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Abstract

Two events in 1970s had changed the global nuclear politics drastically: Indian nuclear explosion (1974) and the Franco-Pakistan nuclear deal (1976). The Western world had accepted the Indian nuclear status after a reluctant reaction as a counter power to that of China within Asia. But the Franco-Pakistan nuclear deal had become a challenge for the whole nuclear world led by United States of America. This deal challenged the monopoly of the nuclear powers in that field which was relatively a source of their great power status. If the nuclear power proliferates in the developing world, it might change the power hierarchy of the global politics which was not acceptable to the leaders of the global politics. So they combined their whole efforts for the cancellation of the deal. They succeeded to cancel it and consequently restricted the proliferation at certain extent but failed to control the proliferation fully in the Developing World.

Different aspects of the Franco-Pakistan deal and the American approach during the whole affair become a reason for this research. Pakistan signed a nuclear deal with France under international guarantees, the question is: Why was America so
determined to cancel the deal? This study also analyzed that France and Pakistan not only manipulated the nuclear politics of 1970s through this deal, it also won some other benefits. This deal was the excellent example of international double standard diplomacy (Machiavellian diplomacy) from signing to cancellation.

The Story of a Nuclear Deals

In the nuclear world, the tussle between non-proliferators' state and proliferators' state was on high note in mid 1970s. Anglo-Saxon led the first group and France had become the champion of the second group for the time being. The initial weak response towards the non-proliferation all of sudden accelerated with the Indian Nuclear Blast in 1974. The threat of nuclear power extension in the developing world was so overpowering that the non-proliferators group had decided to target those signed contracts which France and Germany that were made in early 1970s. The clash between these two groups was not only for the global security but for political and commercial dominance in the nuclear market, too. The group of non-proliferators had effectively manipulated the situation and succeeded to cancel those signed contract one by one till mid 1970s. The last and most rigorous resistance was met from the Franco-Pakistan deal which was signed in 1976 and cancelled in 1978. Besides this, other deals which were cancelled during this period were those which were made by Germans with Brazil and France with the South Koreans, Iranians and South Africans.

France and Pakistan Signed a RPP Deal

Pakistan and France had enjoyed warm cordiality in relations from mid 1960s which resulted in a lot of bilateral agreements and high level visits. These links and visits proved productive and both states decided to move forwards in nuclear cooperation. France decided to help Pakistan to set up a Nuclear Reprocessing Plant (RPP) at Chashma on the banks of Indus River to meet its energy needs in early 1976.
The practical nuclear cooperation between France and Pakistan can be traced back in early 1960s, when Dr. Usmani, Chairman PAEC, had visited France in early 1962 and the Pakistan Atomic Energy Commission (PAEC) and the French Atomic Energy Commission (Commissariat Energie Atomique, CEA) had negotiated for the future cooperation. The exchange of nuclear technology and expertise also discussed and the Franco-Pakistan Atomic Accord signed on 14 December 1962. Agreement provided for the exchange of nuclear technology and knowhow, the delivery of fissile material for peaceful uses, and the training of Pakistani scientists and engineers in French atomic establishment. Another agreement was signed between the Pakistani Space and Upper Atmosphere Research Committee (SUPARCO) and Centre National D' Etudes Spatiales (CNES) of France in 1964 provided an opportunity to Pakistani students to study and get training in French nuclear centres.

The level of development in collaboration between the two countries in the field of peaceful uses of Atomic energy was satisfactory at the end of 1960s because during this period France offered two different project of nuclear cooperation to Pakistan. One was linked to the power plant in Roopur (East Pakistan) and second was with PAEC for an RPP. Both projects could not be materialized due to lack of interest from Pakistani leadership because it was the time when conventional military equilibrium approach (with India) dominated the political hierarchy of Pakistan.

Political upheaval of 1970 in Pakistan not only changed the administration but also the approach towards the nuclear development within country. The new Prime Minister Z. A. Bhutto had a nuclear obsession since 1960s, so after embracing the full decisional authority, he escalated the slow pace of nuclear progress. Consequently, Pakistani authorities had started negotiation with France for the purchase of a reprocessing power plant in early 1970s at Chashma. SGN was the main French company which was responsible for the provision of design and construction of reprocessing plant; a
contract signed between SGN and PAEC on 18 October 1974. Negotiations continued for years due to French vulnerabilities against nuclear proliferation - at last completed in 1976. Pakistani Governement had accepted all French demands concerning the RPP including the control of IAEA on the French facility within Pakistan. Even though, both states were non-signatory of the NPT until then.

The question arise: why did Pakistan make a nuclear deal with France? - While it had a long-standing alliance with America and assured commitment, which appeared in Ayub’s statement - “we will buy [it] from the shelf” 17. There were many factors, which led Pakistan to decide to buy an RPP from France, i.e.

