

**Characterization of Amenability in Non-Expansive Dynamical Systems by the  
Schauder Fixed Point Property**

**Received**

11 March, 2025

**Revised**

24 November, 2025

**Accepted**

10 December, 2025

**Published Online**

23 December, 2025

Amna Kalsoom

Department of Mathematics & Statistics,  
International Islamic University, Islamabad, 44000, Pakistan

Sana Siddique

Department of Computer Science,  
National University of Modern Languages, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

\*Maliha Rashid

Department of Mathematics & Statistics,  
International Islamic University, Islamabad, 44000, Pakistan

**Abstract.** In the following manuscript, we are addressing the question: “Which amenability property of dynamical system is characterized by the Schauder fixed point property (Sfpp)?”. We have first introduced the property  $F_C$  and then we provided suitable answers to this problem for the respective class  $\mathcal{ELA}$  (extremely left amenable) of semitopological semigroups by developing a relation between properties  $F_C$ ,  $F_E$  and Sfpp.

**AMS (MOS) Subject Classification Codes:** 22A15, 47H10, 54C08

**Key Words:** Dynamical system, Semitopological semigroups, Schauder fixed point property, Amenability.

1. INTRODUCTION

A well-known finding by Brouwer[1] is that any self-mapping that is continuously defined for a unit closed ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  attains a fixed point, served as the catalyst for the examination of the presence of a fixed point regarding nonlinear maps as well. The following more general fact is also produced by this result: any continuous map defined on a non-empty compact convex subset of a Euclidean space surely attains an invariant point. Later, Schauder [15] enhanced Brouwer’s findings by demonstrating the details that, in reality, Brouwer’s theorem holds true even when  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is substituted with a normed space. Then we have a Sfpp which states whenever  $(S, C, \sigma)$  is a jointly continuous map with a non-empty compact convex set of a separated locally convex topological vector space then a common fixed point for  $S$  in  $C$  exists. Related to this, we have another property  $F_E$  which states

that whenever  $(S, C, \sigma)$  is a jointly continuous map with a compact Hausdorff space, then there exists a common fixed point for  $S$  in  $C$ . Mitchell [11] then proved that  $\mathcal{EL}\mathcal{A}$  of a semitopological semigroup is described by the property  $F_E$ .

In this article, we will prove that  $F_E \Rightarrow F_S$  then it is obviously natural to ask that "Is there any amenability property of a semitopological semigroup that is categorized by  $\text{Sfpp } F_S$ ?" or "Does  $F_S \Rightarrow F_E$ ?" We will attempt to address the above question by introducing an additional property  $F_C$ , followed by a promising approach to provide a concise solution to the open problem published by Lau in [7].

## 2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let us recall some fundamental concepts which will be used in our work and which can be found in [1, 15, 10, 13, 2].

**Fixed Point.** A point  $v \in V$  is known as a FP of mapping  $\eta : V \rightarrow V$  if  $v = \eta v$ . In other words, it is the point which remains invariant or unchanged under given transformation.

**Example 1.** Let  $\eta$  be a self mapping on  $\mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\eta(x) = x^2$  and  $\eta(x) = -x$ , which implies  $x = 0, 1$  and  $x=0$  are the FPs of the given functions respectively.

**FP Property.** Consider a Banach space  $S$  and a non-empty set  $N$  of  $S$  where  $N$  is closed, bounded and contained within  $S$ . If a FP for any non-expansive mapping  $\eta : N \rightarrow N$  are in  $N$ , then it possesses the FP property.

**Weak FP Property.** Let  $S$  be a Banach space and any weakly compact convex subset  $N$  of  $S$  has the FP property then  $N$  has weak FP property.

**Left and Right Translation.** For a semigroup  $\sigma$ , let  $l^\infty(\sigma)$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra of complex-valued functions which are also bounded on  $\sigma$  having the supremum norm and multiplication which is point-wise. For every element  $s \in \sigma, k \in l^\infty(\sigma)$ , if

$$l_s k(t) = k(st) \text{ where } t \in \sigma$$

then  $l_s k$  is called the left translate of  $k$  by  $s$ . Similarly if

$$\varrho_s k(t) = k(ts) \text{ where } s \in \sigma$$

then  $\varrho_s k$  is said to be the right translate of  $k$  by  $s$ .

