# Topological Descriptors and QSPR Models of Drugs used in Blood Cancer 

Saima Parveen ${ }^{1}$, Nadeem Ul Hassan Awan ${ }^{2}$, Fozia Bashir Farooq ${ }^{3}$, Sajjad Hussain ${ }^{1}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Department of Mathematics, Government College Univeristy, Faisalabad, Pakistan,<br>${ }^{2}$ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ghazi University, D. G. Khan, Pakistan, ${ }^{3}$ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Imam Muhammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, Riyadh,Saudi Arabia, Email: saimashaa@gmail.com ${ }^{1}$, nadeem.ul.hassan768@ gmail.com ${ }^{2}$, ffarooq@imamu.edu.sa ${ }^{3}$,Sajjadmaths01@ gmail.com ${ }^{4}$,

Received: 9 September, 2022 / Accepted: 31 January, 2023 / Published online:31 January, 2023


#### Abstract

In this article, we used M-polynomials to investigate the rela-tionships between topological indices and physicochemical properties of some blood cancer treatment drugs; we used the curvilinear regression method on drugs like azacitidine, buslfan, and mercaptopurine, among others. This article also includes M-polynomial proofs of the closed form of some topological indices of said drugs. The study could be a new at-tempt to improve QSPR model prediction analysis by utilizing the afore-mentioned molecular descriptors, which are used to investigate chemical, medical, and pharmacological properties. Finally, this work demonstrates that topological descriptors can be a cornerstone to designing and synthe-size new blood cancer treatments and other disease drugs.
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## 1. Introduction

Cancer is a dangerous disease that belongs to the genetic disease family. It is the un-controlled magnification of abnormal blood cells in the body that stops normal functions and is prone to infection. Cancer that affects the blood cells is known as blood cancer and Leukemia is an example that belongs to it. This will prone to infection and creates tumors in bones. Annually 1.24 million people are affected by this perilous disease. Sci-entists and Medicos are searching for better treatments to tackle this fatal disease. Drug discovery is not an easy task because it is costly, time-consuming and in some cases difficult. Cancer can be treated in a variety of ways at the early stages of a life-threatening situation. Drug therapy tackles this in an efficient way it will stop the growth of abnor-mal cells. Anticancer drugs kill and halt the menacing disease and many drugs are being tested in order to combat the fatal disease. This necessitates prompt screening, diagnosis
and medication to help patients control the deadly disease in the future. Many medical and pharmaceutical solutions are being developed on a regular basis, which necessitates a significant amount of work to investigate the biological and physiochemical properties of these drugs. Azacitidine, buslfan, nelarabine, and other drugs are all effective treatments for patients with blood cancer. Drug therapy during the early stages of a life-threatening situation has been discovered by scientists [1]. More can be found in [1-3, 14]. Given the rapid technological advancement, many medical and pharmaceutical solutions are being developed consistently, which requires a significant amount of work to examine the biolog-ical and physiochemical properties of these drugs. Using M-polynomials to investigate the relationships between TIs and physical properties of some blood cancer treatment drugs, and, using the curvilinear regression method on drugs like azacitidine, buslfan, and mercap-topurine, among others. This article also includes M-polynomial proofs of the closed form of some topological indices of said drugs and, improving QSPR model prediction analy-sis by utilizing the aforementioned molecular descriptors, which are used to investigate chemical, medical, and pharmacological properties, and, demonstrating topological de-scriptors for a cornerstone to design and synthesize new blood cancer treatments and other disease drugs contributes to Combinatorics, Graph Theory, chemistry topology, Mathemat-ical, Computational, Physical, Electrical and Computer Engineering. The main motivation for the work is to increase the efficiency of the drugs. Previous research on covid-19, anti-cancer, blood cancer, diabetes and QSPR studies of various topological indices for various chemical structures motivated us to work on the current research problem.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple, finite and connected graph. The degree $d(u)$ of a vertex $u$ is the number of vertices adjacent to $u$. The edge connecting the vertices $u$ and $v$ will be denoted by uv. We refer to [19] for undefined terms and notation. A molecular graph or a chemical graph is a simple graph related to the structure of a chemical compound in mathematical modelling. This graph's vertex represents an atom of the molecule, and its edges represent atom bonds. A topological index is a numerical parameter derived math-ematically from a graph structure. These topological indices are useful for determining relationships between a molecular compound's structure and its physicochemical proper-ties [18]. The total surface of polychlorophenyles was assessed to calculate with the aid of a symmetric division index in [8]. The heat of the formation of an alkane is best predicted using the augmented Zagreb index in [6]. For more understanding visit the subsequent articles [4, 9, 19, 26-31]. Various regression methods using TIs were implemented for the QSPR and QSAR [5]. Using graph theory, some topological indices of blood cancer drugs were calculated in this study. Later, the best topological indices and curvilinear regression analyses were obtained in order to predict the properties of blood cancer drugs. These TIs were chosen for this QSPR modelling because they are swiftly calculated, information-rich and have a strong predictive power. By using the formulas in Table 1, the topological in-dices via M-polynomials of eleven drugs were computed to design the QSPR. The linear, quadratic, and cubic regression models for the variance of the degree TI were obtained as structure-property models ABC index, sum connectivity index, geometric index, first and second Zagreb index, hyper Zagreb index, harmonic and forgotten index. The Comparison
of linear, quadratic, cubic, logarithmic and exponential QSPR model are given in Table 4-8 respectively. Klavzar and Deutsch defined M-Polynomial [21].

