

Permuting Tri (α, β) -Derivation on Almost Distributive Lattices

Abdul Rauf Khan¹, Zaheer Ahmad², Muhammad Kashif Maqbool^{*3}, Mohsin Bilal⁴,
Muhammad Dilber⁵, Aamir Ali Bajwa⁶

^{1,2,4} Department of Mathematics, Khwaja Fareed University of Engineering and
Information Technology, Abu Dhabi Road, 64200, Rahim Yar Khan, Punjab, Pakistan.

^{3,5,6} Department of Mathematics, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Bahawalpur,
Punjab, Pakistan.

Email: khankts@gmail.com¹, zaheer@gmail.com², kashifmaqbool9@gmail.com^{*3},
mohsin.bilal636@gmail.com⁴, m.dilbar.17@gmail.com⁵, aamiralibajwasst@gmail.com⁶

Received: 15 August, 2020 / Accepted: 13 March, 2021 / Published online: 15, March,
2021

Abstract.: In this paper, we introduce the idea of permuting tri- (α, β) -
derivation on ADL's and proved some results by using this notion. Let h
be the trace of permuting tri (α, β) -derivation H on ADL G , if $\alpha \geq H$
and $\beta \geq H$, then $h(x \wedge y) = (\alpha(y) \wedge h(x)) \vee H(x, x, z) \vee H(y, y, z) \vee$
 $(\beta(x) \wedge h(y))$.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification Codes: 06D99, 06D20.

Key Words: Almost Distributive Lattices (ADL's), Permuting Tri (α, β) -Derivation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1975, Szasz initiated the idea of derivation in Lattices [11]. Xin studied this concept and proved some important results on derivations of lattices [12]. After these studies the idea of f -derivation and symmetric f bi-derivation and permuting f -tri-derivation of lattices were introduced in [1, 2, 4, 9]. In 2012, Khan et. al. generalized this idea by introducing new concept of (α, β) -generalized derivation of lattices [3].

The concept of ADL's was initiated by Rao and Swamy in 1981 [10]. They gave the new idea of Almost Distributive Lattices by relaxing some conditions of lattices. In 2016, Rao and Babu introduced the concept of symmetric bi-derivation on ADL's and in 2017, Rao et. al. introduced the idea of permuting tri-derivation in ADL's [6, 8]. They generalized this concept by using the notion of permuting tri f - derivation on ADL's [7]. In this paper, by generalizing the derivation defined in [5] and [7], we initiate the concept of permuting tri- (α, β) -derivation on ADL's.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. [8] An algebra (G, \vee, \wedge) of type $(2, 2)$ is called an Almost Distributive Lattice if it satisfy the following axioms:

$$G_1 : (x \vee y) \wedge z = (x \wedge z) \vee (y \wedge z)$$

$$G_2 : x \wedge (y \vee z) = (x \wedge y) \vee (x \wedge z)$$

$$G_3 : (x \vee y) \wedge y = y$$

$$G_4 : (x \vee y) \wedge x = x$$

$$G_5 : x \vee (x \wedge y) = x, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in G.$$

Lemma 2.2. [8] For any $x, y \in G$, we have:

$$(1) x \wedge x = x$$

$$(2) x \vee x = x$$

$$(3) (x \wedge y) \vee y = y$$

$$(4) x \wedge (x \vee y) = x$$

$$(5) x \vee (y \wedge x) = x$$

$$(6) x \vee y = x \text{ if and only if } x \wedge y = y$$

$$(7) x \vee y = y \text{ if and only if } x \wedge y = x.$$

Definition 2.3. [8] An element $0 \in G$ is called zero element of G , if $0 \wedge x = 0$, for all $x \in G$.

Lemma 2.4. [8] If G has 0 , then for any $x, y \in G$, we have:

$$(1) x \vee 0 = x$$

$$(2) 0 \vee x = x$$

$$(3) x \wedge 0 = 0$$

$$(4) x \wedge y = 0 \text{ if and only if } y \wedge x = 0.$$

Definition 2.5. [8] For any $x, y \in G$, we say that x is less than or equal to y and write $x \leq y$, if $x \wedge y = x$ or, equivalently, $x \vee y = y$.

Definition 2.6. [8] An element $x \in G$ is called maximal if, for any $y \in G$, $x \leq y$ implies $x = y$.