**Mean.** Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be a closed subspace of  $l^\infty(\sigma)$  containing constants and invariant under translations. Then a linear functional  $w \in \mathbb{T}^*$  is called a mean if

$$\|w\| = w(e) = 1,$$

$h$  is referred to as a Left invariant imply if  $w(l_t h) = w(h)$  for all  $t \in \sigma, h \in \mathbb{T}$ . Similarly  $w$  is called Right invariant mean if  $w(\varrho_a h) = w(h)$  for all  $a \in \sigma, h \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Now, we are going to list some spaces as follows:

$\mathcal{C}_b(\sigma)$  denotes the space of all bounded continuous complex-valued functions defined on  $\sigma$ .

$LUC(\sigma)$  is the space of all left uniformly continuous functions on  $\sigma$ , i.e. all  $g \in \mathcal{C}_b(\sigma)$  in this manner the mapping  $t \mapsto l_t g : \sigma \mapsto \mathcal{C}_b(\sigma)$ .

$AP(\sigma)$  is the space of all  $g \in \mathcal{C}_b(\sigma)$  such that  $\mathcal{LO}(g) = \{l_s(g) : s \in \sigma\}$  is relatively compact with respect to the norm topology of  $\mathcal{C}_b(\sigma)$ .

$WAP(\sigma)$  is said to be space of all  $g \in \mathcal{C}_b(\sigma)$  such that  $\mathcal{LO}(g) = \{l_s(g) : s \in \sigma\}$  is relatively compact in the WT of  $\mathcal{C}_b(\sigma)$ .

$m(\sigma)$  denotes the space of all bounded real-valued functions on  $\sigma$ .

$\beta(\sigma)$  denotes the space of all multiplicative means on  $m(\sigma)$ .

**Semitopological Semigroup.** If  $\sigma$  is a semigroup with the H.S topology is said to be a semitopological semigroup if the mapping for every  $s \in \sigma$   $v \rightarrow uv$  and  $v \rightarrow vu$  from  $\sigma$  into itself are continuous.

#### Left Amenable.

The semitopological semigroup  $S$  is said to be left amenable if  $L.U.C(S)$  has a LIM.

Observe that if  $S$  is discrete then

$$L.U.C(S) = \mathcal{C}_b(S) = l^\infty(S)$$

So both the definitions are same when  $S$  is discrete.

**Left Reversible.** If any two closed right ideals of the semitopological semigroup  $S$  have a non-void intersection, then  $S$  is said to be left reversible. , i.e

$$\overline{aS} \cap \overline{dS} \text{ for whatever } a, d \in S.$$

**Dynamical System.** A dynamical system consists of a pair  $(S, \varrho)$ , where  $S$  is a semitopological semigroup and  $\varrho$  is topological space together with separately continuous action of  $S$  on  $\varrho$ . If the action is continuous then the dynamical system is said to be continuous.

**Q-Nonexpansive Dynamical System.** Dynamical system is  $Q$ -Nonexpansive Dynamical System where  $Q$  is a family of seminorms if

$$q(sv - sw) \leq (v - w) \text{ for every } q \in Q, s \in S \text{ and } v, w \in \varrho \quad (2. 1)$$

**Convex Hull.** Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $G$  be a subset of  $V$ . The convex hull of  $G$  is defined as the set

$$CH(G) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n t_j x_j, x_j \in G; t_j \geq 0; \sum_{j=1}^n t_j = 1; n \geq 1 \right\}$$

**Left Thick.** Let  $\sigma'$  be a subset of a semigroup  $\sigma$  is called left thick in  $\sigma$  if for subset  $\sigma'' \subseteq \sigma$  that is finite, there is some  $s'' \in \sigma$  so that  $\sigma'' s'' \subseteq \sigma'$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $\sigma'$  be the set of all integers greater than or equal to 100. Then

$$\sigma' = \{100, 101, \dots\}$$

Take a finite subset

$$\sigma'' = \{-3, 7, 20\}$$

We want  $s^* \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$\sigma'' + s^* \subseteq \sigma'$$

We need

$$-3 + s^* \geq 100, \quad 7 + s^* \geq 100, \quad 20 + s^* \geq 100$$

For  $s^* = 103$

$$\{-3 + 103, 7 + 103, 0 + 103\} = \{100, 110, 123\} \subseteq \sigma'$$

The set  $\{100, 101, \dots\}$  is left thick in semigroup  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**Jointly Continuous Mapping.** Let  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  be topological spaces, and let

$$f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$$

be a function. We say that  $f$  is *jointly continuous* (JC) if it is a continuous function from the product space  $X \times Y$  (equipped with the product topology) to the space  $Z$ .

