to the Egyptians in 2009 and explored the US onward policy towards Middle East; 'many issues are pending on development to pre-negotiation but we are going to touch the most controversial but with contingency of bilateral respect of mutuality' (Castiglioni C.2013: 2). The words/vocabulary would be in the changed dictum than the predecessor's. The actions and deeds were continued in the same direction, nature and force. The predecessor's implanted sanctions remained in status-quo. To offensively restrict Iran from acquiring nuclear arsenals the US strategic horses were standing ready in brisk to show the will and resolve credibility if Iran would not be willing to cooperate with allies' deliberated move for negotiating deal.

New allegations were leveled and the nuclear seeker was constructively linked to regional terrorism. The US policy prospection of Iran's quest was sketched to horizon universally the nuclear face of the actor a ghastly and horrid. Iran was consistently standing on the forefront of US strategic interest to be confronted politically and strategically. The US security interest can be understood firstly in the context of the security and sustenance of regional hegemony of Israel and proposed drilling of oil in million barrels per day, security of oil wells and drainage system the transit routes and strategic areas/points. The petro-dollar versus Euro-dollar controversy the silent EU internal quest to supersede US dollar in International Political Economy (IPE) and the silent denial of superpower political hegemony. Saudi (-,-) Iran's virtual Jurisprudential divide/schism the deep-standing enmity has no space to go altogether for regional peace and stability. US strategic collaboration with Saudi Monarchy was apparently for regional peace and internally for divide empra the ancient Romans' instrument for rison d'etat the easy to understand the complicated policy. That has meaningful signpost for regional entities to be interpreted for rational decisions and an understanding for strategic analysts to organize pragmatic research on new hypothesis. Iran's domestically dominant theocratic political system is neither well-suited to US nor Western allies' politico-strategic business in the Middle East. The adversary's nuclear quest is un-acceptable and collectively targetable under the US Congressional Henry J. Hide Act 1954 and CTBT the nuclear test ban regime.

Iran's long-standing political system the representative tradition and the intellectual portion of population made argumentatively open queries on legitimacy of the political system. The authority generated a brilliant/hopeful space to travel toward the responsible popular regime and burial of theocratic-oriented

revolutionary policies. The revolutionary-ridden regime is contemporarily a hard nut to be cracked by the causality of Arab-Spring phenomenon which could neither create volcanic upheaval into the domestic socio-political layers nor dare to breach the external politico-strategic fabric of Iran. The internal mobilized intimidation level was halted reducibly low with forceful influence of religious leaders on the resurgence of US and allies' wishful activism. The leadership hardliner elements reinforced the supreme leadership role more dominant and paramount. (Maloney, S. 2011: 15). The Syrian Uprising (SU) jeopardized the Iran's politico-strategic bundle of fibrous tissues and weakened the accessibility to strategic theatrical designing/dramatizing across the Levant. The 2006 Israel-Lebanon war and the first ever defeat of Israel by the Hezbollah the proxy of Tehran inconsistently offended the US and allies. The new conflict was identified in US diplomatic projection of Iran's recognition of terror-sponsor and was arrayed in the axis of evil. The US constructivist diplomatic process deepened the bilateral belligerency and post-revolutionary the US manufactured designs of noncooperative moves against Iran escalated the tensions between the Pre-Shah international friends and foes. Machiavellian's thought the realist diplomatic foundational principle "Today's friend can quickly become tomorrow's enemy" synthesized the interest is the friend not actor (Individual/ state). (Schimdt, Smith S., Owen. P., 2001:146).

Obama administration initiated diplomacy contours to eliminate the perceived threats from the actor's quest for achieving nuclear capability. The allied actors' tactical diplomatic responses were to be accessed under incredible margin of understanding. Surely, Iran was not making the ICBM or was close to develop such range of non-traditional arsenal that could target the bellicose superpower and her allies beyond the continent. The diplomatic noise was so loud that the credibility of absolute destructive power was shown to be ensured that seemingly the adversary possesses. The US and Iran bilateral CBMs or diplomatic gestures were not going to reciprocate the constructed critical situation. During election campaign for Presidential slot Obama chanted moderate slogans to abstain from military strategies to counter the threats to the national security of the United States. (Shanker, 2013:A6). The non-skeptics of non-military moves surprised the observers in the first and also in the second term of Obama's administration's political conviction for external strategic devices were more realistic on military terms akin to his predecessor. Obama's engagement in critical foreign issues was non-demonstrative and reticent. Snowden Edward the US official of National Security Agency (NSA) declassified the secrets and confirmed; 'Obama projected "Olympic Games" already started by Bush Junior the collective device of cyberattack in the form of computerized bug called "Stuxnet Malware" only designed to target/permanently freeze/destruct the Iran's nuclear centrifuges the mechanism of enriching the elemental material (Uranium, Plutonium) for nukes' construction or to touch the weapon-gradation. (Sanger, D. 2012:A1). The nuclear sites and the working facilities were attacked with US-Israel's joint strategy of NSA. The first ever US major cyber offensive was successfully used for substantial/material destruction. Consequently the 20th percent of 5000 centrifuges were ceased to ruin the functional accuracy/capability of elemental spinning. The Tehran's intending pace of physical development of nukes was slowed down minimum for two years. This was strategized on intelligence of Iran's efforts on tunneling into mountains

near Qom to facilitate the a-bomb composition process. The US administration rejected to be preferred the preponderant military-strategy based on series of bombings or penetrating by the Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs)/Drones would be the counter-productive if attempt.