- France was a non-signatory of the Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT). 18
- It was one of the most advance in civil nuclear technology. 19
- It wished to share nuclear technology as a trade commodity. 20
- It wanted a sphere of influence in third world.
- There was also a strong feeling in France to compete Americans in the nuclear field.
- De Gaulle’s stand in 1960s, an independent and sovereign foreign policy for France - still had its impression on Z.A. Bhutto (Prime Minister of Pakistan, 1971-1977) and he believed that France would sustain against American pressure. 21
- Lastly, Pakistan had no other existed option – Anglo-Saxon were sponsor of non-proliferation movement, Soviets were fully attached with India 22 Germany was also under American influence - so logically there was only one state was there to sell its technology and it was France.
Keeping these indicators in mind when Pakistan approached France for a nuclear reprocessing plant in early 1970s, its response was quite encouraging initially. The process of negotiations started in 1973 and continued till 1976. During that period, France and Pakistan had signed multiple contracts; one was signed between Pakistan and French Saint Gobain Nucleaire (SGN) in March 1973, another was linked to the formalities of the deal were completed and signed in 1974 and last and final was inked with IAEA in 1976 for the implementation of international safeguards.

This delay in the completion of deal was, in fact the result of international atmosphere after Indian explosion in 1974 and consequent international hype for non-proliferation generally and Anglo-Saxon particularly, French attitude turned harder and harder towards the deal and its clauses. Consequently French were ready to sell nuclear technology to Pakistan but with lot of reservations which turned French negotiators extra conscious and they had begun to demand some additional international safeguards from Pakistan. The objective behind these international safeguards was to eliminate any international criticism and any opportunity that helped Pakistan to fabricate a nuclear bomb like India.

The French were so conscious about the international reaction that in spite of all the international safeguards which they had imposed, they were reluctant to announce the presence of the deal with Pakistan officially. It was Pakistan who took the stand and its Prime Minister Z.A. Bhutto had disclosed during his German visit on 20 February 1976 that Pakistan would purchase a $150 million RPP with all required French conditions which it laid down for its purchase including the safeguards of international Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). France took four more months to announce the existing of a deal between the two states. It did it on 8 July 1976 through an official journal. According to the deal, France provides Pakistan 600 MW power plant and a reprocessing plant. This announced deal had become a source of international controversy in the coming years.
Major Clause of the Franco-Pakistan Deal

France had added many severe clauses consciously in the deal to make it acceptable for the global nuclear non-proliferators but its effort did not comply with the politics of non-proliferation of 1970s so controversy further accelerated and ended with the cancellation of the deal. Major clauses of the deal were

- A bilateral agreement between Pakistan and France was signed for the purchase of RPP.

- A trilateral agreement also signed in Vienna among France, Pakistan and IAEA, followed by the first one on 18 March, on the application of (international) safeguards on the plant.  

- Pakistan committed to France that it will not manufacture nuclear weapon or other military weapons or any other explosive device from the plant, equipments or the nuclear material which France transferred to Pakistan. Unlike other nuclear treaties which was done in the past, it has a number of constraints on the use of any
  - Facility of any equipment or derivates
  - French copy of the plant was purely civilian, further any nuclear material which was copied from the original also could not be used for military purpose.

- IAEA was in charge to control the implication of the treaty and the information originate from two sources, French and Pakistani governments.

- For the construction of a retreatment plant on Pakistani territory, France provides nuclear material and equipments of retreatment from France.

- French and Pakistani governments after consultation made known to IAEA about all retreatment installations.
or all other specific equipments of retreatment which are found on Pakistani territory and are designed, constructed or operated later from French relevant technical information. The last choice was being made by Paris.

- The treaty aims to avoid any possibility of Pakistan that could use the installation for military purposes either its equipment or material. IAEA would hold an inventory of equipments, reprocessing materials, the plutonium and also could penetrate in those areas where plant derivative to be copied. It also would have an inventory of “any nuclear facility which contains specific equipment for reprocessing”. This authority was also used to another establishment or a laboratory which contained therein, manufactured, used to be treated the nuclear material of French reprocessing plants.

- Pakistani government will inform all the quantity of fissile material to the IAEA

- Treaty did not prohibit Pakistan for the transfer of equipment or material to third country but article 2 of the treaty also indicate that clearly that equipment and material which France provided was also under the control of IAEA.  

French official journal while indicating the size of that nuclear reactor was unknown, mentioned that the plutonium which extracted during the process of retreatment could be used to make a bomb. France wished to stop the military use of the plutonium that’s why it involved IAEA for check on the facility.  

Franco-Pakistan Deal and American Diplomacy

Official announcement of the Franco-Pakistan deal hit severely the American/Canadian non-proliferation activities. Their underlined opposition turned into a rigorous international campaign against the deal because being the initial supplier of
nuclear energy to Pakistan and cold war ally to France, they (Canada–America) were confident about the efficiency of their direct pressures on Pakistan and France for the cancellation of the deal. Yet Pakistan was the focus for pressure at the initial stage.