**Hausdorff Space.** A topological space  $(\mathcal{R}, \varrho)$  is called a Hausdorff space (HS) if for any pair of distinct points  $s, t \in \mathcal{R}$ , there exist two open sets  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  such that

$$s \in P_1, \quad t \in P_2, \quad \text{and} \quad P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset.$$

**Topological Vector Space.** Consider a vector space  $V$  over the field  $F$  and let  $\varrho$  be a topology on  $V$ . Then  $(V, \varrho)$  is said to be a topological vector space (TVS) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) The operation of addition is jointly continuous; that is, given any  $w, z \in V$  and any  $\varrho$ -neighbourhood  $B$  of  $w + z$  in  $V$ , there exist  $\varrho$ -neighbourhoods  $C$  of  $w$  and  $D$  of  $z$  in  $V$  such that

$$C + D \subseteq B.$$

- (2) The operation of scalar multiplication

$$F \times R \rightarrow R$$

is jointly continuous; i.e., given any  $w \in R$  and  $k \in F$  and any  $\varrho$ -neighbourhood  $B$  of  $kw$  in  $R$ , there exist a  $\varrho$ -neighbourhood  $C$  of  $w$  in  $V$  and a neighbourhood  $X$  of  $k$  in  $F$  such that

$$XC \subseteq B.$$

**Locally Convex Space.** A TVS  $(V, \varrho)$  is called a LCS if it has a base of neighborhoods of 0 consisting of convex sets.

### 3. SOME ASPECTS OF SCHAUDER FIXED POINT PROPERTY.

Within this section, first we intend to study Sfpp then we will prove it's relation with another fixed point property. To further extent we will discuss some examples related to these fpp.

$(F_E)$  : Every JC mapping of  $S$  on a non-empty Hausdorff space that is compact attains a fixed point that is common[11].

$(F_S)$  : Every JC mapping of  $S$  on a non-empty compact convex set  $\omega$  in a separated locally convex topological vector space has a common fixed point [8].

Above given property  $(F_S)$  is called Sfpp.

A semigroup  $S$  is Extremely Left Amenable if and only if every extreme point of the compact, convex set of left-invariant means is a multiplicative left-invariant mean[13]. Mitchell proved in [11] semitopological semigroup is referred as  $\mathcal{ELA}$  if it has the FPP  $F_E$ .

The first examples of extremely amenable groups were provided by Herer and Christensen [5]. They showed that certain abelian Polish groups of the form  $L_0(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ , consisting of all  $\mu$ -measurable real-valued functions (where  $\mu$  is a pathological submeasure), are extremely amenable. Later, more general groups of the form  $L_0(\mu, G)$ , with  $G$  a topological group, were also shown to be extremely amenable. The first examples of extremely amenable groups were provided by Herer and Christensen [5]. They showed that certain abelian Polish groups of the form  $L_0(\mu, \mathbb{R})$ , consisting of all  $\mu$ -measurable real-valued functions (where  $\mu$  is a pathological submeasure), are extremely amenable. Later, more general groups of the form  $L_0(\mu, G)$ , with  $G$  a topological group, were also shown to be extremely amenable.