Obama signed the (MDB) Major Defense Bill of Economic Sanctions into Law against Iran. The George Alexander espoused coercive strategy was imposed sternly with unanimous consent of the allies to halt the actor from acquiring nuclear potential. The US sanctions on Iran is less than four decades long history, firstly implemented under Presidential Order 12170 (E.O.) of US Jimmy Carter in 1979, November on the unanticipated incident of (AEH) American Embassy Hostage drama. The proposed sanctions froze the \$USD12Billion valued of Iran's assets. On the second step the Sanctions Act (SA) against Libya and Iran (LISA) was exercised in 1996 August 5 and then again released Libya, and pinpointed Iran to be cordoned with renewal phase of ISA. In 1995, Bill Clinton under E.O. 12957 as well as 12959 the series of sanctions was accelerated. In 2005 the US pushed UNSC to move sanctions on Iran for actor's non-cooperatively recalcitrant behaviour with IAEA and posed non-compliance with International Agency's Nuclear Safeguards (IAEANS) policy. The UNSC Resolutions 1737 (2006), 1747(2007), 1803 (2008) were engineered on the US chronological proposals against the adversary. (Reitmeyer, J. 2015:15). The US administration in 2010 emphasized the UNSC for a new resolution of 1929 to fortify the Iran's economy on world-diplomatic construction of isolation engineered with more new restrictive patterns of trade sanctions. In the same year the CISADA Divestment and Accountability Act for Comprehensive Sanctions on Iran Obama signed to move to enhance the barriers. In September 2010, (13553), May 2011, (13574), November 2011, (13590), the Presidential Executive Orders were administered against the adversary. In 2013 July 31 the sanctions were toughened by the domestic political resolution from lower chamber of US Congressional (USHOR) move of majority votes of 400 to 20. On the pretext of Iran's nuclear business the state was thoroughly sanctioned multi-dimensionally to be phenomenally isolated politically and economically. Objectively/Coercively it was squeezed on strategic resources to intentionally prepare to egoistically bow-down and submitted to the US and allies proposed directions.

Between Iran and the International Community (IC) there was a great escalation during Obama's era. Interviewing to the Bloomberg in March 2014, 'Obama described to stress; the actor should ponder on all US proclaimed options including military pre-emption. Our 35000 military personnel are engaged in strategic exercise and prone to ready for action, and our military command is listening the words of US Commander In chief.' (Newman M. 2014: 1). Jazayeri M. the Iranian Brig. General mocked the US military strike option as incredible/bluff. The General responded in bluff against bluff; if the super an adversary makes an offensive militarily the radio-active hell would be ahead. Afkham Marziyeh the defending actor Foreign Office spokesman commented the Obama's words are equal to undermining of negotiating process. The US threats-command-effects contra to the international principles had meaning for Israel to be satisfied and for Iran to be surrendered submissively.

In 2013 November 24 for interim Geneva Accord (JPOA) the Joint Plan of Action (JPA) between the P5+1 and the EU agreed to soften and not fully waived a part of

some sanctions in exchange of Iran's little submission to curb proportionately for six months the nuclear development. The pre-negotiations settled the venue at Vienna Switzerland and fixed time for negotiation the 20th January 2014. The consent of successful initiatives of dialogue the First Formal Agreement (FFA) was a credible journey toward expected nuclear deal consolidation. The diplomatic bargaining was purposeful, mindful and empathetic an advancement between belligerent pair of dyed. (Dahl F., Pawlak J. 2014:3). Only a limited part of the restrictions on one producer in OPEC was reversed on the credible watchdoggingnote of UNO pertaining to actor's fulfillment of agreed brake of nuclear process. The stressed actor's economic crippling had bent the political pride of her sovereign power. Gaining the equal share of power realistically has no right of the weaker entity consistently/invariably in world politics. On absolute non-traditional power dividends the dominant world power family (EU+US) is the claimant of unchallengeable monopoly. The power bidder family the emergent combination of four powers (3P+1): (US, UK, France plus Germany) of the West shepherded China and Russia to see their security contingents in the security and dominant power of Israel in the M.E. region. The diplomacy remained off and on in the context of Iran's nuclear issue. Israel sought and stressed the chief patron to prioritize the military attack under the banner of no negotiations. Iran denied the quest is particularly for civil nuclear energy and not for acquisition of absolute power of a-bomb. Ashton C., the EU Chief of Foreign Affairs (CFA) and the sole diplomatic plenipotentiary/representative of six nations in joint, denoted; 'The more significant is pre-negotiation. The series of MOUs are essential to weaken the security concerns of EU would be an understandable initiative for Iran to seek the single objective of peaceful nuclear electricity and remain within CTBT boundary.' (Holland S., Mason J., 2014:15).

On bargaining forwardness the credible move Iran adopted an off strategy on working machines at nuclear site. The commitment followed the providence of the sacred succour and relaxation. The nuclear issue was coincided with strategic issue-area of Syrian regime to whom the Iran was reinforcing and patronizing blatantly. Syrian survival of Bashar ul Asad regime was the Iran's strategic interest in the region. Saleih A.A. the Chief of (IAEO) Iran Atomic Energy Organization interviewed IRNA; 'the deadlocking iceberg is steadily melting through intermittent dialogues amidst the negotiating dyed. \$US 4.2 billion from the frozen foreign oil revenues the actor could draw out for six months during the sustenance of interim deal. The trade in precious stones, gold and metals, petro-chemical products was relaxed.' (The Associated Press, 2018:17). In 2013, December 20 at WH. Washington briefing room Obama directed; 'A chance we must have to create for flexible diplomatic contours and negotiating table to be held betwixt the varying actors for peaceful outcome.' (Ernest J.2013:1). A major portion of sanctions the US and the allies disagreed to set aside till the diplomatic assignment satisfied the actors to finalize the deal. Psaki Jen the USDS spokesman told Reuters; 'the possible problematic the seeming entropy could not be randomized and the negotiators/parties will have to exhaust tuff time. Nevertheless, the offensive enforcement of un-endurable sanctions will remain coercively functional unless the complete desired outcome materialized.' (Rampton R., 2014:13). The Iran's economy will have to suffer unless the arrival of the comprehensive agreed instrument. The ad-hoc/temporary comfort for limited period was akin to first aid

to Iran's micro-economic bleeding injuries and hospitalization is remote for complete medication the stabilizing economy. Congress and Israel dejected on such development even for this limited economic solace the Jews caucuses stress was for turning more the screw gradually against the non-complier.