**Canadian Opposition**

Canada was the first country which practically reacted on the Franco-Pakistan nuclear deal and cancelled its nuclear cooperation with Pakistan on 23 December 1976, demanding, “Pakistan had to decide either they wanted to have Canadian cooperation for peaceful objective or French cooperation which has military ends”. Pakistani Government termed Canadian decision, as “unreasonable, unfair and unwarranted... and arbitrary” and refused to accept its unreasonable demand to extend its safeguards to entire Pakistani nuclear program. Acceptance of that Canadian demand means for Pakistan, to, “be tied down body and soul” (Bhutto).

Canadian attitude after the initial offer of cooperation in 1950 was a bit rigid towards Pakistan’s nuclear program. Karachi Nuclear Power Plant (KANUPP) under IAEA surveillance was the plant which Pakistan built with Canadian cooperation in 1974.

Indian nuclear test (1974) and Franco-Pakistan RPP deal (1976) had become a barometer for judging the international approach towards Pakistani nuclear program. Being the first country for providing a heavy water plant to Pakistan, Canada did not wish to be a source of the emergence of another nuclear power in the world. Indian treachery and its violation of agreement with Canada turned it more rigid in its nuclear export policies. Its first victim was that plant which it had provided to Pakistan.

Canadian apprehension about the misuse of the residue from KANUPP, after Franco-Pakistan RPP deal, enhanced so first, it had begun to negotiate on the supply of Canadian fabrication fuel to Pakistan and additional safeguards for the utilization of the residue of the KANUPP immediately after the Indian explosion. Pakistani government tried to concede the Canadian
government's apprehensions and provided as much as safeguards, which it insisted, but they were not satisfied. Next, they tried to pressurize Pakistan and France for the cancellation of the deal, when failed they cancelled their cooperation with Pakistan as a pre-emptive action. The fact was that according the agreement, Canada could stop the supply if Pakistan used its supplies for the military purpose and Pakistan was not a violator of the deal until then.36

American Obsession for the Cancellation of RPP Deal: From vs President Ford to President Carter

America had taken a lot of pain to cancel Franco-Pakistan nuclear deal. Its strenuous efforts for cancellation based on only some pre-assumed reservations;

- It wished to maintain Indian regional hegemony against China
- It had fear of further extension of nuclear power in developing world. (domino theory of nuclear power)37
- Presence of another nuclear power could create instability in the South Asian region which could trigger nuclear war due to the severity of indo-Pakistan conflicts38
- America could not afford a nuclear power in the Middle East periphery which has compassionate feeling for all Arab cause

American obsession to restrict the nuclear capability expansion which had its roots in McMahan Act in 194639 was at full swing in 1970s. Nixon’s scandalous resignation after the Watergate issue40 had provided the Gerald Ford, his vice president to serve for the rest of the period. Ford wanted to use this opportunity to make his selection sure for next presidential candidature. For that, he had to focus on the burning issue of American politics – it was nuclear non-proliferation at that time.
Pakistan and its emerging efforts to have nuclear energy resources had become the target for non-proliferators group after Indian nuclear explosion which was headed by America. As the proceeding for a nuclear deal between Pakistan and France moving ahead, the level of American pressure was also increasing. Ford administration, was openly pressurizing both states for withdrawing the deal. In 1975, before the final signature of the deal, first practical initiative was made, Ford indicated to Z. A. Bhutto, Pakistani Prime Minister, during his American visit in May 1975 that America could lift ten years old sanctions with “active consideration” if Pakistan did not move forward with the RPP deal with France. Pakistani response was not positive. Next in 1976, he wrote a letter to both head of the states to persuade them for not to go ahead with the deal. For Pakistan, he argued that the establishment of the RPP would be financially burdensome and politically an unwise move on the part of Pakistan. But response was not positive from both sides.

Kissinger’s RPP Deal Cancellation Mission

After the unsuccessful efforts of President Ford, American secretary of States, Henry Kissinger’s visit to Pakistan and France was the next American step to pressurize both states for the cancellation of the deal. It was happened in August 1976. It was an official visit in Pakistan but it was “strictly private and recreational visit” in France. It was an indirect way of diplomatic pressure which French journal Lepoint called, “a worst action”.