Furthermore, Furstenberg–Weiss and independently Glasner [4] proved that the group of measurable functions from the unit interval  $I$ , equipped with the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ , to the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$  is extremely amenable. Farah and Solecki [3] extended this result by demonstrating that  $L_0(\mu, G)$  is extremely amenable whenever  $G$  is a compact solvable topological group and  $\mu$  is a diffused submeasure. Later, Sabok [14] generalized these results to all solvable topological groups  $G$  together with diffused submeasures  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 1.** If the semigroup is  $\mathcal{ELA}$  then it will possess property  $F_S$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $\{J_s : s \in S\}$  be a JC representation of  $\sigma$  on a non-empty  $\omega$  of a separated LCS which is compact and convex. Then we have a strong version of Tychonoff's Theorem [12] that implies for every representation  $\{J_s : s \in S\}$  we have at least one fixed point. Here we are considering the induced topology on  $K$  this implies  $K$  is a subspace (a subspace of a topological space  $X$  is contained in  $X$  which is equipped with a topology induced from that of  $X$  called subspace topology or relative topology) of a LC Hausdorff TVS and a subspace of Hausdorff is Hausdorff. This implies that  $K$  is a Hausdorff subspace of LC Hausdorff TVS which is compact. Now by  $F_E$  for every jointly continuous representation  $\{J_s : s \in S\}$  on  $K$  we have a common fixed point.

**Example 3.** The bicyclic semigroup represented by  $S_1 = \langle a, b \mid ab = e \rangle$  is a semigroup produced by identity  $e$  and some other elements  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $ab = e$  has the semigroup property  $F_S$  but is not extremely left amenable (see [7]).

**Proof.** Elements of set  $S_1$  are of the type

$$s = b^c a^d \quad (3.2)$$

for certain integer  $c \geq 0$  and  $d \geq 0$ . If

$$bs = s. \quad (3.3)$$

Now from (3.2) put  $s = b^c a^d$  in (3.3), we get

$$b^{c+1} a^d = b^c a^d \quad (3.4)$$

Now multiplying  $a^c$  and  $b^d$  towards the left and the right, respectively, in the above equation, we get

$$b = e,$$

Which is not true as given that  $S_1 = \langle a, b : ab = e \rangle$  if  $b = e$  then  $a.e = e$  which is not possible. Hence  $S_1$  does not contain right zero element. This implies that  $S_1$  is not extremely left amenable. Let  $\sigma$  denote a continuous representation of  $S_1$  over a non empty set  $C$  which is convex. From Sfpp, say  $z$  is a fixed point of  $C$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} b(ez) &= (be)z = (eb)z = e(bz) = ez \\ a(ez) &= a(bez) = (ab)ez = e.ez = e^2z = ez. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $ez$  has become an invariant point in  $C$  that is common for every generator including  $a, b$  and  $e$ . This presents that  $ez$  is a fixed point for  $S_1$  that is common but  $S_1$  is not  $\mathcal{ELA}$ . This implies that  $F_E$  does not hold in  $S_1$ .

**Example 4.** The free commutative cyclic semigroup has the fpp  $F_S$  (see[7]).

**Proof.** Let  $\Sigma^*$  be the free commutative semigroup on one generator  $\Sigma^* = \{\nu\}$ . Then

$$\Sigma^* = \{\nu, \nu\nu, \nu\nu\nu, \nu\nu\nu\nu, \dots\}$$

Let  $\sigma$  be the continuous representation of  $\Sigma^*$  on non-empty set  $K$  which is compact and convex. Then by Sfpp  $K$  contains fixed point for  $\nu$ . i.e

$$\begin{aligned} \nu z &= z \\ \nu(\nu z) &= \nu(\nu(z)) = \nu z = z \\ \nu\nu\nu(z) &= \nu\nu(\nu(z)) = \nu\nu(z) = \nu(\nu\nu(z)) = \nu z = z, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

In this way we will get the common fixed point in  $K$  for all elements of  $\Sigma^*$ . Hence  $z$  is a common fixed point for  $\Sigma^*$ . This implies that  $\Sigma^*$  has  $F_S$  property.

**Example 5.** A group that is generated by one element has the fpp  $F_S$  (see[7]).

**Proof.** Let  $B$  be a group generated by one element that is  $\exists$  some  $g \in B$  s.t.  $B = \langle g \rangle$ . This implies that  $g$  is the generator. Now, let  $\sigma$  be the continuous depiction of  $B$  on a non-empty compact and convex set, then by Schauder fixed point theorem  $H(g) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $z \in H(g)$ , i.e

$$g.z = z \quad (3.5)$$

For  $g \in B$ , then for some  $i \in I$ ,  $g^{-1} \in B$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1} &= g^i \\ g^{-1}.z &= g^i.z \end{aligned}$$

From the above, we get  $g^{-1}.z = z$ . This implies that  $z \in H(g^{-1}) \Rightarrow H(g) \subseteq H(g^{-1})$ . Similarly,  $H(g^{-1}) \subseteq H(g)$ . Hence, a group (finite or infinite) that is generated by one element has the property  $F_S$ .