With forceful diplomatic vocabulary the US administration rejected the Iran's nuclear development (ND). In 2013, September Obama addressed the UNGA and claimed: 'It may be a mistake to understand Iran's nuclear efforts would be for abomb formation, not challengeable for US to be contained. US confident to do well as required for prevention. The option our official internally negotiated to adopt even the offensive/military the credibility may be in finality if the tacit ultimatum or try and see approach remain counterproductive.' (Jervis R. 2013:105). The Alexander George advised tools the amalgamation of threats and promises were in the diplomatic pipelines to be combined and directed pragmatically after searching heuristically for more effective alternatives. The stick and carrots are the credible threats and noticeable pledges to change the adversary's purposeful behavior for achievement of the targets. The lack of transparency/opaqueness and long-standing US-Iran trust-deficits was perceived a rocky-hurdle and understandably a nightmare in choosing alternatives/strategies. The idealism was optically in burial demise in US-Iran relationship and realism enveloped in infinite MOUs as the adversarial dyed were strictly standing still ontologically in each other's epistemology. The US constructivist top-priority strategic interest in Middle East would be the Israel's vital strategic interest contingent with oil reservoirs, drainage and shipment security. In the context of non-Machiavellian/non-Kantian the Hobbesian cultural friendship amidst the US-Israel the world analysts must have to be searched for the realist assumed timeless truth of Morgenthau's interest-orientation in terms of power whether Israel would remain a tool or instrument sustainably in US imperialistic control in the form of strategic sequential moves impliedly for politico-economic rule on the region to constrain unlimitedly the strategic power of the Arabs.

Provisions and Parameters of Obama's Deal

Iran was the signer of NPT and so for civil nuclear energy the actor was rightful and legitimate to continue the required level of non-weapon grade elemental enrichment function. To systematize the nuclear process toward the prohibited intentional direction it was challenging to the nuclear regime's provisos. For the sake of legitimate international objectives of peace and security US-EU the dominant western coalition wrapped into the pattern of purposeful persuasive diplomatic variants took down successfully the deal. No doubt the state of Iran and the subjects were standing in single queue and same will-page to acknowledge the deal was an outcome of suppression for illegitimate objectives. (Cronberg T. 2018: 244) Likewise the US& partner EU the interest contrasts internally were signified by the Journalists and the critics of diplomacy in-between. Resultantly the US-Iran deal was analytically studied as the EU-Iran deal an obvious multilateral success of EU and strategic partners. The US led military machination in Iraq was a threatening message to Iran to be derailed from nuclear weapon construction journey. Undoubtedly it was cleared a naked truth quoted in the reports of CIA/UNIAEA that Iraq did not possess the nuclear/chemical/biological/MDWs. It was demonstrated the sanctions threats are credible and offensive strategy in heavy artillery against the non-complier may be en-route to. The Iran's compliance

outcome was the negotiations for deal the promises of reward and non-compliance the punitive strategies.

From 2012 to 2016 Iran oil export was banned that crippled the actor's economy and calculated fault was measurably/immeasurably \$US 48 billion to 72\$US billion annually. Post deal on sanctions' lifting the complier/actor could get access to her frozen accounts of \$US100 billion and the entrance of global market would be opened for marketing and trading. Obama administration satisfactorily exhibited confidence in Iran's commitment to prevent all clandestine activities for nuke architecture and for ten years opening access to IAEA's robust inspection, verification and extra-ordinary monitoring. The dismantling at Arak the world powers' strict demand was originated since 2013. The signified Arak reactors the actor submitted not to commission or fuel the concerned and the spent fuel would have to be transported out till the reshaping of re-arrangement occurred. The restructuring of reactor would be initiated to modulate the function of weapon-(Katzman K., Kerr P.K. 2016:13). Until 2031 the agreed grade plutonium. adversary would never prepare to construct the reactors concerning the heavy water output and even the accumulation of isotopes deuterium in molecules of hydrogen-filled-water would not be permitted to replace. The Additional Protocol the actor agreed to be guaranteed for IAEA Safeguards facilitation/ Agreement which will maintain the diminished irresistibility for the UN Agency's Inspectors till the period to sustain shuttered-up-functionality to touch any of the reactors at any site/point/place. Deal indicates a limited time of 24 days for Iran to submit for IAEA's Watchdog convenience. The stubbornness or denial to submission before the commission in joint included the targeted adversary will reverse the implementation of sanctions and the offensive punitive design would be suffice to the commission to coerce the non-complier forcefully towards new directions.