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(G_{1}, x, y\right)=\sum_{i s j} T_{i j} x^{i} y^{j} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following operators will be used:
$Q_{a}(f(\alpha, \beta))=x^{a} f(\alpha, \beta), D_{\beta}(f(\alpha, \beta))=\beta \cdot \frac{\partial(f(\alpha, \beta)}{\partial \beta}, J(f(\alpha, \beta))=f(\alpha, \alpha)$
$S_{\alpha}(f(\alpha, \beta))=\int_{0}^{\alpha} \frac{(f(t, \beta))}{t} d t, S_{\beta}(f(\alpha, \beta))=\int_{0}^{\beta} \frac{(f(\alpha, t))}{t} d t Q$
$Q_{\alpha} f(\alpha, \beta)=x \beta^{\alpha} f(\alpha, \beta)$
See Table 1 for the formulas?

| Topological indices | Mathematical expression $f(\alpha, \beta)$ | Derivation from $M(G, \alpha, \beta)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{ABC}(\mathrm{G})$ | $\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{d_{u t} d_{y}-2}{d_{u} d_{v}}}$ | $D_{x}^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_{x(-2)} J S_{x}^{\frac{1}{2}} S_{y}^{\frac{1}{2}}[f(x, y)]_{x=y=1}$ |
| $\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{G})$ | $\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \sqrt{\frac{1}{d_{u}+d_{v}}}$ | $\left.S_{x}^{\frac{1}{2}} J(f(x, y))\right\|_{x=y=1}$ |
| $\mathrm{GA}(\mathrm{G})$ | $\sum_{u v \in E(G)} \frac{2 \sqrt{d_{u} d_{v}}}{d_{u}+d_{v}}$ | $\left.2 S_{x} J D_{x}^{\frac{1}{2}} D_{y}^{\frac{1}{2}}(f(x, y))\right\|_{x=y=1}$ |
| $M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)$ | $\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left(d_{u}+d_{v}\right)$ | $\left.\left(D_{x}+D_{y}\right)(f(x, y))\right\|_{x=y=1}$ |
| $M_{2}(G)$ | $\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left(d_{u} d_{v}\right)$ | $\left.\left(D_{x} D_{y}\right)(f(x, y))\right\|_{x=y=1}$ |
| $F(G)$ | $\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left[\left(d_{u}\right)^{2}\right]+\left[\left(d_{v}\right)^{2}\right]$ | $\left.\left(D_{x}^{2}+D_{y}^{2}\right)(f(x, y))\right\|_{x=y=1}$ |
| $H(G)$ | $\sum_{u v \in E(G) \frac{2}{d_{u}+d_{v}}}$ | $\left.2 S_{x} J(f(x, y))\right\|_{x=y=1}$ |
| $H M(G)$ | $\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left(d_{u}+d_{v}\right)^{2}$ | $\left.D_{x}^{2} J(f(x, y))\right\|_{x=y=1}$ |

The molecular formula of bulasan is $C_{8} H_{14} N_{6} S_{2}$. Busulfan is an antineoplastic alky-lating agent and is used for many kinds of cancer. They prohibit tumour development by cross-linking guanine bases in DNA. The strands cannot separate and uncoil. It is required for DNA replication, and cells no longer divide. The molecular formula of clofarabine is $C_{10 H}{ }_{11} C_{l} F N_{5} O_{5}$. Clofarabine inhibits the growth of cancer cells. The chemical for-mula of azacitidine is $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{4 O 5}$. Azacytidine has been used in the treatment of cancer. The molecular formula of purixan is $C_{5} H_{4} N_{4} S$. Purixan is found active against human leukemias. The molecular formula of Tioguanine is $C_{5} H_{5} N_{5} S$. The medication is used to treat acute leukaemia. The molecular formula of arranon is $C_{11} H_{15} N_{5} S_{5}$. Arranon is used for the treatment of acute T-cell lymphoblastic leukemia and T-cell lymphoblastic lymphoma with inadequate clinical response to prior chemotherapeutic treatments. The molecular formula of vyxeos is $C_{11} H_{15} N_{5} S_{5}$. Vyxeos is an antineoplastic anti-metabolite used in the treatment of several forms of leukemia including acute myelogenous leukemia and meningeal leukemia. The molecular formula of bosulif is $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{C}_{l 2} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. It is used to treat a certain type of chronic myeloid leukemia (a cancer of white blood cells). The molecular formula of sprycel is $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{7} \mathrm{~S}$. Sprycel s a tyrosine kinase inhibitor used for the treatment of lymphoblastic or chronic myeloid leukaemia. The molecular formula of evomela is $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{C}_{l 2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Evomela is an antineoplastic in the class of alky-lating agents stop tumor growth by cross-linking guanine bases in DNA. The molecular formula of dexadrol is $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{FO}_{5}$. Hexadrol is a glucocorticoid that comes in a variety of forms and is used to treat a variety of inflammatory conditions as well as rheumatic disorders and endocrine. The molecular formula of myocet is $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{11}$. Myocet is
an anthracycline-class antineoplastic. Anthracyclines are among the most important anti-tumor drugs on the market. Doxorubicin is widely used to treat a variety of solid tumours, whereas idarubicin and daunorubicin are only used to treat leukaemia. The molecular for-mula of carbopalatin is C6H12N2O4Pt. Carboplatin is an alkylating agent that is used in the treatment of advanced ovarian cancer.The following Table. 2 gives the physical proper-ties of said drugs which are taken from ChemSpider.
Table. 2 Physical properties of drugs

| Name of drug | $\mathrm{MV}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | Boiling Point | Refractive <br> Index <br> $\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Complexity | Flash Point |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 54.10 | 384.00 | 277.00 |
| Azacitidine | 117.10 | 534.21 | 50.90 |  | 234.40 |
| Buslfan | 182.40 | 464.00 | 41.00 | 19.00 | 250.50 |
| Purixan | 94.20 | 491.00 | 46.89 | 225.00 | 232.00 |
| Lanivis | 80.20 | 460.70 | 65.80 | 377.00 | 389.90 |
| Arranon | 149.90 | 721.00 | 52.60 | 383.00 | 283.80 |
| Vyxeos | 128.40 | 547.70 | 63.60 | 370.00 | 286.40 |
| Clofarabine | 143.10 | 550.00 | 142.12 | 734.00 | 346.70 |
| Bosulif | 388.30 | 649.70 | 133.08 | 642.00 |  |
| Sprycel | 366.40 |  | 78.23 | 265.00 | 239.00 |
| Evomela | 231.20 | 473.00 | 100.20 | 805.00 | 298.00 |
| Hexadrol | 296.20 | 568.20 | 134.59 | 977.00 | 443.80 |
| Myocet | 336.60 | 216.00 | 60.04 | 177.00 |  |
| Carboplatin |  | 366.40 |  |  |  |

2.1. M-polynomials of Blood Cancer Drugs Structures. In this section, we compute the M-polynomials and the topological indices of the said drugs.