Henry Kissinger reached Pakistan on August 1976 and during his visit, he pleaded Pakistani Prime Minister, officially and unofficially for the cancellation of the deal because according to him, “All nations must fix their priorities”, there are some things, “which ought to be processed, there are others which should better be left unprocessed (like nuclear power for Pakistan)”. During his meetings, first, he tried to persuade Pakistan with incentive. Then he used the tool of threat and warned, if Pakistan did not cancel the deal all Pakistani aid would be cancelled under Symington Law.
Kissinger rejected any “special” treatment to Pakistan for its nuclear efforts and emphasised that the American, “concerns is not directed towards the intentions of Pakistan”, but towards the general problem of nuclear proliferation. Pakistan could not assure him, after all French required and international safeguards, about its peaceful intentions and energy needs for that reprocessing plant, which was essential for its national interest. He left Pakistan with the speculations of a compromise formula and threats of reduction of all American military and economic assistance, which majorly linked with the proposed A-7 bomber deal to Pakistan. Pakistani Government refused the presence of any American pressure after his departure. It was announced that, “there will be no pressure and no sanctions against us” but nobody could deny the presence of veiled threat during the Kissinger’s visit.

From Pakistan, Kissinger went to France in a “strictly private and recreational visit”. He stayed in Deaville, with his friend, a small town of France in the North. He spoke on telephone to the French Foreign Minister, M. Jean Sauvagnargues, on Franco Pakistan reprocessing deal during his stay at Deaville.

Kissinger’s active involvement in the issue had created uproar in French media. It was so loud that American charge d’affairs in Paris, Samuel Gammon had to explain American position. He said that Americans wished to work out on a safeguard agreement for the “controversial” RPP for Pakistan and he admitted that the deal had complied with international safeguards. However, the demand for cancellation of the deal was continued.

Kissinger had proposed a tripartite conference on the Franco-Pakistan nuclear deal before leaving France. He said America was trying to look for, “a solution to take into account the concerns of all sides” and tried to seek solution after the summer vacations in France, when all three parties (Pakistan, France and US) would be available to exchange views on the issue.

This tripartite suggested conference was out rightly rejected by Pakistan and France and both had reacted severely. French Foreign Minister M. Sauvagnargues while explaining the situation
of Franco-Pakistan deal, said that it was signed with over conscious safeguards and he linked the American concerns with the electoral situation in the United States which undoubtedly influencing this affair.\textsuperscript{59} Ahmed Kamal, Pakistani chargé d’Affaires to Paris, called it, an error to speak of a commission or conference of the three countries.\textsuperscript{60} The severe response of Pakistan and France forced the US Secretary of State, to negate that he ever proposed any tripartite conference, and stated that the American interest laid only in non-proliferation.\textsuperscript{61}

The unsuccessful efforts of Ford and Kissinger to pressurize Pakistan, forced the coming American President to change the target. So Jimmy Carter who won election in 1977, on the issue of non-proliferation turned his focus towards France for cancellation the deal. His electoral winning based on following four objectives:

- To focus non-proliferation efforts on the dangers of the fuel cycle, and use diplomatic means to remove political incentive;

- domestic moratorium on plutonium reprocessing used to form international regime which control the abuse of proliferation;

- American pre-dominance on the supply of nuclear material would be re-established;

- Commercial reprocessing at every level would be discouraged.\textsuperscript{62}

To achieve these objectives, first he established a task force at home under the guidance of Joseph Nye,\textsuperscript{63} then focus on the RPP issue of France and Pakistan. He sent his envoy to France immediately after his inauguration. This change of focus for pressure (France) proved successful because France was an easy victim due to the involvement of financial incentive rather than national security like Pakistan. The result of Carter’s policy could be felt after his visit to France in January 1978, when French
stance was totally changed and it had began to offer renegotiation of the deal to Pakistan.

American Media Campaign

American media was another support for its government’s efforts to pressurize Pakistan because through publishing continuous material on the issue negatively, it had created an anti-deal atmosphere. Continued propaganda was made; different perceptions, assumptions mostly negative were publish.  

There were nonstop reports and speculation in Times, Washington Post and the New York Times about Pakistani and French government’s attitude towards the deal. Sometimes, they confirmed the French agreement with the America on cancellation, sometimes it was being reported that Pakistan had accepted the A-7 offer, particularly after the change of government in Pakistan on July 1977.

Times reported that French had “resented (but eventually bowed to) pressure from Washington to cancel the sale of a reprocessing plant to Pakistan”. The New York Times also reported that the French Foreign Minister, Louis de Guiringuad had informed the visiting American Secretary of State, Cyrus Vance, of his government decision to postpone the sale of reprocessing plant to Pakistan linking it with the political upheavals there and uncertainties about the Ex. Prime Minister Bhutto’s future. Officially, France and America both denied the reality of such reports and French Foreign Office spokesperson stressed that, “de Guiringuad did not discuss nuclear deal with M. Cyrus Vance and French position was ‘unchanged’ on Franco-Pakistan deal. It also rejected New York Times and Herald Tribune news that France differed from its contract with Pakistan.

Pakistani government tried to respond these accusations through reminding continuously that the deal was undersigned by IAEA and with safeguards but to no avail. It also rejected American weekly’s announcement that this project would be
failure; French Government also refused to come under American pressure and determined to continue the deal.  