Prior to deal Iran was processing through (20000) twenty thousand centrifuges and potentiated to compose minimum10 atomic weapons. To give final touches to the nuke product Iran needed for stipulated timeframe of two to three months ahead. The US intelligence informed that 90 percent enriched stockpiling Iran possessed. The time was alarming, may be so-called to generate the emergency in diplomacy and sensitize the threatening states' framing effects. The US administration concentrated on deal's significance and claimed the development could maintain to keep far away Iran for at least a couple of years for visible inappropriate development of an absolute power of weapon. The research machination for atomic development was also agreed to be abandoned. Consequently, the IAEA casted for suspension of further investigation that already lasted for decade long duration. Amano Yukiya the IAEA Director General reported; 'Iran conducted a series of overt/covert activities since 2003 until 2009 to be destined strategically to achieve the non-traditional strategic status and currently there is nothing could be found as such on the actor's territorial surface and beneath.'(Sterio M. 2016:74). The noteworthy point was the submission contingent with non-violation of any of the aspects of the deal and if ever could proportionately happen the automatically "Snap Back" of sanctions would be replaced for determined period of single decade and may be elasticized for further half decade. The de-tracking from credible moves of assigning pledges would have vigorous/rigorous consequences. The deal was consolidated with open-ended threats to be unleashed against the

defaulter at the end-credibility. The framing effects were the perception-derivatives of the threatening party. The media and Israel were the main actors of frames' construction for the main party to be believed against the complier/non-complier. The UNSC would be the final decider to act with thorough consent of the actors which is already chartered herein UNSC covenant under section 51 of the collective security obligation. Iran bent down on five years UN arms' embargo to be sustained until the IAEA authorized inspection satisfaction to be ordained. More over the UN eight years ban on the import of BM technology on defending state will remain effective.

Figure 1

IAEA ACCESS COULD NEVER BE RESTRICTED TO INSPECT WHENEVER IT DEEMS SUSPECT



FIGURE 2
TO LIMIT NUCLEAR PROCESS IRAN'S AGREED THE CHANGES IN JCPOA



Trump Disavows the Machiavellian Move

Breaking up the Obama's bottle of champagne the thrilled tasteful deal and moving towards Israel's tracked new directions for new-framed securitization objectivity was Chankian/Machiavellian's realistic ergo. Trump realizes the deal a lethal injection to the living security of the M.E. as well as the Western World. The US singly came out of the JCPOA declared on 8th May 2018. Trump tweeted; 'To update the Americans knowledge about the terror-sponsor regime in Middle East Iran trades in devastative missiles, radicalize imbroglios, and exports surrogates and proxies of terrorism towards our allies. The Al-Qaeda, Hamas, Taliban and Hezbollah the terrorist identities are breeding on Iran's terror geographic habitat and finance. The terror sponsor state has been plundering her citizenry's domestic wealth and spreading misery in the social heartland. Its ambitiously stealthy efforts pursuing for nuclear weapons are alarmingly dangerous for our security and world peace. The process of enriching of Uranium is apparently continued according to the JCPOA deal but Obama administration had not tackled properly the issue.' (DRR, 2018, May 8). The EUFPC slammed the US Trump administration ex-parte decision of withdrawal from the nuclear deal. In 2018 May 8th at W.H. Washington R.R. Diplomatic Trump termed the deal "disastrous" and took realistic move to impose the Trump-Netanyahu proposed sanctions. Trump clarified; 'there was no nuclear deal has ever been assigned. The evil-doer the world terror sponsor nation has no right to be accommodated with moderately smooth moves.' Rouhani H. the President of Iran reacted rationally/submissively; 'Pulling out would not be acceptable, Iran is willing to live in.' (www.Haaretz.com, 2018:12). The limits US think the vulnerable and tress-able, the peace has nil surety to be concretized with invisible deal. The reaction follows as; Japan MFA Kono Taro denoted; 'we are seeing and closely talking the pluralist partners in EU and others the deal related states. Reuters' Guetteress Antonio the UN General Secretary profoundly concerned; 'US decision of sanctions' reinstate would have consequences as the deal was a successful chapter of diplomacy and NPT regime effectiveness a unified surety bond of international peace and security. The concerns may be addressed without prejudices and within the boundary of deal's own mechanism. Stolenberg, Secretary General ATO endorsed the Trumps words and asked do more on the part of Iran for comprehensive credibility. Munichin Steve the Secretary US Exchequer denoted and unveiled; US is going to revoke the agreement of selling Boeing aircraft to Iran and the sanctions' replacement would make Iran to be willed/prepared for new deal.

Trump's deal extermination follows on deliberated advisory of Trump-Trio prepared initiative specific for US M.E. foreign policy. Freedman David, Greenblatt Jason the duo New York Lawyers and Trump's son in law Jared Kushner have in control the colluded steering wheel to compass the US foreign affairs specific for Israel. Trump is power-robot made in Israel for Israel. (Bishara M. 2019:7). The Trump time strategies manifested the only outstanding beneficiary is Israel. From acknowledging Jerusalem the capitol of Jewish state, the actor's annexation of West Bank of Jordon River and the Golan Heights and denial of the Palestinian rights through the UNRWA-freeze policy evidenced the move for proposed humiliating and subjugating the non-Jewish Arabs seemed the kernel of Trump policy ploy. The likely scenario is Trump-US only for promotion and gifting to Israel-specific. Americans must research whether Trump and the

Trump-trio is a Zionist disguised Christians or Russo-KJB spies' collusion and have been maintained/ assumed power to throw away the US out of pavilion ring in world politics and international abhorrer against the superpower could be engineered. Douglas R. Mills, member of White House Correspondents' Association and Photographer says; 'Donald cancels to make threats credible against defending actor as the empty vessel makes most the noise. The Obama's achievement did not fulfill the US strategic desire of threats-resistant national as well as Allies' security.' Dropping the deal has implications for Western Allies who are not willing to stir-up with Trump Administration. The world future perception particular to US designing of any deal or agreement would be taken for granted as unbelievable /non-creditable/in-actionable. Toppling the deal would create the barriers for EU's companies specific of Germany, France and Britain to paralyze the businesses with Iran or prepare to stand ahead of the American sanctions. Xiaosheng Gong the PRC's plenipotentiary in M.E. region commented; 'The agreement perceivably was strengthening time-bar peace in the region and across the signing actors/entities, now confronting scenario and insecurity Trump portrays solidly/constructively.' (Landler M. 2018: 8).