Theorem 2.2. If $G_{1}$ is the chemical graph of Azacitidine, then

$$
M\left(G_{1}, x, y\right)=3 x y^{2}+4 x y^{2}+6 x y^{4}+4 x^{2} y^{3}+5 x^{2} y^{4}+3 x^{3} y^{3}+x^{3} y^{4}+4 x^{4} y^{4}
$$

Proof. Let $G_{1}$ is the graph of azacitidine with $V=29$ and $E=31$. Let $E_{m, n}$ represents vertices of degrees m and n of $G_{1}$, then $\left|E_{1,2}\right|=3,,\left|E_{1,3}\right|=4,,\left|E_{1,4}\right|=6,,\left|E_{2,3}\right|=$ $4,\left|E_{2,4}\right|=5,,\left|E_{3,3}\right|=3,,\left|E_{3,4}\right|=1,,\left|E_{4,4}\right|=4$.
From equation 2.1 and edge partition of $G_{1}$, we have the desired result $M\left(G_{1}, x, y\right)=$ $3 x y^{2}+4 x y^{2}+6 x y^{4}+4 x^{2} y^{3}+5 x^{2} y^{4}+3 x^{3} y^{3}+x^{3} y^{4}+4 x^{4} y^{4}$

Theorem 2.3. The TIs of the graph azacitidine are

- $A B C\left(G_{1}\right)=22.04$
- $\operatorname{SCI}\left(G_{1}\right)=13.46$
- $G A\left(G_{1}\right)=27.72$
- $M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)=162$
- $M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)=209$
- $H\left(G_{1}\right)=11.95$