Franco-Pakistani Reaction on American Diplomacy  

French reactions on American efforts for the cancellation of the deal represented the two political trends - old French “anti-American” psychology and Gaullist traditions of French independent foreign policy. Ex. Prime Minister, Jacques Chirac’s statement on the tripartite proposal of Kissinger expressed the general trend of French society. He regretted on the proposal and said, “The affair is clear and linked to the question of sovereignty” of two states. An accord, which had been, signed between France and Pakistan - for the delivery of a pre-treatment plant on perfectly and satisfactorily safeguards with international controls and the component international agency - had no link with America because it concerned only France and Pakistan.

The French press condemned the American move to pressurise Pakistan as blackmail, a menace, and a blatant interference in the affairs of both states. The Quotidian de Paris saw the issue as an opportunity for France to “reassert its independence” against American interference. While France Nouvelle titled it as “non a Kissinger, non a Giscard”.  

Besides French media and opposition, French Government was also not pleased with the situation, which created due to Kissinger’s presence in France. It issued a lengthy communiqué through Quai d’Orsay (French Foreign Office) on 10 August 1976. It elucidated Kissinger’s position and mentioned that he recognized that deal was according to existing international demands but hardly compatible with the Symington Amendment. It also negated the image that American government was trying to pressurize France.  

Pakistani Government adopted a two way policy to deal with American diplomacy towards this nuclear deal, it, not only refused to accept any type of American pressure on the deal but it also indicated American dual standard in south Asia towards non-proliferation. It was supportive to India but creating hurdles for
Pakistan, i.e., pressurizing and threatening Pakistan in one side and forcing other allies also to be non-cooperative with Pakistan. It was the same situation which France faced in 1950s, when within western European nations; Americans were supportive to British but non-supportive for France in nuclear field. Is it not an old policy of “divide and rule” to maintain its hegemony?

Cancellation of the deal

France, after nearly three years of “assurances” officially informed Pakistani government on 9 August 1978, they could not continue the deal. French President Valery d’Estaing in a letter to Pakistani President notified about French Government’s decision that ‘France will not deliver the plant’ but on principal they will not end the discussion and a solution could find. He linked the decision to the proliferation risks which were highly existed if the agreement executed and suggested a ‘revision’ of the 1976 agreement. In his letter, he had proposed another type of reactor to Pakistan which produced a mix of uranium and plutonium and could not transformed into nuclear weapon.

Pakistani President while announcing the French decision of cancellation said that letter of the French President was full of polite words ... but it was lemon,” he further said, “we assured everyone we have no reason to wish for nuclear proliferation, but we cannot stay behind other nations in nuclear technology.”

French decision was not a shock for Pakistani political circles because since the beginning, France was in dilemmic situation concerning the deal. It wished to keep a balance between its friendship with Americans and its independent foreign and economic policy. According to Kolodziej, there are certain points which have created hesitation in French attitude to break RPP deal with Pakistan

- France was committed to the development of a free and open market for the sale of nuclear fuel and technology for the energy needs of non-nuclear states
France was not ready to forgo their share in nuclear market while they were in winning position concerning the fissionable material and fuel cycle services and technology.

French approach of free market establish a champion image in developing world against the monopolized tendencies of the other developed states.

So in spite of encircling the deal into international safeguards and guarantees it was reluctant in practice and determined in words. This reluctance further changed into determination for cancellation with the absence of French Prime Minister Jacque Chirac from the office who was the driving force behind all the nuclear deals.

After Chirac, France took some practical steps to adopt non-proliferation regime generally but these steps had created doubt about the RPP deal with Pakistan.

- A Nuclear Policy Council (NPC) was established on 1st September 1976.
- France announced that it would halt any future nuclear export after the meeting of Nuclear Policy Council on 16 December 1976.
- It had held up the supply of certain essential pieces of equipment from France after the removal of Bhutto from the Government in July 1977.
- In 1978, France had sent a special emissary to Pakistan with an alternative RPP proposal, which had the capacity to produce mix plutonium and uranium rather than the reprocessing plant which produce pure plutonium.

Pakistan forthrightly rejected this offer and insisted the completion of original deal. It also raised technical objection on
the proposed RPP - which according to Pakistani stance was technically new and commercially impractical and incorporated with the already constructed plant. ⁸³

French Government insisted on the new version of RPP, which eliminated the fear of that pure plutonium which could be used for the making nuclear weapon. ⁸⁴ Because According to French President, d'Estaing, the supply of RPP was a 'very delicate problem' and irrespective of American pressure, France itself had come to conclusion, that Pakistan did not need plutonium-reprocessing plant at the present stage. ⁸⁵ After Pakistan's rejection of the alternative RPP option, France was apparently justified to proceed toward cancellation, yet French Foreign Ministry announced, discussion between the two states not ended on the practical modalities and for the renewal of the contract. ⁸⁶

This unilateral French assessment like the Canadian one in 1976, that Pakistan did not need plutonium at that stage for peaceful purposes and most importantly France would not transfer that technology which might enable Pakistan to produce weapon grade plutonium, proved fatal for Pakistan. France cancelled an international contract, which signed under all its required rather more than required safeguards. This action had blocked all the rightful ways which Pakistan wished to adopt for its security and progress.