Russia as well as China accused the US on accord's violation and are seemingly hesitant to accompany the Trump-move. The Iranian possible move of retaliation would be expectedly incompatible to the diplomatic and strategic development of regional adversary and her godfather's the blatant reinforcements. Canceling the deal would be a message comprised on reflection of implied threats to North Korea's Kim Jong-Un. The threats enveloped vocabulary was purposeful diplomatic weaponry to launch the threatening actor close to the negotiating table. The North Korea's nuclear development and diplomatic tone/tune was nakedly a direct feasible threat to the American's national security and her prestige of world single hegemonic power face. Trump dissolved with words: 'Single side a horrororiented apparently invisible in material projection could never ever be built-up and perpetuated the peace. Obama discredited the verbal offensive of Trump's move and feared the dissolution can create a space for Iran to again materialize the option to be nuclear in strategic status. Trump is going to dig the deep sea for Israel's security and choosing the choice for future war. Benjamin the Jewish Premier applauded Trump's; 'the courageous move and historic decision'.(Kruzel J. 2019:12). KSA endorsed the US-Israel anti-Iran dictum and officially/publically supported the move. Facing the thin resistance internally and cohorts of neo-cons the hawkish group of lobbyists, Mike Pompeo the US SOS and Bolton J. R. the NSA mindfully/persuasively imbued Trump's menology the radical move against Iran. Un-skeptics to move in same direction against the gulf actor were being evidenced in the living statements of Trump during campaign for Presidential slot. Currently the forging success in dealing with North Korean Leadership on issues of Nuclear encouraged the Trump's brain bowl to go non-wobbly/nonexhaustively. The US President self-convincingly/confidently concreted the move with constructivist perception of burdening coercively heavy on the North Korean duffers to be ready for bargaining. The same routes Iran will have to be directed to face in diplomacy and strategic threatening. Trump wishfully desires the neo-deal on US-Israel tracked roadmap would be molded into possible/impossible the outlet of Iran's total submission.

Trump says; "the nuclear is the biggest problem in the world" Obama's done agreement/treaty he himself would like to reconsider and might be gone to change the words of the assigned deal. (Hirsh M.2019:15) Trump-ism means radical change on all issues already settled by the predecessors. Self-ambitiously generating neo-facets of settling strategies and modes would be threateningly the coercive and stamped with personally inclusive negotiations. For the swift transformative ends the President replaced James N. Mattis with John Bolton as NSA. Germany, UK and France had issued the joint communique: 'JCPOA was the joint venture and the EU does not want to go out of this commitment. Our shared and joint security the contingency is the deal continuation. Trump objected the core of the N-deal and rejected as one-sided flexibility.' (Hirsh M.2019:20). Russia think a diplomatic coup against Kremlin if Iran N-deal alive. Scrapping the comprehensive nuclear agreement the re-verification of Iran's nukes would be a failed step and UN IAEA will have to restart nuclear questionnaire comprehensions. The US smashing the deal will have rigorous impacts on Iran's future and current promotion of confidential plots and plans for proposed nuclear power. The alternative US still has no substantiation or materialistic vocabulary for reconstruction in neo-parameters/phases to cement the re-deliberated deal in brick to brick.

The safety of Americans is under great threat US Trump administration perceived the JCPOA cannot ensure the guaranty of the security in consistence to Iran's past behavior towards the USA and the people. Iran's theocratic leaders' mindset is itself a credible threat and if nuclear-possessing competency is availed the level of threat would become immeasurable. The construction of Iran's strategic power absolutism via possible acquisition of missile technology and nuclear arsenal strategic potential the JCPOA was Obama's failed strategy for not creating the strict discipline to futuristically handle the subversive desire of actor's proposed nuclear practicality/capability. Mockery characterized Iran's behavior consistency, and how a superpower could believe the deal-oriented responsibility will be attired obligatorily. (James K. C., 2018: 21). Iran's cost-free nuclear development would be a naked threat to US vital ally Israel and her annexations and the future. US diplomacy vocabulary/terminology towards M.E. region has changed the words relevant to Israel's captured/suzerain/occupied territory now US constructed /altered as annexations, means Israel's own territory. The unique objective of the cancellation of deal is to protect across the region the first vital strategic interest of protection of Israel. Delaying the construction/composition and neither capable of eradication/elimination the JCPOA was not sustaining to be survived in. Deal with the enemy on controversial accumulation of N-power designing may be a skeptical move for the adversary. US-Iran level of enmity is realistically conscious and crucial point of strategic concentration and a factor to see the issue within the context of constructivist security. Alexander Wendt thought is evolving behind; "what states make of it". (Baylis J., Smith S. 2001: 242). Donald Trump's decision of leaving the JCPOA far off the new outlook of the US administration is favourite to US-Israel's regional grand design. "Divide empra" the ancient Roman's rational strategy visibly the permanent construction amidst the KSA and Iran the beneficiary would be the West and the Jewish state. Possessing nukes by a single state in West Asia the US believed the blue-eyed (Israel) would be an additional strengthening of the

Western Nuke System (WNS). Confronting or equalizing the ante-system of non-traditional power by an adversary in the same region the deal itself would be a defeat. Trump's cohorts visualize the lurking consistency in the Obama's decision-making mindset was trustworthiness which the adversary Iran does not inherit. The enrichment limits the defending actor is practically denying and not cooperating on neighbors' issues: Syrian, Kurdish, Iraqis and explicit/implicit support of Hizbullah, Bashar Al-Asad and other axis of resistance (Al-Qaeda Sanctuaries, Terror Sponsoring Largest Organizations, Overt support to Hamas, Taliban, Houthi Rebels in Yemen and uncontrolled Ayatollah Khamenei/Qasem Soleimani).