(i) Azacitidine

(ii) Vyxeos

(iii) Purixan

(iv) Lanvis

(v) Arranon

(vi) Buslfan

(vii) Clofarabine

(x) Evomela

(xiii) Carboplatin

Figure 1: Molecular structure of drugs

- $H M\left(G_{1}\right)=934$
- $F\left(G_{1}\right)=516$

Proof. $S_{y}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{2} x y^{2}+\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{3} x y^{3}+3 x y^{4}+\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{3} x^{2} y^{3}+\frac{5}{2} x^{2} y^{4}+\sqrt{3} x^{3} y^{3}+\frac{1}{2} x^{3} y^{4}+2 x^{4} y^{4}$
$S_{x}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} S_{y}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{2} x y^{2}+\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{3} x y^{3}+3 x y^{4}+\frac{2 \sqrt{6}}{3} x^{2} y^{3}+\frac{5 \sqrt{2}}{4} x^{2} y^{4}+x^{3} y^{3}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} x^{3} y^{4}+x^{4} y^{4}$
$J S_{x}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} S_{y}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{2} x^{3}+\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{3} x^{4}+3 x^{5}+\frac{2 \sqrt{6}}{3} x^{5}+\frac{5 \sqrt{2}}{4} x^{6}+x^{6}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} x^{7}+x^{8}$
$Q_{x_{-2}} J S_{x}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} S_{y}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{2} x+\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{3} x^{2}+3 x^{3}+\frac{2 \sqrt{6}}{3} x^{3}+\frac{5 \sqrt{2}}{4} x^{4}+x^{4}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{6} x^{5}+x^{6}$
$D_{x}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_{x_{(-2)}} J S_{x}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} S_{y}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{3 \sqrt{2}}{2} x+\frac{4 \sqrt{6}}{3} x^{2}+3 \sqrt{3} x^{3}+\frac{2 \sqrt{18}}{3} x^{3}+\frac{5 \sqrt{2}}{2} x^{4}+2 x^{4}+\frac{\sqrt{15}}{6} x^{5}+$ $\sqrt{6} x^{6}$
$J=3 x^{3}+4 x^{4}+10 x^{5}+8 x^{6}+x^{7}+4 x^{8}$
$S_{x}^{\frac{1}{2}} J=\sqrt{3} x^{3}+2 x^{4}+2 \sqrt{5} x^{5}+\frac{4 \sqrt{6}}{3} x^{6}+\frac{\sqrt{7}}{7} x^{7}+\sqrt{2} x^{8}$
$D_{y^{\frac{1}{2}}}=3 \sqrt{2} x y^{2}+4 \sqrt{3} x y^{3}+12 x y^{4}+4 \sqrt{3} x^{2} y^{3}+10 x^{2} y^{4}+3 \sqrt{3} x^{3} y^{3}+2 x^{3} y^{4}+8 x^{4} y^{4}$
$D_{x}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} D_{y}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}=3 \sqrt{2} x y^{2}+4 \sqrt{3} x y^{3}+12 x y^{4}+4 \sqrt{6} x^{2} y^{3}+10 \sqrt{2} x^{2} y^{4}+9 x^{3} y^{3}+2 \sqrt{3} x^{3} y^{4}+$ $16 x^{4} y^{4}$
$J D_{x}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} D_{y}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}=3 \sqrt{2} x^{3}+4 \sqrt{3} x^{4}+12 x^{5}+4 \sqrt{6} x^{5}+10 \sqrt{2} x^{6}+9 x^{6}+2 \sqrt{3} x^{7}+16 x^{8}$
$S_{x} J D_{x}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} D_{y}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}}=\sqrt{2} x^{3}+\sqrt{3} x^{4}+\frac{12}{5} x^{5}+\frac{4 \sqrt{6}}{5} x^{5}+\frac{5 \sqrt{2}}{3} x^{6}+\frac{3}{2} x^{6}+\frac{2 \sqrt{3}}{7} x^{7}+2 x^{8}$
$2 S_{x} J D_{x}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} D_{y^{\frac{1}{2}}}=2 \sqrt{2} x^{3}+2 \sqrt{3} x^{4}+\frac{24}{5} x^{5}+\frac{8 \sqrt{6}}{5} x^{5}+\frac{10 \sqrt{2}}{3} x^{6}+3 x^{6}+\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{7} x^{7}+4 x^{8}$
$D_{x} f(x, y)=3 x y^{2}+4 x y^{3}+6 x y^{4}+8 x^{2} y^{3}+10 x^{2} y^{4}+9 x^{3} y^{3}+3 x^{3} y^{4}+16 x^{4} y^{4}$
$D_{y} f(x, y)=6 x y^{2}+12 x y^{3}+24 x y^{4}+12 x^{2} y^{3}+20 x^{2} y^{4}+9 x^{3} y^{3}+4 x^{3} y^{4}+16 x^{4} y^{4}$
$\left(D_{x}+D_{y}\right) f(x, y)=32 x^{4} y^{4}+7 x^{3} y^{4}+18 x^{3} y^{3}+30 x^{2} y^{4}+20 x^{2} y^{3}+30 x y^{4}+16 x y^{4}+9 x y^{2}$
$D_{y} f(x, y)=64 x^{4} y^{4}+12 x^{3} y^{4}+27 x^{3} y^{3}+40 x^{2} y^{4}+24 x^{2} y^{3}+24 x y^{4}+12 x y^{3}+6 x y^{2}$
$D_{x}^{2}=3 x y^{2}+4 x y^{3}+6 x y^{4}+16 x^{2} y^{3}+20 x^{2} y^{4}+27 x^{3} y^{3}+9 x^{3} y^{4}+64 x^{4} y^{4}$
$D_{y}^{2}=12 x y^{2}+36 x y^{3}+96 x y^{4}+36 x^{2} y^{3}+80 x^{2} y^{4}+27 x^{3} y^{3}+16 x^{3} y^{4}+64 x^{4} y^{4}$
$\left(D_{x}^{2}+D_{y}^{2}\right) f(x, y)=128 x^{4} y^{4}+25 x^{3} y^{4}+54 x^{3} y^{3}+100 x^{2} y^{4}+52 x^{2} y^{3}+102 x y^{4}+$ $40 x y^{4}+15 x y^{2}$
$S_{x} J=x^{3}+x^{4}+2 x^{5}+\frac{4}{3} x^{5}+\frac{1}{7} x^{7}+\frac{1}{2} x^{8}$
$2 S_{x} J=2 x^{3}+2 x^{4}+4 x^{5}+\frac{8}{3} x^{6}+\frac{2}{7} x^{7}+x^{8}$
$D_{x}^{2} J=32 x^{4}+350 x^{5}+72 x^{6}+98 x^{7}+384 x^{8}$
Hence on simplification with table 1 we get results as:
$A B C\left(G_{1}\right)=\left({\frac{3 \sqrt{2} 2}{}{ }^{1}}_{x}+\frac{4 \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} 3 x^{2}+3 \sqrt{3} x^{3}+\frac{2 \sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{6}} 3 x^{3}+\frac{5 \sqrt{2}}{2} x^{4}+2 x^{4}+\frac{\sqrt{5}}{\sqrt{3}} 6 x^{5}+\sqrt{6} x^{6}\right)(1,1)=$ 22.04
$S\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(\sqrt{3} x^{3}+2 x^{4}+2 \sqrt{5} x^{5}+\frac{4 \sqrt{6}}{3} x^{6}+\frac{\sqrt{7}}{7} x^{7}+\sqrt{2} x^{8}\right)(1,1)=13.26$
$G A\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(2 \sqrt{2} x^{3}+2 \sqrt{3} x^{4}+\frac{24}{5} x^{5}+\frac{8 \sqrt{6}}{5} x^{5}+\frac{10 \sqrt{2}}{3} x^{6}+3 x^{6}+\frac{4 \sqrt{3}}{7} x^{7}+4 x^{8}\right)(1,1)=$ 27.72
$M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(32 x^{4} y^{4}+7 x^{3} y^{4}+18 x^{3} y^{3}+30 x^{2} y^{4}+20 x^{2} y^{3}+30 x y^{4}+16 x y^{3}+9 x y^{2}\right)(1,1)=$ 162
$M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(64 x^{4} y^{4}+12 x^{3} y^{4}+27 x^{3} y^{3}+40 x^{2} y^{4}+24 x^{2} y^{3}+24 x y^{4}+12 x y^{3}+6 x y^{2}\right)(1,1)=$ 209

```
\(H\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(2 x^{3}+2 x^{4}+4 x^{5}+\frac{8}{3} x^{6}+\frac{2}{7} x^{7}+x^{8}\right)(1,1)=11.95\)
\(H M\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(32 x^{4}+350 x^{5}+72 x^{6}+98 x^{7}+384 x^{8}\right)(1,1)=934\)
\(F\left(G_{1}\right)=\left(128 x^{4} y^{4}+25 x^{3} y^{4}+54 x^{3} y^{3}+100 x^{2} y^{4}+52 x^{2} y^{3}+102 x y^{4}+40 x y^{3}+\right.\)
\(\left.15 x y^{2}\right)(1,1)=516\)
```

By using edge partitioning of drug structures one can easily prove the following theorems