Lemma 2.7. [8] For any $u \in G$ the following are equivalent:

$$(1) u \text{ is maximal}$$

$$(2) u \vee x = u$$

$$(3) u \wedge x = x, \text{ for all } x \in G.$$

Definition 2.8. [10] For any $x, y \in G$, x is said to be compatible with y (written $x \sim y$) if $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ or equivalently, $x \vee y = y \vee x$. A subset S of G is said to be compatible if $x \sim y$ for all $x, y \in S$.

Definition 2.9. [8] A function $\alpha : G \longrightarrow G$ is said to be an ADL homomorphism if it satisfy the following:

$$(i) \alpha(x \wedge y) = \alpha(x) \wedge \alpha(y)$$

$$(ii) \alpha(x \vee y) = \alpha(x) \vee \alpha(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

Definition 2.10. [8] A function $h : G \longrightarrow G$ is called an isotone, if $h(x) \leq h(y)$ for any $x, y \in G$ with $x \leq y$.

Definition 2.11. [8] A map $H : G \times G \times G \longrightarrow G$ is called *permuting map*, if $H(x, y, z) = H(x, z, y) = H(y, z, x) = H(y, x, z) = H(z, x, y) = H(z, y, x)$, for all $x, y, z, \in G$.

Definition 2.12. [8] A map $H : G \times G \times G \longrightarrow G$ is called an *isotone map* if, for any $x, y, z, w \in G$ with $x \leq w$, $H(x, y, z) \leq H(w, y, z)$.

Definition 2.13. [8] A mapping $h : G \longrightarrow G$ defined by $h(x) = H(x, x, x)$, for all $x \in G$, is called *trace of H*.

Definition 2.14. [8] A permuting map $H : G \times G \times G \longrightarrow G$ is called a *permuting tri-derivation on G*, if

$$H(x \wedge w, y, z) = [w \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [x \wedge H(w, y, z)], \text{ for all } x, y, w, z \in G.$$

3. PERMUTING TRI (α, β) -DERIVATION ON ALMOST DISTRIBUTIVE LATTICES

In this section, we proved some results by using the notion of permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on Almost Distributive Lattices.

Definition 3.1. A permuting map $H : G \times G \times G \longrightarrow G$ is called a *permuting tri (α, β) -derivation*, if there exist functions $\alpha, \beta : G \longrightarrow G$ such that

$$H(x \wedge w, y, z) = [\alpha(w) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(w, y, z)], \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in G.$$

A permuting tri (α, β) -derivation H on G also satisfies

$$H(x, y \wedge w, z) = [\alpha(w) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(y) \wedge H(x, w, z)] \text{ and}$$

$$H(x, y, z \wedge w) = [\alpha(w) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(z) \wedge H(x, y, w)], \text{ for all } x, y, z, w \in G.$$

Example 3.2. Let G be an ADL with 0 and $a \neq 0 \in G$. If we define a map $H : G \times G \times G \longrightarrow G$ by $H(x, y, z) = a$, for all $x, y, z \in G$ and $\alpha : G \longrightarrow G$ by $\alpha(w) = a$ and $\beta : G \longrightarrow G$ by $\beta(x) = x \vee a$, for all $x \in G$, then H is a permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on G but H is not permuting tri-derivation on G .

Proposition 3.3. Let G be an Almost Distributive Lattice and H be a Permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on G , then

$$H(x, y, z) \leq \alpha(x) \vee \beta(x), \forall x, y \in G.$$

Proof. Since $H(x, y, z) \wedge \alpha(x) \leq \alpha(x)$ and $\beta(x) \wedge H(x, y, z) \leq \beta(x)$

$$H(x, y, z) = H(x \wedge x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(x, y, z)] = (\alpha(x) \vee \beta(x)) \wedge H(x, y, z). \text{ Hence } H(x, y, z) \leq \alpha(x) \vee \beta(x). \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.4. Let H be a permuting tri- (α, β) -derivation on an ADL G and x is compatible to y , for $x, y \in G$, then $H(x, y, z) \wedge H(y, y, z) \leq H(x \wedge y, y, z)$.

Proof. $H(x \wedge y, y, z) = [\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(y, y, z)]$.