**Example 6.** The semigroup  $(Q, +)$  has the Schauder fixed point property, where  $Q$  is the set of all the rational numbers and  $Q_+$  is the set of all positive rational numbers (see[7]).

**Proof.** If  $Q_+$  acts on a set  $C$  which is compact and convex then the fixed point set  $H(s)$  contains some element for every  $r \in Q_+$ . It is clear that if  $r = zt$  for some integer  $z$ , then  $H(t) \subset H(s)$ . Let  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n$  be finite element of  $Q_+$ . It can be written  $r_j = \frac{l_j}{z_j}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), where  $z_j$  and  $l_j$  are integers. Let  $r_j = \frac{1}{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k}$  then all  $r_j$  are multiples of  $r$ . So  $G(r) \subset G(r_j)$  for all  $j$ . This implies that the collection

$\gamma = \{G(r) : r \in Q_+\}$  that contains subsets of  $C$  which are compact has the finite intersection property. Therefore  $\bigcap_{r \in Q_+} H(r) \neq \emptyset$  i.e  $Q_+$  has a fixed point that is common in  $C$ .

**Example 7.** A discrete group on  $z \geq 2$  does not have fixed point property ( $F_S$ )(see[7].

**Proof.** It is enough to show the case  $z = 2$ . As for  $z = 2$  the semigroup is isomorphic to  $Z_o \times Z_o$  where  $Z_o$  is the semigroup of non negative integers with respect to addition  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ (which is the discrete cyclic semigroup). Notably, two continuous functions  $g$  and  $l$  exist, mapping the unit  $[0, 1]$  into itself and satisfying the commutative property under composition function but do not have any common fixed point in  $[0, 1]$ . Let the representation of  $Z_o \times Z_o$  on  $[0, 1]$  defined by  $\tau_{(0,0)} = id[0, 1]$ ,  $\tau_{(1,0)} = g$ ,  $\tau_{(0,1)} = l$  then  $Z_o \times Z_o$  has no fixed point in  $[0, 1]$  that is common although  $Z_o$  has the fpp ( $F_S$ ).

#### 4. AMENABILITY OF DYNAMICAL SYSTEM

In this section we will first introduce the property  $F_C$  and then develop the relation between the property  $F_s$  and  $F_c$  which will be helpful in proving our main result.

( $F_C$ ) : Every JC representation of semitopological semigroup on a convex hull of non-empty compact Hausdorff space has a fixed point that is common.

Now we will prove that  $F_S$  implies  $F_C$ .

**Theorem 2.** If a semitopological semigroup  $\mathbb{S}$  has the Schauder fpp  $F_s$  then it has the fpp  $F_c$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a HS and  $\{G_s : s \in S\}$  be a JC representation of  $\sigma$ . Also let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a separated L.C.S and  $C$  be a subspace of  $\mathcal{K}$ .  $CH(\mathcal{C})$  is the convex hull of  $\mathcal{C}$ . As  $\mathcal{C}$  is compact so  $CH(\mathcal{C})$  is also compact. This implies  $CH(\mathcal{C})$  is a compact set of a separated LCS. If  $\{G_s : s \in S\}$  is JC for  $CH(\mathcal{C})$  then by  $F_s$  for every JC representation  $\{G_s : s \in S\}$  on  $CH(\mathcal{C})$  we have a common fixed point.