Theorem 2.4. The $G_{2}$ is the chemical graph of buslfan

$$
M\left(G_{2}, x, y\right)=18 x y^{4}+3 x^{2} y^{4}+5 x^{4} y^{4}
$$

Theorem 2.5. The $G_{3}$ is the chemical graph of purixan

$$
M\left(G_{3}, x, y\right)=5 x y^{3}+4 x^{2} y^{4}+6 x^{3} y^{3}
$$

Theorem 2.6. The $G_{4}$ is the chemical graph of lanvis

$$
M\left(G_{4}, x, y\right)=6 x y^{3}+4 x^{2} y^{4}+7 x^{3} y^{3}
$$

Theorem 2.7. The $G_{5}$ is the chemical graph of nelarabine
$M\left(G_{5}, x, y\right)=3 x y^{2}+3 x y^{3}+9 x y^{4}+7 x^{2} y^{3}+6 x^{2} y^{4}+5 x^{3} y^{3}+x^{3} y^{4}+4 x^{4} y^{4}$
Theorem 2.8. The $G_{6}$ is the chemical graph of Cytarabine $M\left(G_{6}, x, y\right)=3 x y^{2}+5 x y^{3}+$ $6 x y^{4}+2 x^{2} y^{3}+5 x^{2} y^{4}+5 x^{3} y^{3}+x^{3} y^{4}+4 x^{4} y^{4}$

Theorem 2.9. The $G_{7}$ is the chemical graph of Clofarabine $M\left(G_{7}, x, y\right)=2 x y^{2}+4 x y^{3}+$ $7 x y^{4}+6 x^{2} y^{3}+4 x^{2} y^{4}+5 x^{3} y^{3}+x^{3} y^{4}+4 x^{4} y^{4}$
Theorem 2.10. The $G_{8}$ is the chemical graph of Bosutinib $M\left(G_{8}, x, y\right)=x y^{2}+8 x y^{3}+$ $23 x y^{4}+6 x^{2} y^{3}+3 x^{2} y^{4}+17 x^{3} y^{3}+6 x^{3} y^{4}+4 x^{4} y^{4}$
Theorem 2.11. The $G_{9}$ is the chemical graph of Dasatinib $M\left(G_{9}, x, y\right)=x y^{2}+10 x y^{3}+$ $8 x y^{4}+x^{2} y^{3}+16 x^{2} y^{4}+7 x^{3} y^{3}+2 x^{3} y^{4}+16 x^{4} y^{4}$
Theorem 2.12. The $G_{10}$ is the chemical graph of Melphalan $M\left(G_{10}, x, y\right)=x y^{2}+7 x y^{3}+$ $13 x y^{4}+x^{2} y^{3}+7 x^{3} y^{3}+5 x^{3} y^{4}+3 x^{4} y^{4}$
Theorem 2.13. The $G_{11}$ is the chemical graph of Dexamethasone $M\left(G_{1} 1, x, y\right)=3 x y^{2}+$ $5 x y^{3}+3 x y^{4}+4 x^{2} y^{4}+5 x^{3} y^{3}+16 x^{3} y^{4}+24 x^{4} y^{4}$
Theorem 2.14. The $G_{12}$ is the chemical graph of Doxorubicine $M\left(G_{12}, x, y\right)=5 x y^{2}+$ $8 x y^{3}+19 x y^{4}+2 x^{2} y^{3}+7 x^{2} y^{4}+16 x^{3} y^{3}+6 x^{3} y^{4}+8 x^{4} y^{4}$
Theorem 2.15. The $G_{1} 3$ is the chemical graph of Carboplatin $M\left(G_{13}, x, y\right)=2 x y^{3}+$ $12 x y^{4}+2 x^{2} y^{3}+2 x^{2} y^{4}+2 x^{3} y^{4}+6 x^{4} y^{4}$

Using table 1 and theorems 2.4 to 2.16 , one can calculate the $T I s$ of the remaining drugs, which is summarised in the following table

Table. 3 The TIs values of candidate drugs

| Name of drug | $\mathrm{ABC}(\mathrm{G})$ | $\mathrm{RA}(\mathrm{G})$ | $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{G})$ | $\mathrm{GA}(\mathrm{G})$ | $M_{1}(G)$ | $M_{2}(G)$ | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{G})$ | $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{G})$ | $\mathrm{HM}(\mathrm{G})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Azacitidine | 22.04 | 13.12 | 13.46 | 27.72 | 162 | 209 | 516 | 11.95 | 934.00 |
| Buslfan | 20.77 | 11.31 | 11.04 | 22.23 | 148 | 176 | 526 | 9.45 | 878.00 |
| Meracaptopurine | 10.91 | 6.52 | 6.74 | 14.25 | 76 | 93 | 210 | 6.10 | 396.00 |
| Tioguanine | 12.39 | 7.43 | 7.65 | 16.12 | 86 | 105 | 238 | 6.93 | 448.00 |
| Nelarabine | 27.99 | 16.29 | 16.67 | 35.13 | 206 | 262 | 652 | 14.85 | 1176.00 |
| Cytarabine | 22.77 | 13.55 | 13.68 | 28.62 | 168 | 218 | 536 | 12.32 | 972.00 |
| Clofarabine | 24.24 | 14.04 | 14.43 | 30.59 | 180 | 233 | 570 | 12.82 | 1036.00 |
| Bosutinib | 51.18 | 28.73 | 29.39 | 61.92 | 374 | 467 | 1198 | 25.39 | 2132.00 |
| Dasatinib | 45.50 | 25.95 | 26.60 | 56.11 | 330 | 412 | 1028 | 23.43 | 1852.00 |
| Melaphala | 28.12 | 16.18 | 16.15 | 33.33 | 202 | 252 | 656 | 14.28 | 1160.00 |
| Dexamethasone | 44.81 | 24.85 | 25.37 | 54.14 | 354 | 493 | 1242 | 21.86 | 2228.00 |
| Doxorubicine | 52.32 | 30.01 | 30.76 | 65.33 | 396 | 522 | 1288 | 27.11 | 2332.00 |
| Carboplatin | 19.82 | 10.76 | 10.95 | 23.16 | 152 | 202 | 532 | 9.34 | 936.00 |