The issue did not wrap up here; it was among the diplomatic and political circles of Pakistan and France in the next two decades. Pakistan and France had completed many projects after that successfully, but whenever any Pakistani political leader or bureaucrat visited France or vice versa, the issue of RPP or its compensation had become alive.

An envy of Pakistani government met with French President to resume talk on the RPP deal in November 1978, ⁸⁷ in August 1979, Pakistani President asked France to honour it commitment about RPP, ⁸⁸ in 1980, French Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, Oliver Stirn told Pakistani government, "le dossier est ouvert" (file is open). ⁸⁹ During the negotiation, he further assured
that the work was not interrupted\(^6^0\) and French government also declared that the contract was not “interrupted” but they suggested some modifications and the rest of the decision was on Pakistani side.\(^6^1\)

Compensation for the cancellation of the Deal

Pakistan’s modest attitude towards the cancellation of the deal although saved French Government from political embarrassment at international level but the breach of an international signed agreement needed to pay compensation. French Foreign Minister Claude Cheysson (1981-1984), during his visit to Pakistan discussed the possible amount of compensation for the cancellation of RPP deal.\(^9^2\) The amount of compensation had become a bone of contention between the two states. In November 1985, a Pakistan based international chamber of commerce, ruled a judgment that France should pay $3 million, for failing to deliver 50-100 metric tons per year capacity reprocessing plant at Chashma, contracted by Pakistan engineering commission with Messer SGN of France.\(^9^3\) But until February 1987, the amount was not settled. A major development happened in May 1987, when during his visit to Pakistan, Jean-Bernard Raimond, French Foreign Minister (1986-1988) offered to supply a nuclear power plant to Pakistan as out of court settlement between the two states for the solution of a long standing issue of RPP deal. The issue remained disputed due to the amount of compensation.\(^9^4\) The issue was come under discussion during French President, Francois Mitterrand’s (1981-1995) Pakistan visit in 1990 and he accepted the right of Pakistan for compensation and announced that Pakistan and France agreed to seek a “mutual agreed” compensation for the RPP.\(^9^5\) It was seemed that compensation would be more than 300 million franc\(^9^6\) but nothing happened. At last in January 1992, Pakistani Prime Minister Nawaz Sharif announced that France had agreed to pay $118 million as compensation which according to PAEC chairman covered only the cost of civil work which PAEC had done there. But France still refused to verify the amount figure.\(^9^7\)
It is also a fact that the International Court of Justice in Hague ordered France to pay about 250 to 400 million for the breach of contract, a fine which never paid. Benazir had proposed Mitterrand; Paris deducted the price of the new nuclear plant from that amount of the fine and the amounts (including interest) that Pakistan had invested for the installation of the reprocessing plant. But the issue was not solved.

Politics behind the Anti-Nuclear Deal campaign

International opposition of Franco-Pakistan nuclear deal was based on the assumption that Pakistan used this plant to purify that plutonium which it had from KNUPP, which was under IAEA safeguards. Further, it could use that pure plutonium for making the nuclear bomb, the same method used by France and India to fabricate their nuclear bombs in the past. The difference was only that France had its own RPP and India had done it before the over consciousness of the developed world about nuclear proliferation.

This assumption was strengthened due to the regional situation of the Southwest Asia where Pakistan had hereditary enemy - India - with nuclear capability after 1974. So the possibility of making a bomb to counter India and equalize it in this capability was higher in this region than anywhere else in the world. French RPP could provide Pakistan a chance to have equilibrium against India in future in nuclear field. Keeping all these things in mind French tried to surround the RPP deal with the barriers of international guarantees which it considered ‘sufficient’ to block Pakistan to be nuclear power. But these French efforts could not stop American to be hostile towards that deal which gave them a slightest hint of further nuclear proliferation in the world.

Feroz Khan in his book Eating Grass negated this assumption; writes that Pakistan wished to use foreign capabilities for its national interest, but there was not a single plan was made to “misuse the spent fuel from any foreign – supplied reactors or divert it from a safeguarded reactor to a military program” rather the aim was that to obtain experience and use that gained knowledge to build a parallel capabilities to fabricate the bomb.
American anti-deal politics viewed by French

American strenuous efforts for the cancellation of all the nuclear deals had forced the victim states to analyze its approach on certain perspectives. France and Pakistan had viewed American reaction in their own perceptions like in France; American efforts were seen as commercial rivalry, a show of American imperialism or a part of their presidential election tussle while for Pakistan it was linked to their south Asian and Middle Eastern politics.