**Theorem 3.** Let  $\sigma$  be a semigroup then the following are

- (1) Left invariant multiplicative mean exists in  $\sigma$ .
- (2) For each pair of subsets  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \subseteq \sigma$  such that  $\sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$  is left thick in  $\sigma$ , then atleast one subset  $\sigma_1$  or  $\sigma_2$  must be a left thick in  $\sigma$ .
- (3) For every finite family of subsets  $\sigma_i \subseteq \sigma$  so that  $\sigma = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$ , it implies that at least one of the subset  $\sigma_i$  is left thick in  $\sigma$ .
- (4) Every JC representation of  $\sigma$  on a convex hull of non-empty compact HS has a fixed point that is common for  $\sigma$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\implies$  (2)

Let  $\sigma'$  be left thick in  $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma' = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ . Let  $\{\sigma_\gamma\}$  be the finite family of subsets of  $\sigma$ , for each finite subset  $\sigma_\gamma \in \sigma$  there exists  $s_\gamma \in \sigma$  such that  $\sigma_\gamma s_\gamma \subseteq \sigma'$ . As  $\kappa(\sigma)$  is  $w^*$  compactness, the net  $\{\Omega s_\gamma\}$  has a subnet  $\{\Omega s_\delta\}$  which is  $w^*$ -convergent to some  $\nu' \in \kappa(\sigma)$  [16].

For any  $s \in \sigma$

$$l_s^* \nu' = l_s^* (w^* \lim_\delta (\Omega s_\delta)) = w^* \lim_\delta (l_s^* (\Omega s_\delta))$$

As  $l_s^*$  is  $w^*$ -continuous so we can follow the second equality. Let  $h_o \in n(\sigma)$  be the characteristic function of  $\sigma'$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (l_s^* \nu', h_o) &= \lim_\delta (l_s^* (\Omega s_\delta), h_o) = \lim_\delta (\Omega s_\delta, l_s h_o) \\ &= \lim_\delta (l_s h_o, s_\delta) = \lim_\delta h_o(s s_\delta) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for each  $s \in \sigma$ . By (1),  $\sigma$  has a multiplicative left invariant mean  $\nu$ . Suppose  $\nu'' \in \kappa(\sigma)$  be stated by  $\nu'' = \nu \odot \nu'$ . Then  $\nu''$  is a left invariant mean by [[2], Corollary 2, p.529]. Furthermore, for each  $s \in \sigma$

$$\nu_l^* h^\circ(s) = (\nu', l_s h_o) = (l_s^* \nu', h_o) = 1$$

hence  $\nu' h_o = e$ . Thus

$$\nu'' h_o = (\nu, \nu_l^* h_o) = (\nu, e) = 1$$

Let  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  be the characteristic functions of  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ . As  $\nu''$  is multiplicative, it will give zero on characteristic function. As  $\nu'' h_o = 1$ , it is not possible that  $\nu'' h_1 = 0$  and  $\nu'' h_2 = 0$ . So there must be at least one of the function  $h_j$  where  $j = 1, 2, \dots$  satisfies  $\nu'' h_j = 1$ . But if  $K$  is any set that is contained in left amenable semigroup  $\sigma$ , in this case  $K$  is left thick in  $\sigma$  iff there exists left invariant mean on  $\sigma$  that assign the value 1 to the characteristic function  $K$  [[10], Theorem 7]. So, there must exist at least one of subset  $\sigma_j$  that is left thick in  $\sigma$ .

(2)  $\implies$  (3)

$\sigma$  is left thick in itself, this implies that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$  is left thick. Then by (b) there exist at least one of the subset  $\sigma_i$  is left thick in  $\sigma$ .

(3)  $\implies$  (4)

Let  $\phi : \sigma \rightarrow \pi$  be a JC representation of  $\sigma$  onto  $\pi$ , a semigroup of continuous map of  $CH(Z)$  into  $CH(Z)$ , where  $Z$  is a compact HS. This implies that  $CH(Z)$  is also compact. Let  $\varrho$  be a topology on  $CH(Z)$ , such that  $CH(Z)$  is Hausdorff. This implies  $CH(Z)$  is also compact Hausdorff space. Let  $z$  be point of  $CH(Z)$ . Now at first we will show that their

exist  $y_o \in CH(Z)$  such that for every open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $y_o$  where  $W \subseteq CH(Z)$ , the set  $\{s \in \sigma; (\phi s)y \in W\}$  is a left thick in  $\sigma$ .