## 3. Quantitative Structure Analysis and Regression Models

In order to find the usefulness of a topological index, we have to predict regression
models between calculated topological indices and physicochemical properties. In Tables 1 and 3, we have tabulated calculations of the above TIs and physicochemical properties of molecular structures, respectively. These values are useful for creating regression models. The data set of the above-mentioned molecular structures consists of the following physic-ochemical properties as given in Table 2.
Regression models are used to fit the curves. Accordingly, we studied linear, quadratic, cubic, logarithmic, and exponential regression models. We constructed regression models of the above-mentioned topological indices with the physicochemical properties of mole-cular structures as shown in Table 5. In the regression model table, we considered the square of the coefficient of the correlation $\left(R^{2}\right)$, the F-ratio test, and significance (sig). The model with the maximum $R^{2}$ is preeminent forecaster or goodness of fit of the regression model. For the model to be efficient, if the F-ratio test is greater than one and the sig value is less than 0.05 , then the topological indices reliably predict the dependent variable for the particular physicochemical property. Here, we have shown a few best predictors of the topological index regression models for the particular physicochemical property. As a result, the regression model is the best to test and use for this analysis. We used some regression models to fit curves rather than straight lines. Curvilinear regression analysis is the name given to this method. In this study, we tested the following equations;
$Y=a+b_{1} X_{1}$ (Linear Equation)
$Y=a+b_{1} X_{1}+b_{2} X_{1}^{2}$ (Quadratic Equation)
$Y=a+b_{1} X_{1}+b_{2} X_{1}^{2}+b_{3} X_{1}^{3}$ (Cubic Equation)
where Y is dependent variable, a is the regression model constant, , $X_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are independent variables, $b_{i}(i$ $=1,2,3)$ are the coefficients for the individual descriptor is the number of samples used for building the regression equation. It obviously clear that the best predictive model has minimum error and The parameters will be considered for the model's goodness of fit. Todeschini [10] selected the best goodness of fit in models by using any of the parameters $\max \left(R^{2}\right), \max (F)$. The curvilinear regression analyses and other results were obtained by using the Statistic, MATLAB, Sage math, SPSS statistical
software. The curvilinear regression models' independent variables are the Randic index, first and second Zagreb indices, GA index, ABC index, Forgotten index and Harmonic Index of eleven blood cancer drugs.

| Regression model$2-57-10$ | Refractivity |  |  |  | Regression model | Refractivity Point |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Molecular descriptor | R Square | F | Sig |  | Molecular descriptor | R Square | F | Sig |
| Linear Model | ABC(G) | 0.933 | 154.093 | 000 | Logarithmic Model | ABC(G) | 0.827 | 52.416 | 000 |
|  | S(G) | 0.934 | 155.042 | 000 |  | S(G) | 0.837 | 56.567 | 000 |
|  | GA(G) | 0.933 | 152.002 | 000 |  | GA(G) | 0.843 | 58.946 | 000 |
|  | M1(G) | 0.907 | 106.874 | 000 |  | M1(G) | 0.802 | 44.586 | 000 |
|  | M2(G) | 0.861 | 67.942 | 000 |  | M2(G) | 0.773 | 37.449 | 000 |
|  | HM(G) | 0.859 | 67.002 | 000 |  | HM(G) | 0.752 | 33.324 | 000 |
|  | F(G) | 0.855 | 64.869 | 000 |  | F(G) | 0.732 | 29.976 | 000 |
|  | H(G) | 0.930 | 147.1 | 000 |  | H(G) | 0.836 | 56.036 | 000 |
| Quadratic Model | ABC(G) | 0.952 | 99.878 | 000 | Exponential Model | ABC(G) | 0.955 | 232.739 | 000 |
|  | S(G) | 0.952 | 99.647 | 000 |  | S(G) | 0.951 | 214.865 | 000 |
|  | GA(G) | 0.948 | 90.695 | 000 |  | GA(G) | 0.950 | 207.857 | 000 |
|  | M1 (G) | 0.917 | 55.502 | 000 |  | M1 (G) | 0.936 | 160.612 | 000 |
|  | M2(G) | 0.861 | 31.027 | 000 |  | M2(G) | 0.899 | 98.077 | 000 |
|  | HM(G) | 0.861 | 31.003 | 000 |  | HM(G) | 0.900 | 98.646 | 000 |
|  | F(G) | 0.859 | 30.586 | 000 |  | F(G) | 0.897 | 96.144 | 000 |
|  | H(G) | 0.950 | 95.124 | 000 |  | H(G) | 0.947 | 197.267 | 000 |
| Cubic Model | ABC(G) | 0.953 | 60.898 | 000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S(G) | 0.954 | 61.977 | 000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GA(G) | 0.949 | 56.22 | 000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M1 (G) | 0.924 | 36.586 | 000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M2(G) | 0.911 | 30.799 | 000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HM(G) | 0.919 | 33.942 | 000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | F(G) | 0.923 | 35.742 | 000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H(G) | 0.953 | 61.434 | 000 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Regression models between topological indices and physicochemical boiling point of molecular structures