The European three Jeremy Hunt (UK), Maas Heiko (Germany) Drian Le Jean Yves (France) obviously resolute to undertake the commitment agreement JCPOA with surviving efforts for trade relations through UNSC resolution 2231 and are working to create an instrument SAS INSTEX for continuous business exchanges. To correspond with Iran the E3 present development is more to force Iran against money laundering and counterterrorism. The proposed move is for compliance the action plan of FATF. The legitimate contours of trade the Trump recent move made illegal for partners. The question mark on the joint move of other five to maintain status-quo the US moved to catapult for revision. (www.Gouvernment.fr, 2019:7). The course of collision is apparently in the offing. The US decided to send heavy offensive artillery through an Air-Craft Carrier the USS Abraham Lincoln and Task Force for bombardment to defend the situation the Iran current military development credibly indicates the actor's possible action against oil shipment routes and transit sea-points to be stopped with barricade. Iran's preparation of attack US perceived a threat response on abandoning the deal. John Bolton the US NSA and Ambassador telecasted; 'to initiate anti-Iran a credible retaliatory/countermove the defaulter has to be faced if the US and allies' interest happens to go under perceived threat then the un-relentless strategy would be the retaliation. War seeking is not our objective the preparation to counterforce the perceived strategy from the adversary is the current development' (Hennigan W.J., Walcott J., 2019: 23). The Patriot Defense Missile System (PDMS) the everyweather and multiple ranged BM/CM and AA counter system the Pentagon/USCC requested the US administration's final approval is in the end-process. US diplomatic framing effects are striving to change the world public opinion against Iran. CIA has proposed intelligence reports pertaining to Iran's Revolutionary Guard's pertinent strategic offensive preparation of missiles/ military equipment loaded boating images. The Western maritime commercial traffic is moving under the threats of Iran's possible/impossible directed-targets on littoral ways in the gulf and the red sea.

Donald's policy is seemed economically marginalizing to which the EU will have to be the willed part and parcel. Russia and China finally will have to submit before the world leading Matriarchal Goddess of power after speaking diplomatically on some little resistant stances. The steering wheel of world economic tectonic plats' movement are in the control of the US dollar and all the world currencies are uncontrolled bogies moving fast towards undetermined destination. The dollar is the symbol of less economic and more world political power. The US control on economic techno-political spigot of world oil under the realist instrument of policy the axiomatic assumption of petro-dollar strategy is

proved intellectual hegemony of leading world political economy. Control on Asian-African oil is instrument of obvious control on Asian economy and the politics. How Russia and China can do something for Iran as their economic trade with the West is their surety bond of national power and prosperity. China's trillion dollar economy made her the world shopkeeper and surely the petro-dollar regime sustained the USA a political Lombard of global village. The shopkeeper is seemingly in the political control of the Lombard in strategic world decision-making process even in her own declared sphere of politics. The M.E. individual states' economy is remaining dependent on Western World since the Arabs' oil exploration emerged the engine of industrialized world that is running mostly on the Middle East oil. (Syed A. Z.. 2019:7).The oesophagus/gullet of Iran oil economy the export of single item dependent economy is being suffocated under US coercive diplomacy. On the pretext of reposed issue of Obama's in-effective nuclear deal Trump threatened the adversary to be ready for punitive outcome.

Conclusion

US feared the Iranian proxies in the region against US strategic instillation the evolving escalation would be the continual result. Reminiscent to the Republican's Junior Bush administration's framing effects of analogous against Saddam's possessive/non-possessive WMD capability the Donald construction is preparing to follow the suit to facilitate Israel for designing greater. The members of neocons the pro-Zionist troika are the new developers of German (Jewish) blitzkrieg to make illegitimate and disorganize the Iran's power and proxies. The US surrounding Iran policy is a coercive strategy to starve Iran's children and dispatch the citizenry in economic imprisonment of undefined miseries...the public strain and stress. Currently the Persian Riyal was touching the lowest ebb of its cost (value) in exchange to US dollar. The Iranian oil-export was marginalized with new sanctions of import/export. To make awesomely extremely weaker the Iranian power the threats of overt military as well as covert nuclear offensive hovered in diplomatic contours. The US war-making structural environment emerged crisesoriented/un-anticipated toward Iran. A new horrific phenomenon may escalate in the region and its implications would go beyond the regional geography. Perhaps, still Iran did possess no nukes or ballistic weaponry. Iran's bluff to erase the Israel from globe was not value-laden and sane as the actor's diplomatic blunders could never be taken officially as serious political options. Israel is now a reality in the region. The leading Muslim central state KSA tacitly/practically recognized the Israel and Egypt clandestinely follow the hidden track. Israel is now out of its emergence of embryonic stage. Muslim world must have to recognize the Israel as an independent sovereign republic. The UNO and the world entities have the responsibility to recognize the Palestine as sovereign state so that Israel could be enforced diplomatically/internationally. China is not a Muslim country and we mutually have constructed strong politico-economic and military relations. Islam and Judaism are the Prophets' religions the revealed patterns the lessening of antagonism for politico-economic stability and regional peace the diplomatic relations is the vital need of the century. No doubt the political morality is practicable to protect the mutual strategic interest and maximize. Trump realizes the Muslim world is vulnerable and would be proved like a wolf (USA) and lamb (Muslim World) analytical parable. Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya, Syria and Yemen the

US constructively formatted the permanent turmoil points threatening to the world peace. The US current construction of Iran the future instability may become more unchallengeable. Iran's quest for nukes was surely against the traditional /nontraditional power' threats of Israel as the balance of power in the region is not remaining in favour of Arabs Muslim entities and the Israel with nuclear possession is dominant... regional power perceived as non-challengeable blatant threat to the Muslim states survival. Iran's striving for nukes was a legitimate in balancing the Israel. KSA diplomacy against Iran's under-process nukes' articulation was ARAMCO and Shi'ism. KSA Authoritarianism/Totalitarianism the familial self-entitled monarchy the survival is contingent with US-KSA strategic harmony as in 1945, Stettinius E.Reilly the Roosevelt F.D. and Harry S. Truman administrations' Secretary of State (1944-45) announced; 'the strategic interest of the USA would be the strategic interest of the KSA and vice-versa.' (Stork J. 1973: 48).