Suppose that  $y_o \notin CH(Z)$ . Then there exist an open neighbourhood of  $z$  for each  $z \in CH(Z)$ , the set  $W_z \subseteq CH(Z)$  such that the set  $\sigma_z$  where  $\sigma_z = \{s \in \sigma; \phi(s)y \in \sigma_z\}$  does not satisfy the condition to be left thick in  $\sigma$ . However, the family  $\{W_z; z \in CH(Z)\}$  is open covering of  $CH(Z)$ ,  $CH(Z)$  is compact so  $\exists$  a finite number of element  $z(j) \in CH(Z)$  such that  $CH(Z) = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \sigma_{z(j)}$  which is contradicting (3). Hence  $y_o \in CH(Z)$ . Let  $\varrho$  be the family of finite subsets of  $\sigma$  and  $\chi$  the family of all open neighbourhoods of  $y_o$ . Let  $\sigma''_\kappa$  be subset of  $\sigma$  that is finite and let  $Y_\rho$  be an open neighborhood of  $y_o$ . Further  $\{\sigma'_\rho\}$  will designate the net left thick of  $\sigma$  defined as  $\sigma'_\rho = \{s \in \sigma; \phi(s)y \in Y_\rho\}$ . Let  $\Psi = \varrho \times \chi$  the direct product set of  $\varrho$  and  $\chi$ . For every  $\psi = (\kappa, \rho) \in \Psi$ , there exist  $s_\psi \in \sigma$  such that  $\sigma''_\kappa s_\psi \subseteq \sigma'_\rho$  by left thickness of  $\sigma'_\rho$  in  $\sigma$ . For any  $s \in \sigma$ , the net  $\{ss_\psi\}$  is finally in all  $\sigma'_\rho$ , which means that the net  $\{\phi(ss_\psi)y\}$  is finally in all open neighborhood  $Y_\rho$  of  $y_o$ . Therefore  $y_o = \lim_\psi (\phi(ss_\psi)y)$  for all  $s \in \sigma$ . Let  $s_o$  be a particular element of  $\sigma$ . Then for any  $s \in \sigma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(s)y_o &= \phi(s)\lim_\psi (\phi(s_o s_\psi)y) = \lim_\psi (\phi(s)\phi(s_o s_\psi)y) \\ &= \lim_\psi (\phi(s_o s_\psi)y) = y_o \end{aligned}$$

we can follow the second equality as  $\phi(s)$  is continuous and similarly as  $\phi(s)$  is JC so we can follow the third inequality. Hence  $y_o$  is the required common point of family  $\pi$  that is fixed.

(4)  $\implies$  (1)

Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be the convex hull of  $\alpha(\sigma)$ , where  $\alpha(\sigma)$  is compact HS with respect to  $w^*$  topology. For each  $s \in \sigma$ , define a map  $\mathbb{G}_s : \alpha(\sigma) \rightarrow \alpha(\sigma)$  by  $\mathbb{G}_s \nu = l_s^* \nu$  for  $\nu \in \alpha(\sigma)$ . The set  $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathbb{G}_s : s \in \sigma\}$  forms a semigroup, jointly continuous to  $\sigma$  of  $\alpha(\sigma)$  into itself. Let the set  $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathbb{G}_s : s \in \sigma\}$  be such that it forms a jointly continuous representation to  $\mathfrak{C}$  of  $\mathfrak{C}$  into itself. As  $\alpha(\sigma)$  is compact HS then  $\mathfrak{C}$  is also compact and let  $\tau$  be discrete topology on  $\mathfrak{C}$  then  $\mathfrak{C}$  is Hausdorff w.r.t  $\tau$ . Now by (4), there exist  $\nu_o \in \mathfrak{C}$  such that  $\nu_o = \mathbb{G}_s \nu_o = l_s^* \nu_o$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{S}$ . i.e  $\nu_o$  is a fixed point that is common in  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Now as  $\alpha(\sigma) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ , so we will show that  $\nu_o \in \alpha(\sigma)$ . Let  $\nu_o \notin \alpha(\sigma)$ , as  $\alpha(\sigma)$  is a compact HS then by property  $F_E \exists$  some fixed point in  $\alpha(\sigma)$  for  $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathbb{G}_s : s \in \sigma\}$  that is common, this implies that  $\nu_o \in \alpha(\sigma) \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ . This shows that  $\nu_o$  is a multiplicative invariant mean.