| Boiling Point |  |  |  |  | Boiling Point |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression model | Molecular descriptor | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | F | Sig | Regression model | Molecular descriptor | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | F | Sig |
|  | ABC(G) | 0.002 | 0.017 | 0.898 |  | ABC(G) | 0.001 | 0.009 | 0.926 |
|  | S(G) | 0.001 | 0.014 | 0.907 |  | S(G) | 0.002 | 0.021 | 0.888 |
|  | GA(G) | 0.002 | 0.016 | 0.901 |  | GA(G) | 0.002 | 0.02 | 0.89 |
| Linear Model | M1(G) | 0.003 | 0.028 | 0.87 | Logaritmic Model | M1(G) | 0.001 | 0.005 | 0.944 |
|  | M2(G) | 0.005 | 0.046 | 0.834 |  | M2(G) | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.964 |
|  | HM(G) | 0.004 | 0.043 | 0.839 |  | HM(G) | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.975 |
|  | F(G) | 0.004 | 0.041 | 0.843 |  | F(G) | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.981 |
|  | H(G) | 0.002 | 0.016 | 0.902 |  | H(G) | 0.003 | 0.027 | 0.873 |
|  | ABC(G) | 0.150 | 0.796 | 0.48 |  | ABC(G) | 0.034 | 0.351 | 0.567 |
|  | S(G) | 0.232 | 1.361 | 0.305 |  | S(G) | 0.035 | 0.359 | 0.562 |
|  | GA(G) | 0.252 | 1.516 | 0.271 |  | GA(G) | 0.036 | 0.372 | 0.556 |
| Quadratic <br> Model | M1(G) | 0.171 | 0.928 | 0.43 | Exopnential Model | M1(G) | 0.038 | 0.391 | 0.546 |
|  | M2(G) | 0.191 | 1.066 | 0.384 |  | M2(G) | 0.042 | 0.438 | 0.523 |
|  | HM(G) | 0.141 | 0.736 | 0.506 |  | HM(G) | 0.040 | 0.418 | 0.533 |
|  | F(G) | 0.108 | 0.543 | 0.599 |  | F(G) | 0.038 | 0.4 | 0.541 |
|  | H(G) | 0.289 | 1.828 | 0.216 |  | H(G) | 0.036 | 0.378 | 0.553 |
| Cubic Model | ABC(G) | 0.323 | 1.275 | 0.347 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S(G) | 0.465 | 2.321 | 0.152 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GA(G) | 0.515 | 2.834 | 0.106 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M1(G) | 0.599 | 3.99 | 0.052 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M2(G) | 0.751 | 8.032 | 0.008 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HM(G) | 0.693 | 6.034 | 0.019 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | F(G) | 0.611 | 4.192 | 0.047 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H(G) | 0.552 | 3.29 | 0.079 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Regression models between topological indices and physicochemical properties of melting point of molecular structures

| Melting Point |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Regression <br> model | Molecular <br> descriptor | $R^{2}$ | F | Sig | Melting Point <br> model | Molecular <br> descriptor | $R^{2}$ | F | Sig |
|  | ABC(G) | 0.909 | 99.904 | 0.000 |  | ABC(G) | 0.831 | 49.795 | 0.000 |
|  | S(G) | 0.887 | 78.511 | 0.000 |  | S(G) | 0.814 | 43.636 | 0.000 |
|  | GA(G) | 0.879 | 72.917 | 0.000 |  | GA(G) | 0.810 | 42.719 | 0.000 |
|  | M1(G) | 0.882 | 74.603 | 0.000 | Logarithmic <br> Model | M1(G) | 0.807 | 41.739 | 0.000 |
| Linear <br> Model | M2(G) | 0.834 | 50.072 | 0.000 |  | M2(G) | 0.773 | 34.008 | 0.000 |
|  | HM(G) | 0.849 | 56.325 | 0.000 |  | HM(G) | 0.776 | 34.56 | 0.000 |
|  | F(G) | 0.859 | 61.032 | 0.000 |  | F(G) | 0.773 | 33.994 | 0.000 |
|  | H(G) | 0.877 | 71.041 | 0.000 |  | H(G) | 0.804 | 40.916 | 0.000 |
|  | ABC(G) | 0.912 | 46.795 | 0.000 |  | ABC(G) | 0.894 | 84.043 | 0.000 |
|  | S(G) | 0.891 | 36.926 | 0.000 |  | S(G) | 0.864 | 63.499 | 0.000 |
|  | GA(G) | 0.883 | 34.015 | 0.000 |  | GA(G) | 0.853 | 58.169 | 0.000 |
|  | M1(G) | 0.883 | 33.923 | 0.000 | Exponential | M1(G) | 0.873 | 68.918 | 0.000 |
| Quadratic | M2(G) | 0.834 | 22.643 | 0.000 |  | M2(G) | 0.832 | 49.595 | 0.000 |
|  | HM(G) | 0.850 | 25.413 | 0.000 |  | HM(G) | 0.855 | 59.177 | 0.000 |
|  | F(G) | 0.859 | 27.475 | 0.000 |  | F(G) | 0.872 | 67.831 | 0.000 |
|  | H(G) | 0.882 | 33.494 | 0.000 |  | H(G) | 0.853 | 57.982 | 0.000 |
|  | ABC(G) | 0.921 | 30.971 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cubic Model | Model |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S(G) | 0.903 | 24.863 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GA(G) | 0.895 | 22.726 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M1(G) | 0.901 | 24.303 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M2(G) | 0.89 | 21.555 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HM(G) | 0.912 | 27.795 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | F(G) | 0.930 | 35.608 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H(G) | 0.899 | 23.6817 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 6. Regression models between topological indices and physicochemical property
complexity of molecular structures