Jacque Chirac alleged that behind the American efforts in the cancellation of deal, laid the motives of commercial rivalry, the ambition to enforce super power hegemony and the most of all the “the need of President Ford’s election campaign”. It was not only Chirac’s feeling but most of the French media agreed with Chirac. Quotidian de Paris linked American anti-deal policy with nuclear global market and regional South Asian policy. According to it, Americans were worried that its nuclear market monopoly was kidnapped by the French and Germans and its imperialist approach could not tolerate any competition in global market and it tried to impose its wish one way or the other. Even it is also said that Kissinger and Ford’s nuclear diplomacy was for the American capitalism and they wished to save the interest of big American firms which had monopoly until 1970s on nuclear field. It was also said that Ford could not sell out American interest in well manner so he lost election from Carter in 1977.

American Anti Deal Politics Viewed by Pakistan

For Pakistan, American nuclear diplomacy in Asia was linked with the assumption that India should have a “dominated position” in the Sub-Continent to control the region. To achieve this objective, American not only favored India for its nuclear policy but also put all their efforts to oppose nuclear policy of Pakistan, although, apparently they pretended a Pro- Pakistan attitude during East Pakistan crisis against India. It was the excellent piece of diplomacy on the part of Americans in South Asia which
American attitude justified these Pakistani accusations; on one hand, it forced Pakistan and France to cancel an international deal but on the other hand, its Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) decided on 2 July 1976 to resume the shipment of Uranium to India, which was used in its atomic power plants at Tarapur and Bombay. American ambassador to India, William Saxbe was assuring the Indian Government that no conflict was existed on the resumption of the supplies to the Tarapur Power plant. For the same assurance, Henry Kissinger was telling Pakistani media that Americans were honouring a contract made years ago with India. He further added that it related to the reliability of American contracts. American government feared that a decision to cancel the American fuel supplies would ruin the country’s reputation and reliability as a supplier of nuclear fuel. However, it forced France and Germany to cancel all their signed deals. This was an outlandish attitude because the ‘commercial reliability’ for American matters but for other ‘market rival’ not. They should abandon it (commercial reliability) under its pressure.

It was a disturbing attitude for Pakistan and France. For Pakistan, the disturbing fact was - the sticking of Americans with their contract with India - which rendered, invalid due to Indian nuclear explosion, while it continued raised outcry on the Pakistan - France RPP deal. This attitude meant that American wished a weaker Pakistan against a nuclear India.

For France, the disturbing fact was the duel policy of Americans towards non-proliferation. French were informed that, during the same period, when Americans were pressurizing France and Germany for the cancellation of their deals with other states, they (Americans) had sold two computers to South Africa for making operational to its secret enrichment plant. The Carter Administration’s opposition to European nuclear facilities and export programme resented the Europeans. Although temporarily, American succeeded to manage their monopoly and
hegemony on European but it was no longer maintained in coming decades.

French Political Approach

French political approach throughout the issue was based on “reluctant determination”. It was determined to maintain its independence in foreign and commercial affairs but it was also reluctant to isolate itself within Western world - adopting anti-American policies for a state like Pakistan which was commercially less beneficial.

This attitude of reluctant determination can be clearly seen in French treatment of the whole affair after the departure of Prime Minister, Jacque Chirac from the government, in September 1976. French Government decided to ‘not to provide reactor to Pakistan - as an irrevocable decision - but ‘its implications had evolved gradually’ according to French Foreign Office member.

Consequently, all the assurances and diplomatic guarantees which France had provided for next two years (1976-1978) “we will honour the contract” falls into the realist theory of “national interest”. But either it was realist national interest theory or political trickery, it has become one of the sources which left Pakistan ten years behind technologically in positive nuclear energy use which caused, power shortfall and failure to meet energy crisis in future.

French President Jacque Chirac who was the instigator behind Franco-Pakistan nuclear deal also found the cancellation unjustified. Kissinger’s visit to Deauville (10-11 August), Chirac’s resignation (26 August) and the establishment of nuclear policy council (1st September) might be “co-incidences” but they were the Omens for the future of the deal. Chirac was Prime Minister when the nuclear deal was signed and with the knowledge and approval of President Giscard d’Estaing, for him the decision to break the contract was ‘improper’ and absurd and not justified with any of French interest. Because all plans and drawings of the plant had already delivered to Pakistan and Pakistan could go ahead with those designs with its own scientist or with the help of
Chinese who defiantly felt happiness finding advanced French technology. If French opposition parties pointed out the ‘unreasonableness’ of world attitude towards two South Asian states, which allowed India to have a bomb but not Pakistan, its Secretary General of Foreign Ministry, George Henri-Soutou, justified French assessment about the RPP deal, declaring it as, “difficult decision for France in political and economic terms”. At the same time admitting that at least, France had ‘broken’ its contract with Pakistan. He linked it with the intense pressure from the America / Canada / Australia due to troika being the major holders on global uranium resources. He tried to reject any special treatment to Pakistan by telling that France also stopped the supply of sensitive material to Iraq and India.