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this work, we examined the limitation that the fixed point property  $F_S$  does not directly lead to amenability or to the property  $F_E$  that characterizes extreme left amenability. We have shown in examples that  $F_S$  alone is insufficient. To overcome this issue, we introduced an intermediate property  $F_C$  to strengthen the connection between  $F_S$  and  $F_E$ . Establishing the implications

$$F_S \implies F_C \implies F_E \implies \text{Extremely Left Amenable}$$

We obtain that  $F_S$  implies  $F_E$  indirectly via  $F_C$ . Since  $F_E$  already characterizes extremely left amenable dynamical systems, provides a full dynamical characterization of extreme left amenability

Property	Implies	Reason
$F_S$	$F_C$	Established in this work
$F_C$	$F_E$	Introduced to connect $F_S$ and $F_E$
$F_E$	Extremely Left Amenable	Classical implication
$F_S$	Extremely Left Amenable	Follows indirectly via $F_C$ and $F_E$

TABLE 1. Summary of implications between fixed point properties and extreme left amenability

#### Credit authorship contribution statement:

**Amna Kalsoom:** Conceptualization, formal analysis, validation. **Sana Siddique:** Formal analysis, investigation, writing original draft, methodology. **Maliha Rashid:** Conceptualization, formal analysis, supervision, validation.

#### Declaration of competing interest:

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

#### Funding

There is no specific funding for this research article. This work was supported and funded by all the authors equally.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. E. J Brouwer, Über Abbildung von Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Mathematische Annalen*. **71**, No.1 (1911) 97-115.
- [2] M. M. Day, Amenable semigroups, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*. **1**, No.4 (1957), 509-544.
- [3] I. Farah, and Solecki, S, Extreme amenability of  $L^0$ , a Ramsey theorem, and Lévy groups, *Journal of Functional Analysis*. **255**, No.2 (2008), 471-493.
- [4] E. Glasner, On minimal actions of Polish groups, *Topology and its Applications*. **85**, No.1-3 (1998), 119–125.
- [5] W. Herer, and Christensen, J. P. R, On the existence of pathological submeasures and the construction of exotic topological groups, *Mathematische Annalen*. **213**, No.3 (1975), 203-210.
- [6] M. A. Kazi, Kharat, V. V, Reshimkar, A. R. and Gophane, M. T, On nonlocal iterative fractional terminal value problem via generalized fractional derivative. *Punjab Univ. J. Math*. **56**, No.7 (2024), 334-347.
- [7] A. T. M. Lau and Zhang, Y, Fixed point properties for semigroups of nonlinear mappings and amenability *Journal of Functional Analysis*. **263**, No.10 (2012), 2949-2977.
- [8] A. T. M. Lau and Zhang, Y, Fixed point properties for semigroups of nonexpansive mappings on convex sets in dual Banach spaces, *Annales Universitatis Paedagogicae Cracoviensis. Studia Mathematica*. **17**, No.1 (2018), 67-87.
- [9] M. K. Maqbool, Bosan, M. S. and Khan, A. R, Relations on topologized groups. *Punjab Univ. J. Math*. **53**, No.6 (2021), 377-385.
- [10] T. Mitchell, Constant functions and left invariant means on semigroups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*. **119**, No.2 (1965), 244-261.
- [11] T. Mitchell, Fixed points and multiplicative left invariant means. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*. **122**, No.1 (1966), 195-202.
- [12] S. A. Morris, The Schauder–Tychonoff fixed point theorem and applications, *Matematicheskij casopis* **25**, No.2 (1975), 165 – 172.

- [13] S. Reich, Extreme invariant operators, Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Rendiconti. **55**, No.1-2 (1973), 31-36.
- [14] M. Sabok, Extreme amenability of abelian  $L^0$  groups, Journal of Functional Analysis. **263**, No.10 (2012), 2978-2992.
- [15] J. Schauder, Der Fixpunktsatz in Funktionalräumen, Studia Mathematica. **2**, No.1 (1930), 171-180.
- [16] W. Takahashi, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Yokohama Publishers., Yokohama, 1999.
- [17] E. Yolacan, Picard-Tikhonov-Mann (PTM) iteration process with applications, Punjab Univ. J. Math. **56**, No.2 (2024), 821-837.