References

- [1] C.SPAN (2000, March 17) [Madeliene Albright]. US-Iran Relations, YouTube Video, Retrieved from https://www.c-span.org/video/?156076-1/us-iran-relations
- [2] Rezaie R. (2015, Nov. 3). [Bill Clinton], Bill Clinton & Charlie Rose On NuclearIran at Devos CIA's 1953 Iran Coup, YouTube Video, Retrieved from, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qTVgLmB7pEQC-SPAN (2009, June 4). President Obama Speach To Muslim World In Cairo, https://www.youtube.com/watch?reload=9&v=B_889oBKkNU
- [3] History (2018). Iran's Nuclear History (1950s-1988), Retrieved from http://www.nti.org/learn/countries/iran/nuclear/, p.15.
- [4] Nikaou, S.N. (n.d.). Timelines of Iran's Nuclear Activities, Retrieved from http://iranprimer.usip.org/resource/timeline-irans-nuclear-activities, p.7.
- [5] Jahanpour, F. (2015, September 9). Opinion: The Early History of Iran's Nuclear Programme, Retrieved from http://www.ipsnews.net/2015/09/opinion-the-early-history-of-irans-nuclear-programme/, p.6.
- [6] Bahgat G. (2006,September). Nuclear Proliferation: The Islamic Republic of Iran, Vol.39, No.3, Retrieved from http//: www,Jstor.org/stable/4311832, pp.307-327., p.313.
- [7] Saul J., Hafezi P. (2014). Iran, Russia Working To Seal \$ 20 Bln Oil For Goods Deal, Retrieved from
 https://uk.reuters.com/article/iran-russia-oil/iran-russia-working-to-seal-20-bln-oil-for-goods-deal-sources-idUKL5N0MN4UT20140402, p.7.
- [8] Duheaume T. (2017). Analysis: Iran's for Nuclear Weapons And Regional Domination, Retrieved from http://english.alarabiya.net/en/perspective/features/2017/08/04/ANALYSIS-Iran-s-quest-for-nuclear-weapons-and-regional-domination.html, p.8.
- [9] Lewis J. (2015 March 3). The Secret Iranian 'Nuclear Facility' You Just Found? Not So Much, Retrieved from

- https://foreignpolicy.com/2015/03/03/that-secret-iranian-nuclear-facility-you-just-found-not-so-much/, p.3
- [10] Bowen, W.Q., Kid, J. (2004 April 1). "The Iranian Nuclear Challenge", International Affairs, Vol.80, Issue 2 pp.257-276, http//:Doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2346.2004.00382.x, 257.
- [11] Dueck, C., Takeyh, R. (2013 February 15). "Iran's Nuclear Challenge", Political Science Quarterly, Vol. 122, Issue 2, http//:Doi.org/10.1002/j.1538.165x.2007.tb00596.x , pp.189-205, p.195.
- [12] USDS (2006, December 6). [Richard Armitage]. Policy And Iran,
 Testimony Before the Foreign Relations Committee of the USDS, Retrieved from https://www.state.gov/s/d/former/armitage/remarks/25682.htm
- [13] Bruno G. (2011, October 13). State Sponsors: Iran, Council On Foreign Relations, Retrieved from https://www.cfr.org/backgrounder/state-sponsors-iran, p.15.
- [14] Hafezi, P., Sidarat, F. (2009, September 18). Ahmadinejad Says Holocaust A Lie, Israel Has No Future, Reuters/World News, Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com/article/us-iran/ahmadinejad-says-holocaust-a-lie-israel-has-no-future-idUSTRE58H17S20090918, p.11.
- [15] Castiglioni, C. (2013). Obama's Policy toward Iran: Comparing First and Second Term, ISPI Analysis, Retrieved from https://www.ispionline.it/sites/default/files/pubblicazioni/analysis-220-201-3.pdf, p.2.
- [16] Maloney, S. (2011, November15). Testimony: Progress of Obama Administration Policy towards Iran, Brookings, Retrieved from https://www.brookings.edu/testimonies/progress-of-the-obama-administrations-policy-toward-iran/, p.15.
- [17] Baylis, J., Smith, S. (2001). Globalization of World Politics: An Introduction To International Relations, New York: Second Edition, Oxford University Press, p.146.
- [18] Shanker (2013, June 28). Pentagon Is Updating Conflict Rules In Cyberspace, The NewYork Times, Retrieved from https://www.nytimes.com/2013/06/28/us/pentagon-is-updating-conflict-rules-in-cyberspace.html, p.A6.
- [19] Sanger, D. (2012, June 1st). Obama Order Sped Up Wave of Cyberattacks Against Iran, NewYork Times, Retrieved from https://www.nytimes.com/2012/06/01/world/middleeast/obama-ordered-wave-of-cyberattacks-against-iran.html, p.1.