France also exploited this Pakistani weakness (dependency on foreign material for nuclear development) in the coming years whenever they wanted to create warmthness in the relations, highlighted and began to announce the provision of civil nuclear technology to Pakistan; Mitterrand did it in 1990 and Sarkozy in 2011. But what a French journalist said in 1978 that no plant for Pakistan at any cost was still haunting Pakistan and France civil nuclear cooperation.

**Pakistani Political Approach**

The process from signing to cancellation of the RPP deal between Pakistan and France was covered nearly a full decade. The purchasing discussion and conditions finalized during the first half of 1970s and the official announcement of the deal to official cancellation stretched in the second half of 1970s. Contrary to the rhetoric nuclear politics of Bhutto, military government of Pakistan reacted modestly on the cancellation of the deal. It declared its commitment with the nuclear energy repeatedly but did not create a global uproar on French unethical unilateral decision. Rather after a couple of months, Agha Shahi, during his visit to Paris presented a counter proposal to French government, relating to safeguards. This was to associate France with the management of any separated plutonium to third
President Giscard was excited to that proposal and offered to discuss it among experts of two countries. But it was again a diplomatic delaying tactic. In spite of appreciating Pakistani proposal and having discussing it among expert, France was determined that ‘plant could not built’ at any cost.

French Government was at relief at the ‘moderate and low key manner’ response of Pakistani government because Pakistan could have pilloried existing French Government both in the French courts through using Gaullist opposition and nuclear industry’s lobby and in the world by using international court and UNO forum. Pakistan saved France for these awkward situations and used this opportunity to make France grateful by maintaining the rest of the contracts linked to television system, truck manufacturing and defence purchase. It was future “investment” on the part of Pakistan. For Pakistan, this opportunity would become a long-term link with France in defence cooperation, which would decrease its old dependency of American weapon system. Pakistan could get Mirage 2000 and its local assembling and manufacturing facilities. Giscard, himself, talked about the “political implications of a long-term arrangement between the two countries”.

Concluding Analysis

There are three prominent approaches which can be seen during the whole affair of Franco-Pakistan nuclear deal: American aggressive nuclear approach, French diplomatic approach and Pakistan’s determined yet need based approach. Pressure tactic, delaying diplomacy and diplomatic assurances were the significant features which continuously used during the whole affair. American dual standards appeared throughout the affair - Americans insisting Pakistan not to jump into nuclear pool but continuing help the Indians for their nuclear program and forced European to cancel their deals and ready to fulfil their commitments with India and south Africa. This deal brought into light the commercial and political rivalry within the Atlantic alliance. French wished to use its
nuclear expertise as a source to increase its influence in the world and strengthen its financial balance met with severe resistance from Anglo-Saxon circles. The presence of another nuclear supplier - who was ready to provide nuclear technology without harsh terms - was definitely challengeable for American monopoly in the field.

French independent decisions, if followed by the other alliance partners of the cold war could damage the cohesion of the Atlantic alliance in comparison with the WARASAW pact. This political challenge also linked to the international commercial rivalry of developed world. The objective behind this rivalry was to capture the nuclear market and maintain monopoly in this field.

This politico-economic threat from France also attached with American internal politics and its politics of non-proliferation at international level after Indian nuclear blast. This politico-economic link was not limited to America only - Pakistani and French politics also affected with it. Within Pakistan - a general needed legitimacy for his rule at international level - while in France, Giscard used this "deal politics" to control Gaullist opposition in his favour.

Besides American, Pakistani and French were also playing nuclear diplomacy. France propagating the independence of its foreign policy but the fear of isolation in western world forced it to revoke its commitment with les commercially attractive region. Pakistan was continuously announcing its wish - for peaceful nuclear program but - when France offered it another type of plant, which could not produce pure plutonium it outrightly, rejected its offer.

International organizations were tool for developed world for their international diplomacy - they could evoke it whenever they wished. IAEA established to control nuclear proliferation but Americans who were among its initiator - not willing to show confidence on its authority. So the failure of this deal made it clear for the rest of the world that there was no outlet they had for the transfer of technology legally.
This deal if it had succeeded could have been helpful to change the politics of South Asia and Europe because being 'semi-leaders' of two different cultures and continents, their common approach could influence the politics of relevant regions and they could bridge the gap between the civilizations. Regrettably, it could not be materialized due to American pressure.

RPP deal was the excellent example of realist international politics where certain states having the authority to manipulate the decision using all means to do it and the victim states were trying to save face in front of opposition politician and the public through lingering on the issue and with flowery words of hope and assurances.
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