Department of Media & Development Communication Faculty of Information and Media Studies University of the Punjab, Lahore Course Outline

| | BS Media & | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Program | | Course Code | SMDC-222 | Credit | 3 | | | |
| riogram | me Development Course Code SM Communication | | 51 viDC-222 | Hours | 5 | | | |
| | IUNITY | | | | | | | |
| Course Ti | Course Title RISK COMMUNICATION AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT | | | | | | | |
| | Course Introduction | | | | | | | |
| | e explores the principles and p | | | | | | | |
| | engagement. Students will learn to communicate effectively about risks, engage communities | | | | | | | |
| in decision | -making processes, and develop s | | aging public pe | rception of i | isks. | | | |
| | | ng Outcomes | | | | | | |
| | pletion of the course, the student | | | | | | | |
| | tand the principles of risk commu | | | ment. | | | | |
| | p strategies for effective commun | | | . d de sision | | | | |
| | e the impact of risk communication | on on community | engagement a | nd decision- | | | | |
| Шакте | making. Course Content Assignments/Read | | | | ings | | | |
| Introduction to Risk Communication and | | | | inchts/iteau | 5 5 | | | |
| Week 1 | Community Engagement | innumenton | unu | | | | | |
| W. L O | Building Risk Communication a | nd Community | | | | | | |
| Week 2 | Engagement Pathways | | | | | | | |
| Week 3 | Principles for Community Led F | Response | | | | | | |
| Week 4 Risk Communication Theories and Models (CERC, | | | | | | | | |
| | Situational Theory of Publics) | | | | | | | |
| Week 5 | Risk Communication Theories and Models | | | | | | | |
| WEEK J | (Deliberative Process Model, Actionable Risk, Precaution Adoption) | | | | | | | |
| Week 6 | Societal Risk Reduction Model | | | | | | | |
| Week 7 | Message Development for Effec | tive Risk | | | | | | |
| Week 7 Message Development for Encenve Risk Communication for Target Audience | | | | | | | | |
| Week 8 | Community Risk Visually | | | | | | | |
| Week 9 | Digital Revolution and Risk Communication | | | | | | | |
| Week 10 | Media Case Studies of Risk Communication | | | | | | | |
| Week 11 | Reporting Risk Communication | | | | | | | |
| Week 12 | Difference in Portraying Risk Communication Across Various Mediums | | | | | | | |
| Week 13 | Field Visits to Community Engagement Projects | | | | | | | |
| Week 14- | Week 14- Group Work on Developing a Risk Communication | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | |
| Week 16 | Final Presentations and Course Review | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Textbooks and Reading Material

| Textbooks and Reading Waterian | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| • | climate change action: A conceptual framework. Jàmbá: Journal of Disaster Risk Studies, 11(1), 1-11. | | | | |
| • | | | | | |
| • | Servaes, J., & Lie, R. (2015). New challenges for communication for sustainable development and social change: a review essay. Journal of Multicultural Discourses, 10(1), 124-148. | | | | |
| • | Lundgren, R. E., McMakin, A. H. (2013). Risk communication: a handbook for communicating environmental, safety, and health risks. IEEE Publishers. | | | | |
| • | Sterman, J. D. (2008). Risk communication on climate: mental models and mass balance. Science, 322(5901), 532-533. | | | | |
| • | Weingart, P., Engels, A., & Pansegrau, P. (2000). Risks of communication: discourses on climate change in science, politics | | | | |
| • | Pidgeon, N., Kasperson, R. E., & Slovic, P. (2003). The social amplification of risk. Cambridge University Press. | | | | |
| ٠ | Morgan, M. G. (2002). Risk communication: A mental models approach. Cambridge | | | | |
| | Teaching Learning Strategies | | | | |
| 1. | Class Discussion | | | | |
| 2. | Projects / Assignments | | | | |
| 3. | Group Presentations | | | | |
| 4. | Students led presentations | | | | |
| 5. 6. | Thought Provoking Questions Field Visits and Guest Speakers | | | | |
| | Assignments: Types and Number with Calendar | | | | |
| | | | | | |

• Assignments may include special reports, projects, class presentations, field work. The nature of assignment will be decided by the teacher as per the requirements of the course.

| Assessment | | | | |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------|---|--|
| Sr. No. | Elements | Weightage | Details | |
| 1. | Midterm Assessment | 35% | Written Assessment at the mid-point of the semester. | |
| 2. | Formative Assessment | 25% | Continuous assessment includes: Classroom participation, assignments, presentations, viva voce, attitude and behavior, hands-on-activities, short tests, projects, practical, reflections, readings, quizzes etc. | |

| 3. | Final Assessment | 40% | Written Examination at the end of the semester. It is mostly in the form of a test, but owing to the nature of the course the teacher may assess their students based on term paper, research proposal development, field work and report writing etc. |
|----|---------------------|-----|--|
| | | | |