It implies

$$\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, y, z) \leq H(x \wedge y, y, z) \text{ and } \beta(x) \wedge H(y, y, z) \leq H(x \wedge y, y, z) \quad (3. 1)$$

By definition (2.8), we have

$$H(x \wedge y, y, z) = H(y \wedge x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(y, y, z)] \vee [\beta(y) \wedge H(x, y, z)].$$

This gives

$$\alpha(x) \wedge H(y, y, z) \leq H(x \wedge y, y, z) \text{ and } \beta(y) \wedge H(x, y, z) \leq H(x \wedge y, y, z) \quad (3. 2)$$

Combining equation (3. 1) and (3. 2), we obtain

$$[\alpha(x) \wedge H(y, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(y, y, z)] \leq H(x \wedge y, y, z) \quad (3. 3)$$

Now by Proposition (3.3), we get

$$H(x, y, z) \wedge H(y, y, z) \leq (\alpha(x) \vee \beta(x)) \wedge H(y, y, z) \leq [\alpha(x) \wedge H(y, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(y, y, z)]. \text{ Hence } H(x, y, z) \wedge H(y, y, z) \leq H(x \wedge y, y, z). \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let H be a permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on an ADL G , then $H(x \wedge y, y, z) \leq H(x, y, z) \vee H(y, y, z)$*

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in G$.

$$\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, y, z) \leq H(x, y, z) \quad (3. 4)$$

and

$$\beta(x) \wedge H(y, y, z) \leq H(y, y, z) \quad (3. 5)$$

$$H(x \wedge y, y, z) = [\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(y, y, z)].$$

By equation (3. 4) and (3. 5), we have

$$H(x \wedge y, y, z) \leq H(x, y, z) \vee H(y, y, z). \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.6. *Let h be a trace of permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on an ADL G . If G has least element 0 such that $\alpha(0) = 0$ and $\beta(0) = 0$, then $h(0) = 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition (3.3), we have

$$H(x, y, z) \leq \alpha(x) \vee \beta(x). \text{ Since } 0 \text{ is the least element therefore, } 0 \leq H(0, 0, 0) \leq \alpha(0) \vee \beta(0) = 0 \vee 0 = 0. \text{ Thus } 0 \leq H(0, 0, 0) \leq 0. \text{ From this we get } H(0, 0, 0) = 0. \text{ Hence } h(0) = 0. \quad \square$$

Proposition 3.7. *Let G be an ADL with greatest element 1 and 1 is compatible to x , H be a permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on G and $\alpha(1) = \beta(1) = 1$, then following hold:*

(1) *If $\alpha(x) \leq H(1, y, z)$ and $\beta(x) \leq H(1, y, z)$, then $H(x, y, z) = \alpha(x) \vee \beta(x)$*

(2) *If $\beta(x) \geq H(1, y, z)$, then $H(x, y, z) \geq H(1, y, z)$.*

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in G$.

$$(1) H(x, y, z) = H(x \wedge 1, y, z) = [\alpha(1) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(1, y, z)] = [1 \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee \beta(x) = H(x, y, z) \vee \beta(x). \text{ It implies}$$

$$H(x, y, z) \geq \beta(x) \quad (3. 6)$$

Similarly $H(x, y, z) = H(1 \wedge x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(1, y, z)] \vee [\beta(1) \wedge H(x, y, z)] = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee 1 = H(x, y, z) \vee \alpha(x)$. This gives

$$H(x, y, z) \geq \alpha(x) \quad (3. 7)$$

By combining (3. 6) and (3. 7), we have

$$\alpha(x) \vee \beta(x) \leq H(x, y, z) \quad (3. 8)$$

By Proposition (3.3), we obtain

$$H(x, y, z) \leq \alpha(x) \vee \beta(x) \quad (3. 9)$$

Thus by equation (3. 8) and (3. 9), we have $H(x, y, z) = \alpha(x) \vee \beta(x)$.

(2) $H(x, y, z) = H(x \wedge 1, y, z) = [\alpha(1) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(1, y, z)] = H(x, y, z) \vee H(1, y, z)$. We have $H(x, y, z) \geq H(1, y, z)$. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let G be an ADL with greatest element 1 and H be an isotone permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on G . Let $\alpha(1) = \beta(1) = 1$ and either $\alpha(x) \geq \beta(x)$ or $\alpha(x) \leq \beta(x)$, for all $x \in G$, then $H(x, y, z) = (\alpha(x) \vee \beta(x)) \wedge H(1, y, z)$.*

Proof. Since H is an isotone permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on G therefore, $H(x, y, z) \leq H(1, y, z)$.