- Iran's Nuclear Quest, Obama's Deal and Trump's Cancellation: A Critical Analysis
- [20] Reitmeyer, J. (2015, July 27). Explainer: The How and Why of NJ'S Ban On Investments In Firms Ties To Iran, The NewYork Times, Retrieved from https://www.njspotlight.com/stories/15/07/27/explainer-the-how-and-why-of-nj-s-ban-on-investments-on-firms-with-ties-to-iran/, p.15.
- [21] Newman, M. (2014, March 4). Iranian General: Obama's Threats Are 'The Joke Of The Year', Retrieved fromhttp://www.timesofisrael.com/iranian-general-obamas-threats-are-the-joke-of-the-year/?fb_comment_id=1463995947152170_300584, p.1.
- [22] Dahl, F., Pawlak, J. (2014, January 20). West, Iran Activate Landmark Nuclear Deal, REUTERS, Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com/article/us-iran-nuclear/west-iran-activate-landmark-nuclear-deal-idUSBREA0J00420140120, p.3.
- [23] Holland S., Mason J. (2014). US Begins Iran Sanctions Relief, Sees Tuff Talks Ahead, REUTERS, Retrieved from https://www.reuters.com/article/us-iran-nuclear-usa/u-s-begins-iran-sanctions-relief-sees-tough-talks-ahead-idUSBREA0J11B20140120, p.15.
- [24] Ernest J. (2014). Obama Says, "Now Is The Time To Give Diplomacy A Chance", Retrieved from http//: U.S.
- [25] President Barack Obama Addresses his year-end news conference in the White House briefing room in Washington, December 20, 2013. REUTERS/Jonathan Ernst, p.1
- [26] AP-(2018, December 14). Democrats and ObamaDid Not Give \$150 Billion To Iran, Retrieved from https://www.apnews.com/afs:Content:2693370009, p.17.
- [27] Rampton R., Arshad M. (2014, January 12). Obama Hails Iran Deal, Argues Against New Sanctions, https://www.reuters.com/article/us-iran-nuclear-obama/obama-hails-iran-deal-argues-against-new-sanctions-idUSBREA0B0HW20140112, p.13.
- [28] Jervis, R. (2013). Getting To Yes With Iran: The Challenges Of Coercive Diplomacy, *Council On Foreign Relations*, http://www.jstor.org/stable41721008, p.105.
- [29] Cronberg, T. (2018). No EU, No Iran Deal: The EU's Choice Between Multilateralism and Trans-Atlantic Link, The Non-Proliferation Review, Routledge Publishers ISSN: 1073-6700 (Print) 1746-1766 (Online) Journal homepage: https://www.tandfonline.com/loi/rnpr20, p.244.
- [30] Katzman K., Kerr P.K. (2016). Iran Nuclear Agreement, CRS Report, Retrieved from

- https://www.sciencetheearth.com/uploads/2/4/6/5/24658156/irannucleardeal _p. 13.
- [31] Sterio, M. (2016). President Obama's Legacy: The Iran's Nuclear Agreement, Retrieved from https://heinonline.org/HOL/LandingPage?handle=hein.journals/cwrint48&div=8&id=&page=, p.74.
- [32] Diplomatic Reception Room (2018, May 8). Remarks By President Trump On The Joint Comprehensive Plan Of Action,
 https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefings-statements/remarks-president-trump-joint-comprehensive-plan-action/, p.3-4.
- [33] Haaretz (2018). Trumps Pulls U.S. Out Of 'Disastrous' Iran Nuclear Deal, To Impose Powerful Sanctions, https://www.haaretz.com/us-news/live-updates-trump-to-decide-fate-of-iran-nuclear-deal-1.6070574, p.12.
- [34] Bishara, M. (2019, April 26). Trump Trio, The News International, Lahore, p.7.
- [35] Landler, M. (2018, May 8). Trump Abandons Iran Nuclear Deal He Long Scorned, The New York Times, Retrieved from https://www.nytimes.com/2018/05/08/world/middleeast/trump-iran-nuclear-deal.html, p.8.
- [36] Kruzel, J. (2019). Donald Trump Vows To Renegotiate A Deal With Iran Is At A Standstill, Retrieved from https://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/promises/trumpometer/promise/1368/renegotiate-iran-deal/, p.12
- [37] Hirsh, M. (2019). Trumps Once Wanted To Negotiate With Russia Over Nukes. Then Mueller Happened, Retrieved from https://foreignpolicy.com/2019/02/01/trump-once-wanted-to-negotiate-with-russia-over-nukes-then-mueller-happened/, p.15.
- [38] James K. C., (2018). After Deal A New Iran Strategy, Retrieved from https://www.heritage.org/defense/event/after-the-deal-new-iran-strategy, p.21.
- [39] Baylis, J., Smith, S. (2001). *Globalization of World Politics: An Introduction To International Relations*, New York: Second Edition, Oxford University Press, p.242.
- [40] Gouvernment.fr, (2019, January 31). France, Germany, United Kingdom: Joint Dtate On Creation Of INSTEX https://www.gouvernement.fr/en/france-germany-united-kingdom-joint-statement-on-the-creation-of-instex, p.7.
- [41] (Hennigan W.J., Walcott J. (2019). Trump Abandon Nuclear Deal A Year Ago: The US And Iran Are Now On

- Iran's Nuclear Quest, Obama's Deal and Trump's Cancellation: A Critical Analysis
- [42] Collision Course, Retrieved from http://time.com/5586246/iran-nuclear-deal-anniversary/, p.23.
- [43] Syed, A.Z. (2019, April 19). Between Asia and The Gulf, The News International, Lahore, p.7.
- [44] Stork, J. (1973). *Middle East oil and the energy crisis*, New York Middle East Research and Information Projects Publishers,p.48.