| Complexity |  |  |  |  | Complexity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression model | Molecular descriptor | $R^{2}$ | F | Sig | Regression model | Molecular descriptor | $R^{2}$ | F | Sig |
|  | ABC(G) | 0.889 | 79.955 | 0.000 |  | ABC(G) | 0.889 | 79.955 | 0.000 |
|  | S(G) | 0.900 | 90.006 | 0.000 |  | S(G) | 0.851 | 57.091 | 0.000 |
|  | GA(G) | 0.905 | 95.147 | 0.000 |  | GA(G) | 0.857 | 60.113 | 0.000 |
| Linear <br> Model | M1(G) | 0.908 | 98.435 | 0.000 | Logaritmic <br> Model | M1(G) | 0.833 | 49.841 | 0.000 |
|  | M2(G) | 0.922 | 118.59 | 0.000 |  | M2(G) | 0.835 | 50.599 | 0.000 |
|  | HM(G) | 0.911 | 102.03 | 0.000 |  | HM(G) | 0.813 | 43.338 | 0.000 |
|  | F(G) | 0.901 | 90.832 | 0.000 |  | F(G) | 0.795 | 38.665 | 0.000 |
|  | H(G) | 0.902 | 91.96 | 0.000 |  | H(G) | 0.855 | 58.959 | 0.000 |
|  | ABC(G) | 0.891 | 36.851 | 0.000 |  | ABC(G) | 0.604 | 15.241 | 0.003 |
|  | S(G) | 0.901 | 41.054 | 0.000 |  | S(G) | 0.611 | 15.683 | 0.003 |
|  | GA(G) | 0.906 | 43.403 | 0.000 |  | GA(G) | 0.607 | 15.446 | 0.003 |
| Quadratic <br> Model | M1(G) | 0.914 | 47.833 | 0.000 | Exponential Model | M1(G) | 0.611 | 15.69 | 0.003 |
|  | M2(G) | 0.929 | 58.779 | 0.000 |  | M2(G) | 0.613 | 15.815 | 0.003 |
|  | HM(G) | 0.92 | 51.495 | 0.000 |  | HM(G) | 0.612 | 15.799 | 0.003 |
|  | F(G) | 0.912 | 46.362 | 0.000 |  | F(G) | 0.612 | 15.744 | 0.003 |
|  | H(G) | 0.903 | 41.964 | 0.000 |  | H(G) | 0.614 | 15.887 | 0.003 |
| Cubic Model | ABC(G) | 0.896 | 22.971 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S(G) | 0.905 | 25.482 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GA(G) | 0.911 | 27.42 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M1(G) | 0.927 | 34.112 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M2(G) | 0.939 | 41.106 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HM(G) | 0.931 | 36.226 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | F(G) | 0.924 | 32.59 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H(G) | 0.908 | 26.202 | 0.000 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7. Regression models between topological indices and physicochemical propertiey flash point of molecular structures

| Flash Point |  |  |  |  | Flash Point |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression model | Molecular descriptor | $R^{2}$ | F | Sig | Regression model | Molecular descriptor | $R^{2}$ | F | Sig |
|  | ABC(G) | 0.535 | 10.343 | 0.011 |  | ABC(G) | 0.491 | 8.699 | 0.016 |
|  | S(G) | 0.576 | 12.232 | 0.007 |  | S(G) | 0.533 | 10.282 | 0.011 |
|  | GA(G) | 0.583 | 12.597 | 0.006 |  | GA(G) | 0.546 | 10.819 | 0.009 |
| Linear Model | M1(G) | 0.530 | 10.153 | 0.011 | Logarithmic Model | M1(G) | 0.490 | 8.64 | 0.017 |
|  | M2(G) | 0.518 | 9.666 | 0.013 |  | M2(G) | 0.490 | 8.637 | 0.017 |
|  | HM(G) | 0.497 | 8.876 | 0.015 |  | $\mathrm{HM}(\mathrm{G})$ | 0.460 | 7.652 | 0.022 |
|  | F(G) | 0.478 | 8.256 | 0.018 |  | F(G) | 0.435 | 6.941 | 0.027 |
|  | H(G) | 0.596 | 13.299 | 0.005 |  | H(G) | 0.550 | 10.994 | 0.009 |
|  | ABC(G) | 0.538 | 4.661 | 0.045 |  | ABC(G) | 0.550 | 11.005 | 0.009 |
|  | S(G) | 0.580 | 5.524 | 0.031 |  | S(G) | 0.593 | 13.09 | 0.006 |
|  | GA(G) | 0.586 | 5.657 | 0.029 |  | GA(G) | 0.600 | 13.519 | 0.005 |
| Quadratic <br> Model | M1(G) | 0.532 | 4.542 | 0.048 | Exponential Model | M1(G) | 0.547 | 10.879 | 0.009 |
|  | M2(G) | 0.518 | 4.3 | 0.054 |  | M2(G) | 0.537 | 10.442 | 0.010 |
|  | HM(G) | 0.497 | 3.945 | 0.064 |  | HM(G) | 0.515 | 9.548 | 0.013 |
|  | F(G) | 0.497 | 3.672 | 0.074 |  | F(G) | 0.496 | 8.852 | 0.016 |
|  | H(G) | 0.602 | 6.059 | 0.025 |  | H(G) | 0.612 | 14.205 | 0.004 |
| Cubic Model | ABC(G) | 0.584 | 3.281 | 0.089 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S(G) | 0.630 | 3.968 | 0.061 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GA(G) | 0.642 | 4.193 | 0.054 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M1(G) | 0.598 | 3.473 | 0.079 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | M2(G) | 0.543 | 2.772 | 0.120 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HM(G) | 0.516 | 2.486 | 0.145 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | F(G) | 0.497 | 2.302 | 0.164 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | H(G) | 0.655 | 4.428 | 0.048 |  |  |  |  |  |

## 4. CONCLUSION

The QSPR study has shown that molecular descriptors (TIs) are best tools to predict physicochemical properties of drugs used for chemical, medical, and pharmaceutical char-acteristics. In the linear regression model, all molecular descriptors are best predicted with the mentioned physicochemical properties. In a quadratic regression model, molecular descriptor $S(G)$ is best predicted with refractivity, melting point and complexity. In a loga-rithmic regression model, molecular descriptor ABC $(\mathrm{G})$ is best predicted with refractivity. In an exponential regression model, molecular descriptors M1 (G) and HM (G) are best predicted with molar refractivity. The results of the above study may be used in the fur-ther development of drugs used for chemical, medical, and pharmaceutical characteristics. Also the obtained theoretical results have promising aspects and provide a cornerstone in designing new drugs.


Figure 2: Logarithmic regression model of GA (G) with refractivity.


Figure 3: Exponential regression model of $\mathrm{ABC}(\mathrm{G})$ with refractivity.


Figure 4: Linear regression model of M1 (G) with melting point.
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