Case 1: $\alpha(x) \geq \beta(x)$

$H(x, y, z) \leq \alpha(x) \vee \beta(x) = \alpha(x)$. It implies

$$H(x, y, z) \leq \alpha(x) \wedge H(1, y, z) \quad (3. 10)$$

$H(x, y, z) = H((x \vee 1) \wedge x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee 1, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x \vee 1) \wedge H(x, y, z)]$. Since 1 is greatest element of ADL therefore, $H(x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(1, y, z)] \vee [\beta(1) \wedge H(x, y, z)] = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(1, y, z)] \vee [1 \wedge H(x, y, z)] = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(1, y, z)] \vee H(x, y, z)$. Thus by equation (3. 10), we have $H(x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(1, y, z)]$. Since $\alpha(x) \geq \beta(x)$, so we can write $H(x, y, z) = \alpha(x) \vee \beta(x) \wedge H(1, y, z)$.

Case 2: $\beta(x) \geq \alpha(x)$

$H(x, y, z) \leq \alpha(x) \vee \beta(x) = \beta(x)$ from this we get

$$H(x, y, z) \leq \beta(x) \wedge H(1, y, z) \quad (3. 11)$$

$H(x, y, z) = H(x \wedge (x \vee 1), y, z) = [\alpha(x \vee 1) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(x \vee 1, y, z)]$. Since 1 is greatest element of ADL therefore, $H(x, y, z) = [\alpha(1) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(x, y, z)] = [1 \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(1, y, z)] = H(x, y, z) \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(1, y, z)]$. Now by equation (3. 11), we have $H(x, y, z) = [\beta(x) \wedge H(1, y, z)]$. Since $\beta(x) \geq \alpha(x)$ therefore, $H(x, y, z) = (\alpha(x) \vee \beta(x)) \wedge H(1, y, z)$. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let h be the trace of permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on an ADL G , then $(\alpha(x) \vee \beta(x)) \wedge h(x) = h(x)$.*

Proof. By our hypothesis $h(x) = H(x, x, x) = H(x \wedge x, x, x) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x, x, x)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(x, x, x)]$. By left distribution of meet over join, we have $h(x) = (\alpha(x) \vee \beta(x)) \wedge H(x, x, x) = (\alpha(x) \vee \beta(x)) \wedge h(x)$. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let H be a permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on G and $\alpha(x) \geq H(u, y, z)$, u and $\beta(u)$ are maximal in G , then $H(x, y, z) \geq H(u, y, z)$.*

Proof. $H(x, y, z) = H(u \wedge x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(u, y, z)] \vee [\beta(u) \wedge H(x, y, z)]$. Since $\beta(u)$ is maximal therefore, $H(x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(u, y, z)] \vee H(x, y, z)$. By our hypothesis, we obtain $H(x, y, z) = H(u, y, z) \vee H(x, y, z)$. Thus $H(x, y, z) \geq H(u, y, z)$. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let H be a permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on G if $\alpha \leq \beta$, then $H(x, y, z) = \beta(x) \wedge H(x, y, z)$.*

Proof. $H(x, y, z) = H(x \wedge x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(x, y, z)] = [\alpha(x) \vee \beta(x)] \wedge H(x, y, z)$. It implies $H(x, y, z) = \beta(x) \wedge H(x, y, z)$. \square

Theorem 3.12. *Let H be a permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on G , then for any $x, y, z, w \in G$, following hold:*

- (1) *If H is an isotone map on G with $\alpha \geq \beta$, then $H(x, y, z) = \alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)$*
- (2) *If β is an increasing function on G with $\alpha \leq \beta$, then $H(x, y, z) \geq \alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)$.*

Proof. (1) Since H is an isotone map on G , therefore $H(x, y, z) \leq H(x \vee w, y, z)$. Also $H(x, y, z) = H((x \vee w) \wedge x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x \vee w) \wedge H(x, y, z)]$. By definition of isotone map, we have $H(x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x \vee w) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \vee (\beta(x \vee w) \wedge H(x, y, z))] \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z) = [(\alpha(x) \vee \beta(x \vee w)) \wedge (\alpha(x) \vee H(x, y, z))] \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)$. Since $\alpha \geq \beta$, therefore $H(x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge (\alpha(x) \vee H(x, y, z))] \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)$. Now by left absorption law, we get $H(x, y, z) = \alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)$.

(2) Suppose β is an increasing function on G , then $\alpha(x) \leq \beta(x \vee w)$. Now $H(x, y, z) = H((x \vee w) \wedge x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x \vee w) \wedge H(x, y, z)]$. By Lemma 3.11, we have $H(x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x \vee w) \wedge (\beta(x) \wedge H(x, y, z))] = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)] \vee [(\beta(x \vee w) \wedge \beta(x)) \wedge H(x, y, z)]$. Since $\beta(x) \leq \beta(x \vee w)$, so $H(x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(x, y, z)]$. By Lemma 3.11, we obtain $H(x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)] \vee H(x, y, z) = [\alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)] \vee H(x, y, z)$. Thus $H(x, y, z) \geq \alpha(x) \wedge H(x \vee w, y, z)$. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Let H be a permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on G with u and $\alpha(u)$ are maximal elements of G and α and β are homomorphisms on G , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *H is an isotone map on G*
- (2) *If $\alpha(x) \leq \beta(x)$, then $H(x, y, z) = \beta(x) \wedge H(u, y, z)$*
- (3) *H is a join preserving map on G*
- (4) *H is a meet preserving map on G .*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2)

Let H is an isotone map on G , $H(x, y, z) = H(x \wedge u, y, z) = [\alpha(u) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(u, y, z)]$.

$$H(x, y, z) \geq \alpha(u) \wedge H(x, y, z) \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$H(x, y, z) \geq \beta(x) \wedge H(u, y, z) \quad (3.13)$$

On the other hand, $\beta(x) \wedge H(x \wedge u, y, z) = \beta(x) \wedge [(\alpha(u) \wedge H(x, y, z)) \vee (\beta(x) \wedge H(u, y, z))] = [(\beta(x) \wedge \alpha(u)) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(u, y, z)]$. Since $\alpha(u)$ is maximal so, $\beta(x) \wedge H(x \wedge u, y, z) = [\beta(x) \wedge H(x, y, z)] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(u, y, z)]$. Since $\alpha(x) \leq \beta(x)$, therefore by Lemma 3.11, we have $\beta(x) \wedge H(x \wedge u, y, z) = H(x, y, z) \vee [\beta(x) \wedge H(u, y, z)]$. By equation (3.13), we get

$$\beta(x) \wedge H(x \wedge u, y, z) = H(x, y, z) \quad (3.14)$$

Since H is an isotone map on G , therefore

$$H(x \wedge u, y, z) \leq H(u, y, z) \quad (3.15)$$

By equation (3. 14), we have $\beta(x) \wedge H(x \wedge u, y, z) = H(x, y, z)$. This alongwith equation (3. 15) gives

$$H(x, y, z) \leq \beta(x) \wedge H(u, y, z) \quad (3. 16)$$

By equation (3. 13) and (3. 16), we get $H(x, y, z) = \beta(x) \wedge H(u, y, z)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3)

Let $H(x, y, z) = \beta(x) \wedge H(u, y, z)$. Then $H(x \vee w, y, z) = \beta(x \vee w) \wedge H(u, y, z)$. Since α and β are Homomorphism on G , therefore, $H(x \vee w, y, z) = (\beta(x) \vee \beta(w)) \wedge H(u, y, z)$.

This implies

$H(x \vee w, y, z) = [\beta(x) \wedge H(u, y, z)] \vee [\beta(w) \wedge H(u, y, z)]$. By using (2) we have, $H(x \vee w, y, z) = H(x, y, z) \vee H(w, y, z)$. Hence H is a join preserving maps on G .

(2) \Rightarrow (4)

$H(x \wedge w, y, z) = \beta(x \wedge w) \wedge H(u, y, z)$. Since α and β Homomorphism on G , we have $H(x \wedge w, y, z) = (\beta(x) \wedge \beta(w)) \wedge H(u, y, z) = [\beta(x) \wedge H(u, y, z)] \wedge [\beta(w) \wedge H(u, y, z)] = H(x, y, z) \wedge H(w, y, z)$. Hence H is a meet preserving maps on G . \square

Theorem 3.14. Let h be the trace of permuting tri (α, β) -derivation H on ADL G if $\alpha \geq H$ and $\beta \geq H$, then $h(x \wedge y) = (\alpha(y) \wedge h(x)) \vee H(x, x, z) \vee H(y, y, z) \vee (\beta(x) \wedge h(y))$.

Proof. Since $\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) = \alpha(y) \wedge [(\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x, x \wedge y) \vee (\beta(x) \wedge H(x, y, x \wedge y)))]$. This gives $\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) = [(\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x, x \wedge y)) \vee (\alpha(y) \wedge (\beta(x) \wedge H(x, y, x \wedge y)))]$. Since $\beta \geq H$, therefore $\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) = [(\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x, x \wedge y)) \vee (\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, y, x \wedge y))]$. Also $\alpha \geq H$, so $\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) = [(\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x, x \wedge y)) \vee H(x, y, x \wedge y)]$. Now by definition of permuting tri (α, β) -derivation, we have $\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) = \alpha(y) \wedge [(\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x, x)) \vee (\beta(x) \wedge H(x, x, y))] \vee [\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, y, x) \vee (\beta(x) \wedge H(x, y, y))]$. This implies $\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) = (\alpha(y) \wedge h(x)) \vee H(x, x, y) \vee H(x, y, x) \vee H(x, y, y)$. From this we get

$$\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) = (\alpha(y) \wedge h(x)) \vee H(x, x, y) \vee H(x, y, y) \quad (3. 17)$$

This implies $\beta(x) \wedge H(y, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) = \beta(x) \wedge [(\alpha(y) \wedge H(y, x, x \wedge y)) \vee (\beta(x) \wedge H(y, y, x \wedge y))]$. Since $\alpha \geq H$ and $\beta \geq H$, therefore $\beta(x) \wedge H(y, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) = H(y, x, x \wedge y) \vee (\beta(x) \wedge H(y, y, x \wedge y))$. Now by definition of permuting tri (α, β) -derivation, we obtain $\beta(x) \wedge H(y, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) = [(\alpha(y) \wedge H(y, x, x)) \vee (\beta(x) \wedge H(y, x, y))] \vee [\beta(x) \wedge [(\alpha(y) \wedge H(y, y, x)) \vee (\beta(x) \wedge H(y, y, y))]]$. This implies $\beta(x) \wedge H(y, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) = H(y, x, x) \vee H(y, x, y) \vee H(y, y, x) \vee \beta(x) \wedge H(y, y, y)$. From this we have

$$\beta(x) \wedge H(y, x \wedge y, x \wedge y) = H(y, p, p) \vee H(y, y, x) \vee (\beta(x) \wedge h(y)) \quad (3. 18)$$

This gives $h(x \wedge y) = H(x \wedge y, x \wedge y, x \wedge y)$. This implies $h(x \wedge y) = (\alpha(y) \wedge H(x, x \wedge y, x \wedge y)) \vee (\beta(x) \wedge H(y, x \wedge y, x \wedge y))$. By equation (3. 17) and (3. 18), we have $h(x \wedge y) = (\alpha(y) \wedge h(x)) \vee H(x, x, y) \vee H(x, y, y) \vee (\beta(x) \wedge h(y))$. \square

Conclusions: In this paper, we have initiated the idea of permuting tri (α, β) -derivation on Amost Distributive Lattices and by using this notion we have proved significant results in ADL's.

REFERENCES

1. Y. Ceven, *Symmetric bi-derivation of lattices*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, **32**,No. 2(2009) 241-245.
2. M. A. Chaudhry and A.R. Khan, *On symmetric f-bi-derivations of lattices*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, **35**, No.2(2012) 203-207.
3. A. R. Khan, M. A. Chaudhry and I. Javaid, *On (α, β) -generalized derivations on lattices*, Ars Combinatoria, **105**(2012)525-533.
4. A. R. Khan and M .A Chaudhry, *Permuting f-triderivation on lattices*, International Journal of Algebra, **5**,No. 10(2011) 471-481.
5. S. A. Ozbal and A. Firat, *Symmetric f-biderivation of lattices*, Ars Combinatoria **97**(2010) 471-477.
6. G. C. Rao and K. R. Babu, *Permuting tri-derivations and generalized d-derivations on almost distributive lattices*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics **42**, No. 6(2018) 907-919.
7. G. C. Rao and K. R. Babu,, *The theory of derivations in almost distributive lattices*, Bulletin of International Mathematical Virtual Institute, **7**, No. 2(2017) 203-216.
8. G. C. Rao and K. R. Babu, *Permuting tri-f-derivations on almost distributive lattices*, Bulletin of International Mathematical Virtual Institute, **7**, No. 2(2017) 317-325.
9. G. C. Rao and K. R. Babu, *Symmetric Bi-derivation in Almost Distributive Lattices*, Discussion Mathematicae-General Algebra and Applications, **36**, No. 2(2016) 169-177.
10. U. M. Swamy and G.C. Rao, *Almost distributive lattices*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **31**(1981) 77-91.
11. G. Szasz G., *Derivations of lattices*, Acta Sci. Math., **37**(1975) 149-154.
12. X. L. Xin, T.Y. Li, and J. H. Lu, *On derivations of lattices*, Information sciences, **178**, NO. 2 (2008) 307-316.